# CRTPA Congestion Management Plan (CMP) 

Type of Item: Type of Item: Presentation/Discussion/Action

## Statement of Issue

An update to the CRTPA's Congestion Management Plan (CMP), last adopted in 2018, has recently been completed. The CMP provides a process for managing congestion through an analysis of up-todate information on transportation system performance. The update provides a focus on the identification of projects on critical corridors to address identified congestion and safety issues.

## Recommended Action

Option 1: Recommend the CRTPA approve the Congestion Management Plan.

## BACKGROUND

As a Transportation Management Area (defined as an urbanized area with a population over 200,000), the CRTPA is required to develop a Congestion Management Process. Pursuant to the Federal Highway Administration:
"A congestion management process is a systematic and regionally accepted approach for managing congestion that provides accurate, up-to-date information on transportation system performance and assesses alternative strategies for congestion management that meet State and local needs. A CMP is required in metropolitan areas with population exceeding 200,000, known as Transportation Management Areas (TMAs). Federal requirements state that in all TMAs, the CMP shall be developed and implemented as an integrated part of the metropolitan transportation planning process; however, Federal regulations are not prescriptive regarding the methods and approaches that must be used to implement a CMP."

Furthermore, the FHWA's "Congestion Management Process: A Guidebook, 2011" notes that such a process includes:

- Development of congestion management objectives
- Establishment of measures of multimodal transportation system performance
- Collection of data and system performance monitoring to define the extent and duration of congestion and determine the causes of congestion
- Identification of congestion management strategies
- Implementation activities, including identification of an implementation schedule and possible funding sources for each strategy
- Evaluation of the effectiveness of implemented strategies

The CRTPA's current CMP was adopted in 2018 and although federal requirements do not mandate a schedule for updating the document, the CRTPA initiated the update to the CMP in 2022. The update was led by Halff and Associates, one of the CRTPA's general planning consultants, along with Kittelson \& Associates serving as a sub-consultant.

The update to the CRTPA's CMP focused on evaluating and addressing both congestion and safety in the CRTPA region along with the identification of potential strategies designed to improve both recurring and non-recurring congestion and safety on critical corridors, as discussed below.

With regards to congestion, analyses of recurring and non-recurring congestion were conducted. Recurring congestion occurs during peak travel periods commonly known as the "rush hour". Nonrecurring congestion occurs due to construction, inclement weather, accidents, and special events. Resultant from the analyses was the identification of roadways within the CRTPA region that are not meeting level-of-service standards as well as roadways having the worst travel time reliability.

In addition, the CMP analyzed safety issues within the CRTPA region. Resultant from this analysis is the identification of intersection and segments throughout the region that have identified safety issues. The analysis the identification of potential countermeasures to address identified safety concerns.

Ultimately, the CMP has identified potential issues from which further study is warranted related to addressing both congestion and safety within the CRTPA region.

The following provides a summary related to the development and findings of the CMP.

## CMP DEVELOPMENT AND FINDINGS

As noted above, the CMP update is focusing on an analysis of congestion and safety issues in order to identify potential improvements on the CRTPA region's roadways. The following provides a discussion related to each focus of the CMP (congestion and safety).

CONGESTION/ Congested segments in the CRTPA region were identified and analyzed using the following methods: Level of Service (LOS) analysis and Planning Time Index (PTI).

LOS ANALYSIS - Using Florida's Generalized Service Volume Tables, an assessment was conducted resulting in the identification of roadway segments within the CRTPA region that are failing. As may be expected, the region's most congested roadways are located in the urban areas of the capital region. The following provides a snapshot of the locations identified as congested segments in the CRTPA region, including an urban inset. Note: specific segments identified on the maps below are included in table format in the CMP document.

## CRTPA Region/LOS Analysis

Figure 9: LOS Performance 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables (CRTPA Area)
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## Urban Inset/LOS Analysis

Figure 10: LOS Performance 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables (Urban Inset)


Planning Time Index - Planning time index represents the total travel time that should be planned when an adequate buffer time is included (the buffer index represents the extra buffer time that most travelers add to their average travel time when planning trips to ensure on-time arrival). PTI analysis was measured for both peak (afternoon) hour and daily. The following maps reflect PTI for peak hour in the region.

## CRTPA Region/Peak Hour PTI



## Urban Inset/Peak Hour PTI



The top 20 locations in the CRTPA Region with the highest Peak Hour PTI are listed below:

Table 6: Peak Hour PTI (Top 20 Locations)

| Rank | County | Location | PTI | Speed (MPH) | 5th Percentile <br> Speed (MPH) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between E Madison St and E Gaines Street | 2.92 | 8.7 | 3.0 |
| 2 | Leon | Northbound Varsity Dr E intersecting with W Pensacola Street | 2.74 | 5.5 | 2.0 |
| 3 | Leon | Southbound Appleyard Dr intersecting with W Tennessee Street | 2.51 | 14.2 | 5.7 |
| 4 | Leon | Westbound Miccosukee Rd intersecting with Capital Circle NE | 2.25 | 12.5 | 5.5 |
| 5 | Leon | Southbound Railroad Ave between W Madison St and W Gaines Street | 2.24 | 5.1 | 2.3 |
| 6 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between Jefferson St and Apalachee Pkwy | 2.22 | 9.5 | 4.3 |
| 7 | Leon | Eastbound W Gaines St between S Monroe St and S Duval Street | 2.22 | 8.1 |  |
| 8 | Leon | Eastbound Betton Rd intersecting with Thomasville Road | 2.18 | 13.0 | 3.7 |
| 9 | Leon | Westbound Orange Ave E intersecting with Capital Circle SE | 2.17 | 13.9 | 6.0 |
| 10 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between W Tennessee St and E Jefferson Street | 2.12 | 9.1 | 6.4 |
| 11 | Leon | Southbound N Franklin Blvd intersecting with E Tennessee Street | 2.12 | 12.3 | 4.3 |
| 12 | Leon | Off-ramp from WB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with N Monroe Street | 2.12 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| 13 | Leon | Off-ramp from EB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with N Monroe Street | 2.10 | 6.2 | 1.9 |
| 14 | Leon | Southbound N Meridian St between E Virginia St and E Tennessee Street | 2.09 | 6.3 | 2.9 |
| 15 | Leon | Westbound E Tennessee St between N Franklin Blvd and S Monroe Street | 2.08 | 9.7 | 3.0 |
| 16 | Leon | Northbound S Duval St between W Madison St and W Gaines Street | 2.06 | 13.2 |  |
| 17 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between E Madison St and Apalachee Pkwy | 2.00 | 10.0 | 6.7 |
| 18 | Leon | Northbound S Bronough St between W Gaines St and W Madison Street | 2.00 | 8.1 | 5.4 |
| 19 | Leon | Eastbound E 6th Ave between N Gadsden St and Thomasville Road | 1.99 | 9.6 | 4.1 |
| 20 | Leon | Eastbound Gaines St intersecting with S Monroe Street | 1.96 | 12.9 | 4.8 |

SAFETY/ An evaluation of the safety of the CRTPA region's roads provided an important focus of the CMP's update. This effort included an analysis focused on emphasis areas related to bicycles, pedestrians, intersections and lane departures. Fatal and serious crashes were analyzed to locate segment hot spots and intersection hot spots in the region. The following tables provide the hot spot segments (top 10) and intersections (top 10) with the highest crash rates in the region.

## Roadway Segments/Top 10 Hot Spot Locations:

| Rank | Location | County | AADT | Miles | Fatal Crashes | Serious Injury Crashes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crash Rate } \\ & \text { (per 100 } \\ & \text { million VMT) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Railroad Avenue between West Gaines Street and Robert and Trudie Perkins Way | Leon | 6,300 | 0.45 | 0 | 3 | 57.59 |
| 2 | St Augustine St between S Woodward Ave and South Copeland Street | Leon | 7,400 | 0.44 | 0 | 3 | 50.66 |
| 3 | Hardaway Hwy between Lincoln Dr (CR 269A) and Cochran Road | Gadsden | 550 | 6.31 | 2 | 1 | 47.40 |
| 4 | Duval St between W Pensacola St and W Park Avenue | Leon | 8,500 | 0.41 | 0 | 3 | 47.18 |
| 5 | W Pensacola St between Appleyard Drive and Mabry Street | Leon | 18,800 | 0.59 | 2 | 5 | 34.40 |
| 6 | Smith Creek Rd between Stoutamire Landing Rd and the County Boundary between Leon/Wakulla County | Leon | 600 | 8.29 | 1 | 2 | 33.04 |
| 7 | Old Lloyd Rd between US 90 and Rabon Road | Jefferson | 1,200 | 4.51 | 0 | 3 | 30.39 |
| 8 | Drifton-Aucilla between S Jefferson and Salt Road | Jefferson | 700 | 8.14 | 0 | 3 | 28.84 |
| 9 | Providence Road (CR 274) between Selman Street and Hosford Highway | Gadsden | 1,500 | 4.25 | 1 | 2 | 25.80 |
| 10 | Old Plank Road between Tram Road and Natural Bridge Road | Leon | 1,400 | 6.38 | 2 | 2 | 24.53 |

Intersections/Top 10 Hot Spot Locations:

| Rank | Location | County | Fatal <br> Crashes | Serious <br> Injury <br> Crashes | Entering <br> Traffic <br> Volume |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | US 98 and Woodville Hwy | Wakulla | 2 | 1 | 4,875 |
| 2 | Apalachee Pkwy and WW Kelly Road | Leon | 1 | 2 | 12,500 |
| 3 | Orange Avenue and S. Adams Street | Leon | 4 | 3 | 42,400 |
| 4 | W Tennessee St and Stadium Drive | Leon | 0 | 7 | 45,800 |
| 5 | W Tennessee St and Geddie Road | Leon | 0 | 3 | 21,900 |
| 6 | North Monroe Street and Fred George Road | Leon | 2 | 2 | 29,350 |
| 7 | Capital Circle Southeast and Woodville Hwy. | Leon | 0 | 4 | 32,350 |
| 8 | North Monroe Street and John Knox Road | Leon | 0 | 4 | 46,150 |
| 9 | Old Bainbridge Road and W. Tharpe Street | Leon | 0 | 3 | 37,750 |
| 10 | North Monroe Street and Lakeshore Drive | Leon | 0 | 3 | 43,000 |

Once identified, further analysis was conducted on the top identified hot spot segments and intersections. Specifically, a multi-step crash causation analysis occurred that identified potential countermeasures to reducing crashes at the above identified locations. Details of these analyses are included in the CMP.

## Next Steps

Subsequent to adoption of the CMP, information related to congestion and safety issues will be further analyzed including the studying of identified needed improvements.

## ATTACHMENT

Attachment 1: Draft CMP

## ATTACHMENT 1

## Capital Region Transportation <br> Planning Agency



March 2024
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## Introduction

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) with a population exceeding 200,000 (known as a Transportation Management Area (TMA)), to develop Congestion Management Plans (CMPs) to better manage congestion. This process is defined in federal regulations and intended to produce a systemic approach to effectively integrate system management and operational strategies into both long-term improvements such as the 2045 Regional Mobility Plan (RMP) and near-term improvement plans such as the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency (CRTPA) is the region's metropolitan planning organization (MPO) and includes all of Gadsden, Jefferson, Leon and Wakulla counties. The CRTPA is also a TMA, with a population of approximately 386,000 people. Chapter 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section 450.320 states "The transportation planning process in a TMA shall address congestion management through a process that provides for safe and effective integrated management and operation of the multi modal transportation system, based on a cooperatively developed and implemented metropolitan-wide strategy, of new and existing transportation facilities". The CMP was developed to evaluate and address congestion and safety in the CRTPA region with potential mitigation strategies or countermeasures designed to improve both recurring and nonrecurring congestion and safety on critical corridors. The CMP aligns with and supports the RMP, TIP and other CRTPA planning efforts.

The previous CRTPA's CMP was completed in 2018, and while there is no mandated schedule or requirement for updates, MPOs periodically update the CMP in order to address changing conditions, as well as take advantage of updated data sources. The primary data sources utilized for this CMP were HERE Technologies and Signal 4 Analytics, as well as data provided by local governments and the Regional Traffic Management Center. The CRTPA undertook this CMP update in 2022, prior to the development of the next RMP, scheduled to begin in 2024.

This 2024 CMP is consistent with the federal framework identified in the FHWA Guidebook to evaluate the congestion and safety within the region. The CMP focuses on the identification of projects and strategies on critical corridors to address identified congestion and safety issues. Additionally, the CMP addresses requirements related to performance management that have been refined subsequent to the CRTPA's last CMP. Such requirements, documented in the joint FHWA and FTA issued Final Planning Rule, requires the CRTPA to implement a performance-based approach to planning and programming through target setting and performance reporting.

## BACKGROUND AND REFERENCES

- Federal Laws
- USDOT/FHWA Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (FAST Act) Fact Sheet
- FAST Act Fact Sheets
- USDOT/FHWA Moving Ahead for the 21st Century (MAP-21) Fact Sheet
- MAP-21 - Fact Sheets
- State Laws
- F.S. 339.177
- Transportation Management Programs


## STUDY AREA

The traditional planning process seeks to remedy current congestion and provide strategies to address mobility needs. Infrastructure projects undergo phased programming and typically take seven to ten years from planning to implementation. This timeline needs to be factored into the development of congestion and safety strategies. The CRTPA's 2024 CMP provides a systematic approach for managing congestion and safety in the region. Figure 1: Steps in the Congestion Management Plan Process illustrates the developmental steps for the CMP document, consistent with federal guidance. This CMP addresses present-day congestion and safety locations in the network that impact the mobility of the regional transportation network. Congestion and safety were analyzed on roadways within the CRTPA four county region as its study boundary. The CMP study area encompasses the entirety of the Gadsden, Jefferson, Leon, and Wakulla counties as illustrated in Figure 2: Congestion Management Plan Study Area. Roadways within this area are included in the CMP based on coordination with agency partners and data availability. Key data sets such as vehicle volume, travel speeds, fatalities and serious injuries are available for the entire State Highway System within the CRTPA boundary and were the basis for the performance analysis.

Figure 1: Steps in the Congestion Management Plan Process


Figure 2: Congestion Management Plan Study Area


## Coordination

The CMP has been developed in close coordination with agency partners and included both formal and informal communication throughout the duration of the 20 -month study. This continuous coordination allowed the identification of key issues and specific project needs that directed the effort towards safety concerns, specifically locations with high fatalities and serious injuries, in addition to the
 recurring and nonrecurring congestion.

## CMP TECHNICAL TASK FORCE

A key coordination component of this study was the creation of a CMP Technical Task Force which was formed to conduct focused meetings with each of the CRTPA's local government partners to identify potential concerns and projects.

The Technical Task Force (TTF) members were comprised of members of the CRTPA's Technical Advisory Committee. The task force served as a crucial element throughout the CMP development by providing ongoing insight and guidance.

Meetings with the TTF occurred on the following dates:

- June 6, 2022
- June 28, 2023


## STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS

In addition to the meetings conducted with the TTF, the project team conducted individual focused partner interviews with the following agencies:

- Gadsden County Public Works Department
- Jefferson County Public Works Department
- Leon County Public Works Department
- Regional Traffic Management Center
- City of Tallahassee Underground Utilities and Public Infrastructure
- Wakulla County Public Works Department

Meeting minutes from each of these partner agency interviews are contained in Appendix A.

## Goals, Objectives and Performance Measures

The CMP is an integral element of the CRTPA transportation planning process and, as such, must contain goals, objectives and performance measures that are established within the framework of the regional goals. In addition to the regional goals, state and federal goals must also be considered.

## RELEVANT DOCUMENTS

The first step in the development of the congestion management goals, objectives and performance measures were to review the pertinent local, regional and state transportation plans, including the following documents:

- CRTPA Congestion Management Process Report | CRTPA
- Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan |CRTPA
- FY 2024 - FY 2028 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) |CRTPA
- FY 2025 - FY 2029 Transportation Project Priority Lists | CRTPA
- Fiscal Years 2022/23 - 2023/24 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)|CRTPA
- FDOT OWPB - WP Reports; 5 Year Work Program (state.fl.us)

In addition, CMPs are required pursuant to 23 C.F.R. 450.322 and Section 339.175 (6)(c)1, F.S. to address congestion management through a process that provides for safe and effective integrated management and operation of the multimodal transportation system, based on a cooperatively developed and implemented metropolitan-wide strategy, of new and existing transportation facilities eligible for federal funding, through the use of travel demand reduction, job access projects, and operational management strategies. The end result is to develop a congestion management plan process resulting in multimodal system performance measures and strategies that are reflected in the RMP and the TIP.

The CMP Goals, Objectives and Performance Measures are intended to be continually evolving to address the results of performance measures, concerns of the community, new objectives and goals, and up-to-date information on congestion and safety issues.

Figure 3 shows the various CRTPA documents and how they relate and inform each other and the overall interconnectivity of the CMP.

Figure 3: CMP Interconnectivity


The UPWP identifies the CRTPA's annual transportation planning activities.

The RMP is a long-range (twenty year) vision, strategy, and capital improvement program which guides the investment of public funds in transportation facilities. The plan is updated every 5 years and may be amended as a result of changes in available funding and findings from local studies.

Upon reviewing the relevant documents listed above and previous goals and objectives developed in 2018 CMP, the team, in coordination with the TTF and by working through numerous iterations, identified four (4) CMP goals shown below. The four CMP goals are a subset of the ten goals contained in the RMP that relate to congestion and safety. In addition, supporting objectives and performance measures were developed for each goal and are shown on pages 7-8.

## CMP Goals

- Safety - Improve the safety of transportation facilities for all residents and visitors in the region.
- Multimodalism - Promote a diversity of travel choices and facilitate movement and connections among people, jobs, goods and services, and other travel modes.
- Connectivity - Enhance connectivity between destinations within the region by different travel modes, such as bicycle, vehicular, and transit.
- Access - Provide all residents and visitors with access to different transportation options to create economic opportunities and improve quality of life throughout the region.

The relationship of the key components is shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4: Relationship of Key Components


## GOAL \#1: SAFETY

## Objectives

1.1 Reduce the Number of Automobile Crashes
1.2 Reduce the Number of Non-Motorized Crashes
1.3 Reduce the Number of Fatalities

## Performance Measures

1.1 Number of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes
1.2 Serious Injury Rate
1.3 Fatality Rate

Safety analyses were completed along the same roadway facilities where mobility measures were calculated. The CRTPA carefully analyzed safety data collected within the four-county area for the five previous years (2017-2021). Table 1 and Table 2 include the number of fatal and serious injury crashes from 2017-2021, for all modes within the CRTPA Region. Source: Annual Safety Data for FHWA Performance Measures by MPO provided by FDOT.

Table 1: Fatality and Serious Injury (CRTPA)

## ALL MODE FATAL AND SERIOUS INJURY CRASHES (CRTPA)



Table 2: Pedestrian/Bicycle Involved Fatality and Serious Injury (CRTPA)


GOAL \#2: INCORPORATE MULTIMODAL OPTIONS
Objectives
1.1 Reduce Nonrecurring Congestion
1.2 Achieve Adopted Level of Service (LOS)
1.3 Increase percentage of Work Trips made by Transit, Bicycling, or Walking

## Performance Measures

1.1 Planning Time Index (PTI)
1.2 Facilities Meeting LOS
1.3 Percent of Work Trips made by Transit, Bicycling, or Walking

GOAL \#3: INCREASE NETWORK CONNECTIVITY
Objectives
1.1 Promote Multimodal Connectivity through Facility Enhancements

## Performance Measures

1.1 Percent of CMP Network with Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

GOAL \#4: INCREASE ACCESS TO KEY DESTINATIONS

## Objectives

1.1 Improve Access to Areas Identified as Existing or Future Residential and/or Employment Clusters
1.2 Utilize Context Sensitive Solutions and Complete Street Strategies to Better Match Facilities with Surrounding Land Uses

## Performance Measures

1.1 Percent of Population within $1 / 2$ Mile of Transit
1.2 Percent of Population within $1 / 2$ Mile of a Bike/Ped Facility

## Network for Analysis

## CMP NETWORK

The CMP calls for defining a study area where safety and mobility conditions will be assessed. As a starting place, the entire TPA boundary is considered for inclusion in the study area. Further refinement can focus on urban areas or urbanized areas to the exclusion of rural areas. The CRTPA region is comprised of both rural and urban areas and the region in its entirety was included in the CMP study area. As a result, the entirety of Gadsden, Jefferson, Leon and Wakulla counties were analyzed in the CMP.

Determining which roads will be analyzed is foundational in areawide project needs identification. Accounting for roads with the most trips is the first step in selecting the network. This exercise also includes avoiding identifying roadways that carry low volumes as such roadways can lead to investments that will only impact a small number of travelers. Functional classification segregates lower volumes roads from high volume roads and was used in the CMP's network identification. Roadways containing the following functional classifications were analyzed in the CMP:

- Urban Principal Arterials
- Urban Minor Arterial
- Urban Major Collectors
- Rural Principal Arterial
- Rural Minor Arterial
- Rural Major Collectors

Furthermore, identifying a study network for the 4-county region is reliant on data availability. A screening was conducted to identify roads with safety, volume, and speed data. Additionally, roadways with instrumentation capable of capturing those data points were evaluated. Leon County contained the highest coverage of speed data through provision of such data by the analytics company HERE. However, speed data was sparsely available on the networks in the other 3 counties. Volume is a crucial piece in analyzing congestion, therefore all roads in the study network had to have volume data. Leon County's roads have more volume coverage in comparison to the 3 more rural counties. Signal 4 Analytics provides crash data coverage wherever crashes occur. Therefore, all four counties have equal safety data coverage through Signal 4 Analytics.

The CMP study area network for Gadsden, Jefferson, Leon, and Wakulla counties are shown on Figure 5 through Figure 8 on the following pages.

Figure 5: Gadsden County CMP Network


Figure 6: Jefferson County CMP Network


Figure 7: Leon County CMP Network


Figure 8: Wakulla County CMP Network


## Recurring Congestion

A primary purpose of a CMP is to provide an updated analysis of congestion to identify problematic locations for potential mitigation. Many performance measures exist to help determine the quality of mobility on roadways. Roadway volume was extracted for the CMP network in the format of Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADTs). The AADTs were first analyzed using FDOT's Generalized Service Volume Tables to determine the LOS letter grade based on area types. FDOT's adopted the LOS targets of 'D' in urbanized areas and ' $C$ ' elsewhere. The roadway segments that did not meet the LOS target, based on 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables, are presented in Figure 9 for the CRTPA Area and Figure 10 for the Urban Inset. The LOS results are also included in Table 3. The Generalized Tables present the maximum service volumes, which are the highest numbers of vehicles that can be accommodated while sustaining the respective letter grade travel speed.

A refined analysis was performed on selected segments that failed to meet LOS targets, using FDOT's 2023 Generalized Service Volume Tables which takes into consideration Context Classification. Several roadway segments that failed to meet the 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables minimum LOS, met the minimum LOS standard using the 2023 Generalized Service Volume Tables. The results of the 2023 Generalized Service Volume Tables LOS analysis are presented in Table 4. It is recommended that potential countermeasures be conducted only on those segments that failed to meet both analyses.

Figure 9: LOS Performance 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables (CRTPA Area)



Figure 10: LOS Performance 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables (Urban Inset)


Table 3: Level of Service Failed Segments using 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables

| Location | County | Urbanized | AADT | LOS Standard | Current LOS | Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| W. Jefferson Street between Pat Thomas Pkwy. and North Adams Street | Gadsden | No | 16,400 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| W. Washington Street between River Landing Road and Main Street | Gadsden | No | 7,900 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Martin Luther King Blvd between Orlando Street and South Stewart Street | Gadsden | No | 5,400 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| East Gaines Street between Calhoun Street and South Meridian Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Meridian between East Gaines Street and East Lafayette Street | Leon | Yes | 19,800 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Bradford Road between N. Meridian Road and Thomasville Road | Leon | Yes | 17,000 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Bradford Road between E. Dellview Drive and Shopping Center Entrance | Leon | Yes | 10,200 | D | E | Failed Standard |
| Betton Road between Thomasville Road and Centerville Road | Leon | Yes | 18,800 | D | E | Failed Standard |
| White Drive between South of Tennessee St and Mission Road | Leon | Yes | 11,500 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Gaines Street between Railroad Avenue and S. Boulevard Street | Leon | Yes | 16,800 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| E. Tennessee Street between Monroe Street and N. Meridian Street | Leon | Yes | 37,000 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Woodville Highway between Oak Ridge Road and Capital Circle | Leon | No | 15,000 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Monroe Street between Apalachee Parkway and E. Jefferson Street | Leon | Yes | 34,500 | D | E | Failed Standard |
| Thomasville Road between 6th Avenue and N. Gadsden Street | Leon | Yes | 19,000 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Centerville Road between North of 7th Ave and Blair Stone Road | Leon | Yes | 13,500 | D | E | Failed Standard |
| Centerville Road between Welaunee Boulevard and Glenncrest Lane | Leon | Yes | 21,500 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Gaines Street between S. Woodward Avenue and Railroad Avenue | Leon | Yes | 20,400 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Highway between MLK Road and Wakulla Springs Road | Wakulla | No | 15,000 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Orange Avenue between Springhill Road and Wahnish Way | Leon | Yes | 20,400 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Bannerman Road between North Meridian Road and McBride Point | Leon | No | 20,200 | D | F | Failed Standard |
| Old Bainbridge Road between West Brevard Street and Myrick Road | Leon | Yes | 14,000 | D | E | Failed Standard |
| West Tharpe Street between West of Ocala and West of Burns Drive | Leon | Yes | 14,000 | D | E | Failed Standard |
| Buck Lake Road between Buck Lake Trail and Chaires Cross Road | Leon | No | 11,500 | C | D | Failed Standard |

Table 4: Level of Service using 2023 Generalized Service Volume Tables

| Location | County | AADT | Context Classification | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { STD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current } \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Woodville Highway between Oak Ridge Road and Capital Circle | Leon | 15,000 | C 2 | C | E | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Highway between County Line and north of Glover Road | Leon | 13,900 | C2 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Hwy. between north of Glover Road and Wakulla Springs Road | Leon | 13,900 | C1 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Bannerman Road between North Meridian Road and McBride Point | Leon | 20,200 | C3R | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Buck Lake Road between Buck Lake Trail and Chairs Crossing Road | Leon | 11,500 | C2 | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Hwy between Shadeville Rd and Ivan Church Road | Wakulla | 17,100 | C3C | C | D | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Highway between Ivan Church Road and Bloxham Cutoff Road | Wakulla | 15,700 | C 2 | C | E | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Hwy between Bloxham Cutoff Road and Pixie Circle | Wakulla | 15,000 | C 2 | C | E | Failed Standard |
| Crawfordville Hwy between Pixie Circle and county limit | Wakulla | 15,000 | C1 | C | E | Failed Standard |
| W. Jefferson Street between Pat Thomas Parkway and N. Adams Street | Gadsden | 16,400 | C2T | C | C | Meets Standard |
| W. Washington Street between River Landing Road and Main Street | Gadsden | 7,900 | C2T | C | C | Meets Standard |
| Martin Luther King Blvd between Orlando Street and South Stewart Street | Gadsden | 5,400 | C3R | C | C | Meets Standard |
| Blountstown Hwy between West of Whispering Pines Dr W and Geddie Rd | Leon | 8,800 | C3R | C | C | Meets Standard |

## Nonrecurring Congestion

In order to measure nonrecurring congestion, the Planning Time Index (PTI) was utilized which measures travel time reliability. Consistent travel times make it easier to conduct trip planning and increase the likelihood of on-time arrival. Nonrecurring congestion has a ripple effect that decreases the predictability of travel times. The planning time index represents the additional travel time that a traveler should budget for to ensure on-time arrival 95 percent of the time. Budgeting for the additional travel time should allow travelers to overcome nonrecurring congestion. Figure 11 and Figure 12 show the Daily PTI for the CRTPA and the Urban Inset. Table 5 identifies the worse performing segments for daily conditions.
Figure 13 and Figure 14 show the Peak Hour PTI for the MPO Area and the City of Tallahassee. Table 6 identifies the worse performing segments in the peak hour. Peak hour PTI accounts for all vehicles traveling between 5PM and 6 PM . This data distribution factors in only speed observations made during that time period for everyday of the year. Daily PTI accounts for 24 hours of speed observations for all 365 days of the year. The peak observations provide anomalies in travel due to nonrecurring congestion from 5PM to 6 PM, and the daily PTI indicates nonrecurring congestion's presence at any time during the day. The average travel speed accounts for vehicles stopped as well as those moving. This metric takes into account the ratio of vehicles coming to a complete stop against vehicles moving at a free flow speed.

## Methodology

Data
Speed data at 2-minute intervals covering the period between January 1, 2022, and December 31, 2022, were obtained from HERE, a traffic data analytics company. The data covers major roads in Leon, Jefferson, Gadsden, and Wakulla counties. The data are available at the Traffic Message Channel (TMC) level, which is a proprietary protocol for reporting traffic data. The first step in the process is to identify the available TMCs for the CRTPA region, after that data is downloaded to be used in travel time reliability computations.

## Calculations

The PTI is also called the 95th percentile travel time index and is the 95th percentile travel time divided by free flow travel time. For example, PTI of 1.60 refers to a trip that takes 15 minutes in light traffic, where a traveler should budget a total of 24 minutes to ensure on-time arrival 95 percent of the time.

$$
\text { PTI }=\frac{95 \text { th Percentile Travel Time }}{\text { Free Flow Travel Time }}
$$

Where:

- $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Travel Time $=$ Travel time at the $5^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed.
- Free Flow Travel Time = Travel Time at free flow speed. The free flow speed was assumed to be equal to the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed.

Figure 11: PTI Daily: CRTPA Area


Figure 12: PTI Daily: Urban Inset


Table 5: Daily PTI (Top 20 locations)

| Rank | County | Location | PTI | Speed (MPH) | $5^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile Speed (MPH) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Leon | Northbound Varsity Drive intersecting with West Pensacola Street | 3.12 | 7.3 | 2.4 |
| 2 | Leon | Westbound Orange Avenue intersecting with Capital Circle Southeast | 2.04 | 18.2 | 8.9 |
| 3 | Leon | Southbound Appleyard Drive intersecting with West Tennessee Street | 2.04 | 17.0 | 8.3 |
| 4 | Leon | Westbound Miccosukee Rd intersecting with Capital Circle Northeast | 2.01 | 15.7 | 7.8 |
| 5 | Leon | Southbound Railroad Avenue between West Madison Street and West Gaines Street | 2.00 | 8.5 | 4.3 |
| 6 | Leon | Southbound South Monroe Street between East Madison Street and Apalachee Parkway | 1.97 | 13.8 | 7.0 |
| 7 | Leon | Southbound Franklin Boulevard intersecting with East Tennessee Street | 1.96 | 15.9 | 8.1 |
| 8 | Leon | Eastbound West Brevard Street between North Bronough Street and North Duval Street | 1.90 | 10.8 | 5.7 |
| 9 | Gadsden | Westbound Martin Luther King Jr. Boulevard intersecting with South Adams Street | 1.90 | 10.1 | 5.3 |
| 10 | Leon | Northbound South Bronough Street between West Gaines Street and West Madison Street | 1.88 | 14.5 | 7.7 |
| 11 | Leon | Westbound East Tennessee Street between Franklin Blvd and South Monroe Street | 1.87 | 19.3 | 10.3 |
| 12 | Leon | Off-ramp from Westbound I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with North Monroe Street | 1.85 | 4.2 | 2.3 |
| 13 | Leon | Southbound North Meridian Street between Virginia Street and Tennessee Street | 1.84 | 9.7 | 5.3 |
| 14 | Gadsden | Southbound South Main Street between US 90 and Crawford Street | 1.83 | 13.3 | 7.2 |
| 15 | Leon | Eastbound East Bradford Road and Thomasville Road | 1.83 | 16.0 | 8.7 |
| 16 | Leon | Eastbound West Pensacola Street intersecting with Varsity Drive | 1.82 | 11.4 | 6.2 |
| 17 | Leon | Westbound Conner Boulevard intersecting with Capital Circle Southeast | 1.80 | 9.2 | 5.1 |
| 18 | Leon | Northbound North Magnolia Drive intersecting with Miccosukee Road | 1.80 | 19.6 | 10.9 |
| 19 | Leon | Off-ramp from EB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with North Monroe Street | 1.78 | 7.8 | 4.4 |
| 20 | Gadsden | North Pat Thomas Parkway intersecting with West Jefferson Street | 1.75 | 13.0 | 7.4 |

Figure 13: PTI Peak Hour: CRTPA Area


Figure 14: PTI - Peak Hour: Urban Inset


Table 6: Peak Hour PTI (Top 20 Locations)

| Rank | County | Location | PTI | Speed (MPH) | 5th Percentile <br> Speed (MPH) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between E Madison St and E Gaines Street | 2.92 | 8.7 | 3.0 |
| 2 | Leon | Northbound Varsity Dr E intersecting with W Pensacola Street | 2.74 | 5.5 | 2.0 |
| 3 | Leon | Southbound Appleyard Dr intersecting with W Tennessee Street | 2.51 | 14.2 | 5.7 |
| 4 | Leon | Westbound Miccosukee Rd intersecting with Capital Circle NE | 2.25 | 12.5 | 5.5 |
| 5 | Leon | Southbound Railroad Ave between W Madison St and W Gaines Street | 2.24 | 5.1 |  |
| 6 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between Jefferson St and Apalachee Pkwy | 2.22 | 9.5 | 2.3 |
| 7 | Leon | Eastbound W Gaines St between S Monroe St and S Duval Street | 2.22 | 8.1 | 4.3 |
| 8 | Leon | Eastbound Betton Rd intersecting with Thomasville Road | 2.18 | 13.0 | 2.17 |
| 9 | Leon | Westbound Orange Ave E intersecting with Capital Circle SE | 13.9 | 6.0 |  |
| 10 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between W Tennessee St and E Jefferson Street | 2.12 | 9.1 | 6.4 |
| 11 | Leon | Southbound N Franklin Blvd intersecting with E Tennessee Street | 2.12 | 12.3 | 4.3 |
| 12 | Leon | Off-ramp from WB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with N Monroe Street | 2.12 | 4.1 | 5.8 |
| 13 | Leon | Off-ramp from EB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with N Monroe Street | 2.10 | 6.2 | 1.9 |
| 14 | Leon | Southbound N Meridian St between E Virginia St and E Tennessee Street | 2.09 | 6.3 | 2.9 |
| 15 | Leon | Westbound E Tennessee St between N Franklin Blvd and S Monroe Street | 2.08 | 9.7 | 3.0 |
| 16 | Leon | Northbound S Duval St between W Madison St and W Gaines Street | 2.06 | 13.2 | 4.7 |
| 17 | Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between E Madison St and Apalachee Pkwy | 2.00 | 10.0 | 6.4 |
| 18 | Leon | Northbound S Bronough St between W Gaines St and W Madison Street | 2.00 | 8.1 | 5.0 |
| 19 | Leon | Eastbound E 6th Ave between N Gadsden St and Thomasville Road | 1.99 | 9.6 | 4.1 |
| 20 | Leon | Eastbound Gaines St intersecting with S Monroe Street | 1.96 | 12.9 | 4.8 |
|  |  | 6.6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Safety Analysis

As part of the CMP, safety hotspots are identified, these are the locations with the worst safety issues in the four-county region. To determine safety hotspots, separate crash analyses are conducted at both the segment level and intersection level. The roadways in the four counties were screened for safety issues with an emphasis on fatalities and serious injuries. Data was collected from Signal 4 Analytics for the years 2017 through 2021. Through analyzing 5 years of data any anomalies are avoided. Signal 4 Analytics is a compilation of safety data predominately obtained from crash reports and the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles. This data is provided through a website that identifies crash locations throughout Florida.

Crashes largely occur on either arterial segments or at intersections. Individual data sets were developed for the intersection and segment level analyses. Using the volume and numbers of crashes at a location, crash rates were computed. To develop a safety plan, sites with at least 3 fatal or serious injury crashes were selected.

## SEGMENTAL CRASH ANALYSIS

A separate analysis was conducted for the roadway segments. All segments with AADT data were considered in the analysis. Intersections were removed from the georeferenced data. Segments with lengths less than or equal to 0.01 miles were merged with an adjoining segment. Fatalities and serious injuries occurring with 100 feet of the roadway were attributed to the roadway segment. Similar to the intersection analysis, only segments with 3 or more fatalities and/or serious injuries were identified. The crash rates for the segments were calculated using the following equation:

The crash rate for road segments is calculated as:

$$
R=\frac{100,000,000 \times C}{365 \times N \times V \times L}
$$

Where:
$R=$ Crash rate for the road segment expressed as crashes per 100 million vehicle-miles of travel (VMT).
$\mathrm{C}=$ Total number of crashes in the study period.
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of years of data.
$\mathrm{V}=$ Number of vehicles per day (both directions). ${ }^{-}$
$\mathrm{L}=$ Length of the roadway segment in miles.
Source: https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local_rural/training/fhwasa1210/s3.cfm
The segments were then ranked by highest crash rate. Figure 15 identifies the top 10 urban with top 10 rural segments that were selected for further analyses listed in Table 7. Figure 16 identifies the locations of the ten worst segments for safety in the Urban Inset.

Figure 15: Hot Spot Segments (CRTPA Area)


Figure 16: Hot Spot Segments (Urban Inset)


Table 7: Segment Hot Spots (Top 20)

| Rank | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Location }\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Fatal } \\ \text { Crashes Rate } \\ \text { Injury } \\ \text { (per 100 }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Crashes |  |  |  |  |$\}$


| Rank | Location |  |  | Catal <br> Ferious <br> Injury <br> Crashes | Crash Rate <br> (per 100 <br> million VMT) |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | S Jefferson St between Nacoosa Rd and W Seminole <br> Ave | Jefferson | 8,000 | 1.11 | 0 | 3 | 18.52 |
| 19 | Wakulla Springs Rd between Bloxham Cutoff Rd and <br> County Line Rd | Wakulla | 4,500 | 4.11 | 3 | 3 | 17.79 |
| 20 | Springhill Rd between Helen Guard Station Rd and <br> Mays Gray Dr | Leon | 4,200 | 6.74 | 5 | 4 | 17.41 |

## INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

Fatal and serious injury crashes occurring within 350 ft of an intersection were classified as intersection crashes. The crash rates for the intersections were calculated using this equation:

$$
R=\frac{1,000,000 \times \mathrm{C}}{365 \times \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{V}}
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{R}=$ Crash rate for the intersection expressed as accidents per million entering vehicles (MEV).
$\mathrm{C}=$ Total number of intersection crashes in the study period.
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of years of data.
$\mathrm{V}=$ Traffic volumes entering the intersection daily. 10

- Source: https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/local_rural/training/fhwasa1210/s3.cfm

The intersections were then ranked by highest crash rate. Figure 17 identifies the locations of the ten worst intersections for safety in the 4-county area and Table 8 lists the ten worst intersections.

Figure 17: Intersection Crash Analysis (CRTPA Area)



Table 8: Intersection Hot Spots (Top 10)

| Rank | Location | County | Fatal <br> Crashes | Serious <br> Injury <br> Crashes | Entering <br> Traffic <br> Volume |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | US 98 and Woodville Hwy | Wakulla | 2 | 1 | 4,875 |
| 2 | Apalachee Pkwy and WW Kelly Road | Leon | 1 | 2 | 12,500 |
| 3 | Orange Avenue and S. Adams Street | Leon | 4 | 3 | 42,400 |
| 4 | W Tennessee St and Stadium Drive | Leon | 0 | 7 | 45,800 |
| 5 | W Tennessee St and Geddie Road | Leon | 0 | 3 | 21,900 |
| 6 | North Monroe Street and Fred George Road | Leon | 2 | 2 | 29,350 |
| 7 | Capital Circle Southeast and Woodville Hwy. | Leon | 0 | 4 | 32,350 |
| 8 | North Monroe Street and John Knox Road | Leon | 0 | 4 | 46,150 |
| 9 | Old Bainbridge Road and W. Tharpe Street | Leon | 0 | 3 | 37,750 |
| 10 | North Monroe Street and Lakeshore Drive | Leon | 0 | 3 | 43,000 |

## Mode Share Data

Using the American Community Survey Mode Share Data (Census Data) from 2017-2021, mode share information for Florida and the CRTPA Counties are presented in Figure 18 below, which includes:

- Percentage of trips drove alone.
- Percentage of trips carpooled.
- Percentage of trips using public transportation.
- Percentage of trips using bicycle.
- Percentage of trips walked.
- Percentage of trips by taxicab, motorcycle, or other means.
- Percentage working from home.

Figure 18: CRTPA Mode Share


## Potential Safety Countermeasures

A key component of the CMP is to develop strategies and projects that address the system deficiencies identified in the analysis. The team analyzed thirty safety locations with the highest crash rates including ten intersections, ten rural segments and ten urban segments and prepared potential countermeasures. Potential countermeasures are solutions that are likely to resolve the crash types present at or along each location. The FHWA's Highway Safety Manual was used as a guide in determining possible contributing factors and a preliminary understanding of potential countermeasures. Field reviews were conducted for each of the network locations and engineering judgement was utilized to further determine an applicable list of potential countermeasures. Further analysis and preliminary design are required before these potential countermeasures can be advanced into specific improvements and projects.

## INTERSECTIONS

The ten intersections in the four-county area with the highest crash rates were determined and are listed in Table 9 below. The intersections vary between urban and rural and, as a result, present different safety concerns and solutions. Intersections located in urban areas typically involved crashes related to signal operations, driveway conflicts, and bicycle/pedestrian conflicts. As a result, the common potential countermeasures determined for urban intersections included items such as access management improvements, signal phasing adjustments, and pedestrian detections improvements. While intersections located in rural areas typically involved crashes related to high speeds and failure to obey traffic control. Some of the common potential countermeasures determined for rural intersections included items such as advanced intersection warning signage, intersection control improvements, and addressing issues related to sight distance. A summary of the ten (10) intersections studied are described on the following pages. The detailed intersection analysis for all ten intersections is contained in APPENDIX B.

Table 9: Intersection Hot Spots (Top 10)

| Rank | County | Location | Fatal <br> Crashes | Serious <br> Injury <br> Crashes | Entering <br> Traffic <br> Volume |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Wakulla | US 98 and Woodville Highway | 2 | 1 | 4,875 |
| 2 | Leon | Apalachee Parkway and WW Kelly Road | 1 | 2 | 12,500 |
| 3 | Leon | Orange Avenue and S. Adams Street | 4 | 3 | 42,400 |
| 4 | Leon | West Tennessee Street and Stadium Drive | 0 | 7 | 45,800 |
| 5 | Leon | West Tennessee Street and Geddie Road | 0 | 3 | 21,900 |
| 6 | Leon | North Monroe Street and Fred George Road | 2 | 2 | 29,350 |
| 7 | Leon | Capital Circle Southeast and Woodville Highway | 0 | 4 | 32,350 |
| 8 | Leon | North Monroe Street and John Knox Road | 0 | 4 | 46,150 |
| 9 | Leon | Old Bainbridge Road and West Tharpe Street | 0 | 3 | 37,750 |
| 10 | Leon | North Monroe Street and Lakeshore Drive | 0 | 3 | 43,000 |

US 98 and Woodville Highway


Table 10: US 98 and Woodville Highway Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 2 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3 | 3 |

## Potential Countermeasures

After review of the crashes at the intersection, the possible contributing factors, and a field review, the following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection of US 98 and Woodville Highway.

1. Intersection Control
a. Install larger or enhanced "red" signal indicators.
b. Change intersection to All-Way Stop-Control.
c. Change intersection to roundabout.
d. Conduct Signal Warrant Study to evaluate signalization potential.
2. Intersection Signage
a. Re-evaluate advance warning signs on minor street approaches (to improve visibility).

Additional Notes: Lighting could be considered at the intersection to enhance visibility.

## Apalachee Parkway and WW Kelley Road



Table 11: Apalachee Parkway and WW Kelley Road Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Right Angle | Rollover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

After review of the crashes at the intersection, the possible contributing factors, and a field review, the following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection of Apalachee Parkway and WW Kelley Road. The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Sight Distance
a. Remove tree debris and relocate "Hunt's Pizza" sign in NE quadrant (sight distance issue).

## Orange Avenue and South Adams Street



Table 12: Orange Avenue and South Adams Street Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left turn | Angle | Rear End | Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2017 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the Orange Avenue and South Adams Street intersection:

1. Driveway Conflicts ( 2810 S Adams Street - Two driveways)
a. Relocate "Do Not Enter" sign to next driveway to the north.
b. Include "Right-Turn Only" signs for vehicles exiting the driveway.
c. Install SB "No Left Turn" signs on the south leg, right shoulder.


Table 13:West Tennessee Street and Stadium Drive Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

After review of the crashes at the intersection, the possible contributing factors, and a field review, the following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection of W Tennessee Street and Stadium Drive:

1. Signal Phasing
a. Change EB and WB left turn phases to "Protected Only."

Additional Notes: Consider removing sharrows on W Tennessee Street.

## West Tennessee Street and Geddie Road



Table 14: West Tennessee Street and Geddie Road Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Rear End | Left Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Signal Phasing
a. Change EB and WB left turn phases to "Protected Only."

Additional Notes: Consider removing sharrows on W Tennessee Street.

## North Monroe and Fred George Road



Table 15: North Monroe Street and Fred George Road Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Off Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Provide dotted lane extensions through the intersection for left turns on all approaches.

## Capital Circle Southeast and Woodville Highway



Table 16: Capital Circle SE and Woodville Highway Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Off Road | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Additional Notes: Crashes at this intersection mostly included failure to yield right-of-way.

## North Monroe and John Knox Road



Table 17: North Monroe Street and John Knox Road Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Roadway Improvements
a. Consider median installation on N Monroe Street (northward) to reduce conflicting movements.
2. Signal Phasing
a. Change NB left-turn phase to "Protected Only.

## West Tharpe Street and Old Bainbridge Road



Table 18: West Tharpe Street and Old Bainbridge Road Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Right Angle | Head On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection of West Tharpe Street and Old Bainbridge Road:

1. Signal Phasing
a. Change NB and SB left turn phases to "Protected Only."
2. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe dotted lane line extensions for left turns through the intersection.
3. Pedestrian Detection
a. Convert pedestrian detectors to "Countdown" and/or "Audible" detection signals.
b. Consider exclusive pedestrian phase (i.e., all traffic approaches stop when pedestrian detectors are activated)


Table 19: North Monroe Street and Lakeshore Drive Fatal/Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Pedestrian | Bicyclist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection of N Monroe Street and Lakeshore Drive:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes at this intersection involved excessive speeding and pedestrian failure to yield right-of-way.

## URBAN SEGMENTS

The ten urban segments with the highest crash rates in the four-county area are listed in Table 20. The urban segments analyzed typically involved crashes related to bicycle and pedestrian conflicts as well as rear-end, sideswipe, and left and right-turns. As a result, the common potential countermeasures determined included items such as improved pedestrian facilities (signage, detectors, crosswalks, etc.), median installation, and sight distance improvements. A summary of the ten (10) urban segments studied are described on the following pages. The detailed urban segment analysis for all ten locations is contained in APPENDIX C.

Table 20: Top Ten Urban Segments

| Rank | Location | County | Fatal Crashes | Serious Injury Crashes | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mission Road | Leon | 2 | 1 | 7,600 |
| 2 | Pensacola Street | Leon | 2 | 2 | 18,800 |
| 3 | Jackson Bluff Road | Leon | 0 | 3 | 9,600 |
| 4 | St. Augustine Street | Leon | 0 | 3 | 7,400 |
| 5 | Gaines Street | Leon | 0 | 4 | 20,400 |
| 6 | Railroad Avenue | Leon | 0 | 3 | 6,300 |
| 7 | Duval Street | Leon | 0 | 3 | 8,500 |
| 8 | Ridge Road | Leon | 1 | 4 | 6,600 |
| 9 | Apalachee Parkway | Leon | 0 | 13 | 31,000 |
| 10 | Jefferson Street | Jefferson | 0 | 3 | 8,000 |



Table 21: Mission Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Pedestrian | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Mission Road from Fred George Road to Moon Lane:

1. Pavement Markings

Restripe the intersection of Mission Road and Gearhart Road.

## West Pensacola Street between Appleyard Drive and Mabry Street



Table 22: Pensacola Street Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Pedestrian | Left Turn | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Pensacola Street from Appleyard Drive to Mabry Street:

1. Segment Signage
a. Provide advanced "high pedestrian area" signage (Many pedestrians are present).
2. Segment Congestion
a. Install a median along Pensacola Street to reduce conflicting movements between vehicles and pedestrians.
b. Install speed feedback signs along the segment in both the eastbound and westbound directions.
3. Pensacola Street in the area near Cactus Street
a. Relocate black fencing on NE corner of intersection to improve sight distance.
4. Pedestrian Detection
a. Convert pedestrian detectors along the segment to "Audible" detection signals.
b. Provide Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) along the segment.


Table 23: Jackson Bluff Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Off Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Jackson Bluff Road from Ausley Road to North Lake Bradford Road:

1. Jackson Bluff Road in the area near Airport Drive
a. Trim bushes on NE and SW corners of intersection (sight distance issue).

Additional Safety Notes:

- Install a median along Jackson Bluff Road (similar to the median along Mission Road).

St. Augustine Street between Woodward Way and Copeland Street


Table 24: St. Augustine Street Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Bicycle | Pedestrian | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of St. Augustine Street between Woodward Way and Copeland Street:

1. Pedestrian Signage
a. Repair the Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon, on the south shoulder at Gay Street, which is not functioning.

Additional Notes: Sight distance on the southbound approach is limited at the intersection of St.
Augustine Street and Gay Street.


Table 25: Gaines Street Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Pedestrian | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Gaines Street between Woodward Avenue and Railroad Avenue:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment involved alcohol, excessive speed, and failure to obey traffic control devices.

Railroad Avenue between Gaines Street and Robert and Trudie Perkins Way


Table 26: Railroad Avenue Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Off Road | Left Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Railroad Avenue between Gaines Street and Gamble Street:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe the stop bar and crosswalk on the eastbound approach to Railroad Avenue, south of the Hampton Inn.
2. Pavement/Railroad Condition
a. Repair railroad crossing to eliminate uneven (i.e., rough) road conditions.


Table 27: Duval Street Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Angle | Unknown | Sideswipe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Duval Street between West Tennessee Street and Pensacola Street:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment involved failure to obey traffic control devices and vehicles being operated in a negligent manner.

Additional Notes: Sight distance is limited due to uphill grades on the northbound and eastbound approaches at the intersection of Duval Street and College Avenue.


Table 28: North Ridge Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Pedestrian | Off Road | Angle | Sideswipe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of North Ridge Road between Springsax Road and South Adams Street:

1. Ridge Road in the area of Sunnyside Drive (sight distance issue)
a. Trim bushes on SW corner of intersection.
b. Relocate bus stop on SW corner of intersection.
c. Add stop bars on the NB and SB approaches.

## Apalachee Parkway between Blair Stone Road and Capital Circle Southeast



Table 29: Apalachee Parkway Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> Turn | Right <br> Turn | Bicycle | Angle | Pedestrian | Rear <br> End | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Apalachee Parkway between Blair Stone Road and Capital Circle Southeast:

1. Segment Congestion
a. Reduce posted speed limit on Apalachee Parkway.
2. Apalachee Parkway near Victory Garden Drive
a. Remove approximately 6 feet of bushes on NE corner (sight distance issue).

Additional Safety Notes:

- Stop sign location at Evangeline Way should be repositioned.
- Stop sign at the Target driveway was observed to be "loose and leaning."


## Jefferson Street between Seminole Avenue and Narcoosa Road



Table 30: Jefferson Street Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Pedestrian | Angle | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Jefferson Street between Seminole Avenue and Nacoosa Road:

1. Jefferson Street at Cooper's Pond Road
a. Trim bushes and remove sign on the NW corner of the intersection (to improve sight distance on EB approach).

## RURAL SEGMENTS

The ten (10) rural segments with the highest crash rates for the four-county area are listed in Table 31. The rural segments analyzed typically involve high-speed, horizontal curves, and off-road crashes. As a result, the common potential countermeasures determined included items such as patching shoulder ruts, horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage, and installation of longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markers on the centerline and outside lane line. A summary of the ten rural segments studied are described on the following pages. The detailed rural segment analysis for all ten locations is contained in APPENDIX D.

Table 31: Top Ten Rural Segments

| Rank | Location | County | Fatality | Serious <br> Injury | AADT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hardaway Highway | Gadsden | 2 | 1 | 550 |
| 2 | Providence Road | Gadsden | 1 | 2 | 1,500 |
| 3 | Smith Creek Road | Leon | 1 | 2 | 600 |
| 4 | Crawfordville Highway | Wakulla | 1 | 2 | 15,700 |
| 5 | Wakulla Springs Road | Leon | 0 | 4 | 7,700 |
| 6 | Oak Ridge Road | Leon | 3 | 2 | 3,700 |
| 7 | Old Plank Road | Leon | 2 | 2 | 1,400 |
| 8 | Old Lloyd Road | Jefferson | 0 | 3 | 1,200 |
| 9 | Aucilla Highway | Jefferson | 0 | 3 | 700 |
| 10 | Ashville Highway | Jefferson | 0 | 5 | 1,800 |



Table 32: Hardaway Highway Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Off Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Hardaway Highway between Lincoln Avenue and Cochran Road:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment were alcohol, excessive speed, and animal related.

## Providence Road (Greensboro Highway to Hosford Highway)



Table 33: Providence Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Left Turn | Pedestrian | Rollover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Providence Road between Greensboro Highway and Hosford Highway:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe segment (Striping currently faded/not visible).
b. Replace reflective markers on segment ( $90 \%$ of markers are currently missing).
2. Speed Limit Signage
a. Install eastbound speed limit signs on the segment.
3. Providence Road and Noah Lane/Union Chapel Road
a. Provide advance intersection warning signs.
b. Provide striping for southbound approach stop bar on Union Chapel Road (Missing).
c. Relocate "Precinct 7" sign (Sight Distance issue).
4. Providence Road near Juniper Creek Road
a. Fix rutting on the inside shoulder of the horizontal curve (Shoulder drop-off).
b. Fix flashing light on horizontal alignment signs.
c. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- There is evidence of other vehicles leaving the road on the outside of the curve near Juniper Creek Road from both east and west directions.

Smith Creek Road (Stoutamire Landing Rd to Leon/Wakulla County Line)


Table 34: Smith Creek Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Off Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Smith Creek Road between Stoutamire Landing Road and the Leon/Wakulla County line:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Replace reflective markers on segment ( $90 \%$ of markers are currently missing).
2. Smith Creek Road near Generation Gap Trail
a. Fix rutting on shoulder (Shoulder drop-off).
b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).


Table 35: Crawfordville Highway Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Right Turn | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Crawfordville Highway between East Ivan Road and Mike Stewart Drive:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment involved cell phone distraction and failure to obey traffic control device.


Table 36: Wakulla Springs Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Rear End | Head On | Off Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Wakulla Springs Road between Crawfordville Road and Oak Ridge Road:

1. Pavement Markings

Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.

## Oak Ridge Road (Wakulla Springs Road to Woodville Highway)



Oak Ridge Road is a two-lane undivided major collector.
Table 37: Oak Ridge Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Bicycle | Rollover | Off Road | Pedestrian | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Oak Ridge Road from Wakulla Springs Road to Woodville Highway:

1. Pavement Markings
b. Restripe the St. Marks Trail Crossing (Crosswalk faded/not visible).
c. Restripe segment (Striping currently faded/not visible).
d. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.
2. Trail Crossing Sign Improvements
a. Improve existing trail crossing signage.
b. Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) for trail crossing.
c. Change intersection of Oak Ridge Rd and Old Woodville Rd to All-Way Stop-Controlled.
3. Oak Ridge Road near the curve just west of Henry Jones Road
a. Fix rutting on shoulder (Shoulder drop-off).
b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

Old Plank Road between Tram Road and Natural Bridge Road


Table 38: Old Plank Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Off Road | Head On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Old Plank Road between Tram Road and Natural Bridge Road:

1. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
2. Pavement Markings

Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.

## Old Lloyd Road between Washington Street and Rabon Road



Table 39:Old Lloyd Road Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Sideswipe | Pedestrian | Off Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Old Lloyd Road between Washington Street and Rabon Road:

1. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
2. Pavement Markings
a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.


Table 40: Aucilla Highway Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Off Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Aucilla Highway from Jefferson Street to Salt Road:

1. Speed Limit Signage
a. Install eastbound speed limit sign on the west end of segment, near US 19.
2. Advanced Warning Signs
a. Change horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage to 40 mph instead of 30 mph ( 30 mph is too slow).
3. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
4. Aucilla Highway near Turkey Scratch Road
a. Provide horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage (Not currently provided).
b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- There is evidence of other vehicles leaving the road on the outside of the curve near Turkey Scratch Road from both east and west directions.


Table 41: Ashville Highway Fatal and Serious Injury Crash Data

| Year | All Crashes | Off Road | Head On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment of Ashville Highway between St. Margaret's Church Road and Salt Road:

1. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
2. Pavement Markings
a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.

Additional Safety Notes:

- Overall pavement condition has degraded (poor to satisfactory).


## Implementation Plan

## PROJECTS AND STRATEGIES

Based on the congestion and safety analysis, a list of potential projects to address safety and congestion was developed. The categories for the identified projects and strategies include the following:

- Safety: Intersections: Potential Countermeasures (Table 42)
- Safety: Urban Segments: Potential Countermeasures (Table 43)
- Safety: Rural Segments: Potential Countermeasures (Table 44)
- Recurring Congestion: Project/Strategy (Table 45)
- Nonrecurring Congestion: Project/Strategy (Table 46)

Table 42: Safety: Intersections: Potential Countermeasures

| County | Location | Potential Countermeasures | AADT/Crash Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wakulla | *US 98 and Woodville Highway | 1. Intersection Control <br> a. Install Larger or enhanced "red" signal. <br> b. Change Intersection to All Way Stop Control. <br> c. Change intersection to roundabout. <br> d. Conduct Signal Warrant Study. <br> 2. Intersection Signage <br> a. Reevaluate advance warning signs on minor street approaches. <br> 3. Bicycle Lanes <br> a. Provide designated bicycle lanes on each intersection approach. <br> Additional Safety Note: <br> - Lighting could be considered at intersection to enhance visibility. | 4,875/Three Crashes: Two Fatalities and One Serious Injury (One Bicycle Fatality) |
| Leon | *Apalachee Parkway and WW Kelly Road | 1. Sight Distance <br> a. Remove tree debris and relocate "Hunt Pizza" sign in NE quadrant (Sight distance issue). | 12,500/Two Crashes; One Fatality and One Serious Injury |
| Leon | *Orange Avenue and South Adams Street | 1. Driveway Conflicts <br> a. Relocate "Do Not Enter" sign to next driveway to the north. <br> b. Include "Right-Turn Only" signs for vehicles exiting the driveway. <br> c. Install SB "No Left Turn" signs on the south leg, right shoulder. <br> 2. Access Management <br> a. Construct new median on the south leg <br> b. redesign northern Post Office driveway to eliminate northbound and eastbound left turning movements. | 42,400/Seven Crashes: Four Fatalities and Three Serious Injuries (One Bicycle Related Crash) |
| Leon | *West Tennessee and Stadium Drive | 1. Signal Phasing <br> a. Change EB and WB Left turn Phases to "Protected Only". <br> Additional Safety Note: <br> - Consider removing sharrows on West Tennessee Street. | 45,800/Six Crashes: Zero Fatalities, and Six Serious Injuries (One Pedestrian Related Crash) |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { County } & \text { Location } & \text { Potential Countermeasures } & \text { AADT/Crash Data } \\
\hline \text { Leon } & \text { *West Tennessee Street and Geddie Road } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Signal Phasing } \\
\text { a. Change EB and WB left turn phases to } \\
\text { "Protected Only." } \\
\text { Additional Note: } \\
\text { Consider removing sharrows on } \\
\text { West Tennessee Street. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 21,900/Two Crashes: } \\
\text { Zero Fatalities and } \\
\text { Two Serious Injuries }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { Leon } & \text { *North Monroe Street and Fred George Road } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1.Pavement Markings } \\
\text { a. Provide dotted lane extension through } \\
\text { the intersection for left turns on all } \\
\text { approaches. } \\
\text { 2. Signal Phasing }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 29.300/Three Crashes: } \\
\text { One Fatality and Two } \\
\text { Serious Injuries }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Leonange NB and SB turn phases to\end{array}\right]\)| "Protected Only". |
| :--- |

*Click Location for Detailed Analysis

Table 43: Safety: Urban Segments: Potential Countermeasures

| County | Location | Project/Strategy | AADT/Crash Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leon | *Mission Road | 1. Pavement Markings <br> a. Restripe the intersection of Mission Road and Gearhart <br> Road | 7,600/Three Crashes: <br> Two Fatalities and <br> One Serious Injury |
| Leon | *Pensacola Street | 1. Segment Signage <br> a. Provide advanced "high pedestrian area" signage <br> 2. Segment Congestion <br> a. Install a median along Pedestrian to reduce conflicting <br> movements <br> between vehicles and pedestrians <br> b. Install speed feedback signs along the segment in both <br> the eastbound <br> and westbound directions <br> 3. Pensacola Street in the area near Cactus Street <br> a. Relocate black fencing on NE corner of intersection to <br> improve <br> sight distance <br> 4. Pedestrian Detection | 18,800/Seven <br> Crashes: Two <br> Fatalities and Five <br> Serious Injuries <br> (Three Pedestrian <br> Related Crashes) |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | a. Convert pedestrian detectors along the segment to <br> "Audible" detection signals <br> b. Provide Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFBs) <br> along the segment |
| Leon |  |  | *Jackson Bluff Road |


| County | Location | Project/Strategy | AADT/Crash Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leon | *Apalachee Parkway | 1. Segment Congestion <br> a. Reduce Posted Speed on Apalachee Parkway <br> 2. Near Victory Garden Drive Area <br> a. Remove approximately 6 feet of bushes in NE corner | 31,000/Thirteen <br> Crashes: Zero <br> Fatalities and <br> Thirteen Serious Injuries <br> (Two Bicycle and One Pedestrian <br> Related Crashes) |
| Jefferson | *Jefferson Street | 1. Jefferson Street at Cooper's Pond Road <br> a. Trim bushes and remove sign on the NW corner of the intersection, to improve EB approach sight distance | 8,000/Three <br> Crashes: Zero <br> Fatalities and Three Serious Injuries (One Pedestrian Related Crash) |

*Click Location for Detailed Analysis

Table 44: Safety: Rural Segments: Potential Countermeasures

| County | Location | Potential Countermeasures | AADT/Crash Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gadsden | *Providence Road | 1. Pavement Markings <br> a. Restripe segment (Striping currently faded/not <br> visible). <br> b. Replace reflective markers on segment (90\% of <br> markers are currently missing). <br> 2. Speed Limit Signage <br> a. Install eastbound speed limit signs on the segment. <br> 3. Providence Road and Noah Lane/Union Chapel | 1,500/Three Crashes: <br> One Fatality and Two <br> Serious Injury |
|  |  |  | Road <br> a. Provide advance intersection warning signs. <br> b. Provide striping for southbound approach stop bar <br> on Union Chapel Road (Missing). <br> c. Relocate "Precinct 7" sign (Sight Distance issue). <br> 4. Providence Road near Juniper Creek Road <br> a. Fix rutting on the inside shoulder of the horizontal <br> curve (Shoulder drop-off). <br> b. Fix flashing light on horizontal alignment signs. <br> c. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory <br> features such as reflective pavement markers and <br> chevron alignment signs (including reflective post). <br> Additional Notes: There is evidence of other vehicles <br> leaving the road on the outside of the curve near <br> Juniper Creek Road |
| Leon |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | *Smith Creek Road |

*Click Location for Detailed Analysis

| County | Location | Project/Strategy | AADT/Crash Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leon | *Oak Ridge Road | 1. Pavement Markings <br> a. Restripe the St. Marks Trail Crossing (Crosswalk faded/not visible). <br> b. Restripe segment (Striping currently faded/not visible). <br> c. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line. <br> 2. Trail Crossing Sign Improvements <br> a. Improve existing trail crossing signage. <br> b. Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) for trail crossing. <br> c. Change intersection of Oak Ridge Rd and Old Woodville Rd to All-Way Stop-Controlled. <br> 3. Oak Ridge Road near the curve just west of Henry Jones Road <br> a. Fix rutting on shoulder (Shoulder drop-off). <br> b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post). | 3,700/Five Crashes: Three Fatalities and Two Serious Injury (One Bicycle Related Crash and One Pedestrian Related Crash) |
| Leon | *Old Plank Road | 1. Pavement Condition <br> a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (shoulder drop-offs). <br> 2. Pavement Markings <br> a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line | 1,400/Four Crashes: Two Fatalities and Two Serious Injury |
| Jefferson | *Old Lloyd Road | 1. Pavement Condition <br> a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder dropoffs). <br> 2. Pavement Markings <br> a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line. | 1,200/Three Crashes: Zero Fatalities and Three Serious Injury (One Pedestrian Related Crash) |
| Jefferson | *Ashville Highway | 1. Pavement Condition <br> a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder dropoffs). <br> 2. Pavement Markings <br> a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line. | 1,800/Five Crashes: Zero Fatalities and Five Serious Injury |
| Jefferson | *Aucilla Highway | 1. Speed Limit Signage <br> a. Install eastbound speed limit sign on the west end of segment, near US 19. <br> 2. Advanced Warning Signs <br> a. Change horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage to 40 mph instead of 30 mph ( 30 mph is too slow). <br> 3. Pavement Condition <br> a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder dropoffs). <br> 4. Aucilla Highway near Turkey Scratch Road <br> a. Provide horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage (Not currently provided). <br> b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post). | 700/Three Crashes: Zero Fatalities and Three Serious Injury |

[^0]Table 45: Recurring Congestion: Project/Strategy

| County | Location | Project/Strategy | AADT | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leon | Betton Road between Thomasville Road and Centerville Road | Study to evaluate complete street improvements. | 18,800 | LOS E |
| Leon | East Tennessee Street between Monroe Street and Meridian Street | Study to evaluate complete street improvements. | 37,000 | LOS F |
| Leon | Thomasville Road between 6th Avenue and North Gadsden Street | Operational Improvements currently in design phase by FDOT. | 19,000 | LOS F |
| Leon | Orange Avenue between Springhill Road and Wahnish Way | Capacity Improvement Project is currently in design phase by FDOT. | 20,400 | LOS F |
| Leon | Bannerman Road between North Meridian Road and McBride Point | Capacity Improvement Project currently in design by Blueprint IA from Preservation Road to Quail Commons Drive. | 20,200 | LOS F |
| Leon | West Tharpe Street between Ocala to West Burns Drive | Blueprint IA currently preparing PD\&E Study to identify preferred alternative. | 14,000 | LOS E |
| Wakulla | Crawfordville Highway between MLK Road and Wakulla Springs Rd. | Widening programmed in LRTP. | 15,000 | LOS D |
| Leon | Bradford Road between N. Meridian Road and Thomasville Road | Study to evaluate complete street improvements | 17,000 | LOS F |
| Leon | Woodville Hwy between Oak Ridge Rd and Capital Circle | Study to evaluate complete street improvements. | 15,000 | LOS F |
| Leon | Old Bainbridge Road between West Brevard St. and Myrick Road | Study to evaluate complete street improvements. | 14,000 | LOS F |
| Leon | Centerville Road between Welaunee Boulevard and Glenncrest Lane | Study to evaluate capacity and operational improvements. | 21,500 | LOS F |
| Leon | Monroe Street between Apalachee Pkwy and E. Jefferson Street | Study to evaluate operational improvements. | 34,500 | LOS E |
| Leon | South Meridian between East Gaines Street and East Lafayette Street | Study to evaluate operational improvements. | 19,800 | LOS F |
| Leon | White Drive between South of Tennessee St and Mission Road | Study to evaluate complete street improvements | 11,500 | LOS F |
| Leon | Bradford Road between E. Dellview Dr. and Shopping Center Entrance | Study to evaluate complete street improvements | 10,200 | LOS E |
| Leon | Centerville Road between North of 7th Ave and Blair Stone Road | Study to evaluate complete street improvements | 13,500 | LOS E |
| Leon | Buck Lake Road between Buck Lake Trail and Chairs Cross Rd | Study to evaluate complete street improvements | 11,500 | LOS D |
| Leon | Centerville Road between Bradfordville Rd and SR 59 | Study to evaluate complete street improvements | 10,200 | LOS D |

Table 46: Nonrecurring Congestion: Project/Strategy

| County | Location | Project/Strategy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leon | Northbound South Monroe between East Madison and East Gaines | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Northbound Varsity Dr E intersecting with West Pensacola Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Southbound Appleyard Drive intersecting with West Tennessee | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Westbound Miccosukee Road at Capital Circle SE | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Southbound Railroad Avenue between East Madison and West Gaines Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Northbound Monroe Street between Jefferson Street and Apalachee Parkway | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Eastbound West Gaines Street between S. Monroe and Duval Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Eastbound Betton Rd intersecting with Thomasville Road | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Westbound Orange Avenue intersecting with Capital Circle SE | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Northbound S Monroe St. between W Tennessee St. and E. Jefferson Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Southbound N Franklin Blvd intersecting with E. Tennessee Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Off-ramp from WB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with N. Monroe St. | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Off-ramp from EB I-10 (SR 8) intersecting with N. Monroe St. | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |
| Leon | Southbound N Meridian St between E. Virginia St and E. Tennessee Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue length problems; signal timing optimization; integration of traffic information systems |


| Leon | Westbound E Tennessee St between N Franklin Blvd and S. Monroe <br> Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue <br> length problems; signal timing <br> optimization; integration of traffic <br> information systems |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leon | Northbound S Duval St between W Madison St and W Gaines Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue <br> length problems; signal timing <br> optimization; integration of traffic <br> information systems |
| Leon | Northbound S Monroe St between E Madison St and Apalachee <br> Pkwy | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue <br> length problems; signal timing <br> optimization; integration of traffic <br> information systems |
| Leon | Northbound S Bronough St between W Gaines St and W Madison <br> Street | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue <br> length problems; signal timing <br> optimization; integration of traffic <br> information systems |
| Leon | Eastbound E 6th Ave between N Gadsden St and Thomasville Road | Evaluate accessibility issues and queue <br> length problems; signal timing <br> optimization; integration of traffic <br> information systems |
| Leon | Northbound North Magnolia Drive intersecting with Miccosukee Road |  | | Leon Gaines St intersecting with S Monroe Street |
| :--- |
| Leon |

## Next Steps

## CMP Actions \& Recommendations

The recurring congestion analysis identified twenty-two (22) roadway segments in the CRTPA Region that currently do not meet the required LOS standard using the FDOT's 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables. Fifteen of the twenty-two segments are located within Tallahassee's City Limit with a LOS standard of D. The remaining eight segments are outside the City Limit and have a LOS standard of C. Eleven of the twenty-two segments are currently operating a LOS F based on the AADT analysis. The team also completed a refined analysis on selected segments that failed to meet the LOS target using FDOT's 2023 Generalized Service Volume Tables, which takes into consideration Context Classification. Four roadway segments that failed to meet the LOS standard using the 2020 Generalized Service Volume Tables met the 2023 Generalized Service Volume Tables which reduced the total number of segments failing to meet LOS standards to eighteen.

The nonrecurring congestion analysis identified twenty (20) roadway directional locations with the highest Daily Planning Time Index (PTI) which represents the additional travel time that a traveler should budget for to ensure on-time arrival 95 percent of the time. The nonrecurring congestion analysis identified twenty (20) roadway directional locations with the highest Peak Hour Planning Time Index. Cross referencing the Daily and Peak Hour PTI roadway locations, there are twenty-nine (29) unique locations with the highest Daily and Peak Hour nonrecurring congestion.

As part of the Regional Mobility Plan (RMP) update (2050), which will begin in late 2024, the roadway segments that fail to meet LOS standards identified in both the recurring and nonrecurring congestion, should be considered for further analysis and improvements programmed accordingly within the RMP.

The CMP analysis also identified safety hotspots which are locations with the highest safety concerns in the CRTPA region. The thirty (30) locations including ten intersections, ten rural segments and ten urban segments with the highest crash rates, related to serious injuries and fatalities. Field reviews were conducted at each location and potential countermeasures were identified.

It is recommended that additional, more detailed analyses be completed for the thirty locations identified in the safety analysis. Working with CRTPA agency partners, develop specific safety improvement plans for each of the thirty locations and include potential funding sources. These safety projects should be considered for programming within the Five-Year Transportation Improvement Program.

As part of the CMP process, four goals were identified, along with specific objectives and performance measures. The CRTPA, along with its agency partners, should periodically collect system data to evaluate the CMP performance measures and to determine the network congestion and safety trends and make necessary adjustments and recommendations.

The CRTPA should monitor the effectiveness, in concert with local partners, the strategies and projects contained in the CMP. An assessment of the efficiency and effectiveness of proposed strategies should take place concurrently with the development and update of the Regional Mobility Plan (RMP), scheduled to begin in 2024. The evaluation of the projects simultaneously with the adopted RMP enables decisionmakers and agencies the opportunity to select the most effective strategies for future implementation. The results of the evaluation will provide information that will allow the CRTPA to make necessary changes or modifications to the CMP.

Evaluation of the CMP projects after their initial implementation will be completed by the sponsoring agency. As with most management systems and processes, the CMP is data intensive. It is anticipated that the sponsoring agency will be responsible for compiling the necessary data, conducting the performance evaluations and producing a user-friendly performance-based report.

## APPENDIX A

## STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS

## Attendees

| Greg Burke | CRTPA | Planning Manager |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Joshua Hollingsworth | City of Tallahassee | Traffic Engineer, TMC |
| Steve Shafer | Not in attendance | City Engineer |
| Allen Secreast | City of Tallahassee | Traffic Operation Manager |
| Eric Gooch | City of Tallahassee | Public Infrastructure Manager |
| Greg Slay | CRTPA | Executive Director |
| Jack Kostrzewa | CRTPA | Assistant Director |
| Suzanne Lex | CRTPA | Programs Manager |
| Wayne Bryan | Not in attendance | Manager, TMC |
| Gary Phillips | Halff Associates | Project Manager |
| Tyrone Scorsone | Kittelson Associates | Technical Lead |
| Tim Smith | Halff Associates | Senior Planner |

## 1. Introduction

Greg Burke led meeting with discussion of the CMP process update effort.
Gary Phillips provided an overview of the meeting agenda that includes the Final Draft Network, Local Data, Key Issues and Projects and Next Steps.

## 2. Draft Network

Tyrone Scorsone reviewed the process for developing the draft network.
The project team utilized the 4-county area base map as a starting point. Key inputs to the CMP process include volumes, speed, and crashes. ITS instruments will be key in providing data useful in measuring network performance. This important step is to identify the network and any data or instrumentation gaps. Volume is a necessary consideration for determining network. If volume data is not available on a roadway it is excluded from the CMP as the data will not be available to conduct performance measure evaluation.

The 40-80k cohort is a large spread and we might need to create additional categories.
Overall roadway volumes are not ideal for providing the information needed to make decisions, directional volumes are preferred. We may also want to use $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios in addition to volume.

FHWA's Functional Classification was used to capture anything that is a major collector or above. Additional roads that have been added to the Network even though they are not major collectors or above include:

- Blair Stone Rd.
- Miccosukee Rd.
- Orange Ave.


## 3. Probe Speed Data

Detailed discussion on the use of probe speed data and its availability. It can be very useful as speed is an important performance measure.

There is a desire to compare the Blue Toad Data obtained by the TMC with the available INRIX or HERE data. The team would like to pull the local data and compare with the other two data sets? This will allow us to see how consistent or accurate we think they are and know if they are useful for filing data gaps. We have not yet compared the data sources; however, experience shows that local data is almost always superior to the commercial data sets.

Using Blue Toad Data compared to the others on a corridor or segment basis may give a good idea of how close they are or what factors we might use to calibrate commercial data on corridors where we don't have Blue Toad Data. The City has data in most locations going back 2 years or so. There is an issue with trying to do a mass data pull at one time. The City has pulled data for most locations in the last year. Anything prior to that would require an individual data pull which is time consuming.

There is no commercial data on Blair Stone, Miccosukee and Centerville roads. Do we want to go beyond Capital Circle on these roadways? Using local Blue Toad Data, they will be added into the study network. We will also look at adding Welaunee Boulevard with the development going on out there. The study team agreed these roadways would be added to the network.

## 4. Safety and Projects

The CMP Report update did not conduct a detailed analysis on causality of the crashes. It is notable that many of the locations of concern from the 2018 CMP are one-way pairs. It would be nice in this update to be able to identify crash types when creating crash rates based on data availability.

We may be able to obtain crash reports from TPD worked crashes relatively soon as they are in the City system. Most other crash reports take about 60 days to show up in the system (FHP, Leon Co.).

Using the V/C ratio may not be an ideal performance measure as it tends to lend to capacity increasing projects where the CMP process is more focused on shorter term operational type improvements. The CMP is not where we want to be adding lanes to large corridors, that is the LRTP's responsibility.

The CMP process should identify projects and strategies that we can show progress on in a year or two.

## 5. Bottlenecks

These is some concern with using the NPMRDS data because some intersections that we expected to see did not show up on the list during the 2018 process.

Monroe at Gaines is obvious but it's pretty much optimized. There's not a lot we can do to improve it. We need to look for or identify locations that we can actually improve. What locations would function better with a turn lane or signal optimization for example?
6. Action Items:

- City of Tallahassee staff will review and mark up the draft network for editing.
- Consultant team to make edits to draft network for final review and provide shapefile.
- City of Tallahassee will compile local traffic data and provide to consultant team.
- Consultant team plans on having someone visit the TMC (likely Dave Muntean).
- Greg B. stated he would be sharing the Final Draft Goals and Objectives with the Technical Task Force. Once those are final, we can move forward with identifying performance measures in detail.


## Attendees

| Greg Burke | CRTPA | Planning Manager |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chris Muehlemann | Leon County | Senior Design Engineer |
| Charles Wu | Leon County | Engineering Services Director |
| Greg Slay | CRTPA | Executive Director |
| Jack Kostrzewa | CRTPA | Assistant Director |
| Suzanne Lex | CRTPA | Programs Manager |
| Gary Phillips | Halff Associates | Project Manager |
| Tyrone Scorsone | Kittelson Associates | Technical Lead |
| Tim Smith | Halff Associates | Senior Planner |

## 1. Introduction

Greg Burke led meeting with discussion of the CMP process update effort.
Gary Phillips provided an overview of the meeting agenda with discussion on the Final Draft Network, Local Data, Key Issues and Projects in Leon County and Next Steps.

## 2. Draft Network

Tyrone provided an overview of the draft network and the data sets available to help determine which facilities should be included. The following data sets were reviewed:

- Roadway ownership.
- Locations where data was available such as Bluetooth and roadway volumes (FDOT).
- Crash data for all of Leon County. One strategy to organize all this crash data is to create a high injury network where we weight crashes based on injury severity and highlight the worst performing segments.
- The location of ITS instruments. Not all of the instruments are connected to the fiber network they still provide data, however.
- Functional classification.
- Probe speed data. Speed is an important input in determining congestion and travel time delay.

Discussion on the Functional Classification map and how there are mismatches between the FHWA and local government classifications of certain roadways. This is a known issue and there are future efforts planned to address these inconsistencies on these segments, but it's not believed to be an issue for this CMP update.

All the reviewed data inputs were used to develop the draft proposed network. There are also other segments where data exists such as Miccosukee Road beyond capital circle that may be included.

Discussion on the addition of Miccosukee Road beyond Capital Circle and how TMC data is available. The ITS instrument sites are however further apart than ideal. The instrument sites on Centerville are fine and the data should be usable.

## 3. Local Data Availability

Leon County has roadway volumes in their concurrency system up to 2019. This data could be compiled or provided as needed. One downside is the data is in tabular format and not georeferenced. If the data was georeferenced (X,Y coordinates, Lat/Long) it would make mapping the data in GIS possible. Many of the data points identify the location as on a side of an intersection but without actual point location data. Leon County can compile and provide data for any specific road as needed from the concurrency system and will also ask their contractor about adding georeferencing to the data.

Leon County can provide any data obtained from traffic studies in the last several years. Most of these will be 72-hour counts.

## 4. Projects

Intersection improvements

1. Capital Circle northwest and old Bainbridge Road (realignment of old Bainbridge)
2. Old St. Augustine and Blair Stone Road, extending the turn lane eastbound and westbound and adding an additional turn lane. Currently in ROW acquisition.

Other projects

- Bannerman Road Capacity Improvements from Meridian Road to Quail Commons Drive. Improvements are planned at Meridian, Bannerman and Orchard Pond intersection, currently evaluating a roundabout option.
- There are sight distance problems at Meridian and Maclay Roads due to high banks on the shoulders and you can't turn right on red.
- Orange Avenue capacity improvements - South Lake Bradford to South Monroe.
- Northeast Gateway Extension up to Roberts Rd.
- The County has an active sidewalk construction program. Monroe (meridian) Dr Pontiac to Diamond Dr and then Diamond to Apalachee Parkway. This will create an entire loop all the way to Adams.
- Leon County just completed a safety analysis on Springhill Road from Capital Circle to the County Line and they are recommending enhanced visibility through chevrons, RPMs, and striping and reduction of speed limit through some of the curves.


## 5. Other Discussion

Concern was raised regarding the NE Gateway / Welaunee Blvd Extension as the project may increase traffic on Bradfordville Road which does not have good shoulders. This type of project is more suited for inclusion in the Long-Range Transportation Plan and can be addressed during that plan update process.

The new FHWA Safe Streets for All program was mentioned as a potential new funding source. It appears there may be some money available through that program but probably not enough to make a material difference.

The plan is to take these early plan deliverables Goals, Objectives, Performance Measures and Projects to the board and committees in September with ultimate completion about a year from then.
6. Action Items:

- Consultant team to make edits to draft network for final review.
- Leon Country to compile local traffic data and provide to consultant team.
- Leon Country to discuss potential for georeferencing count data locations with contractor.

July 20, 2022

## Attendees

| Greg Burke | CRTPA | Planning Manager |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mike King | Wakulla County | Road and Bridge Director |
| Somer Pell | Wakulla County | Planning \& Community Development Director |
| David Edwards | Wakulla County | County Administrator |
| Greg Slay | CRTPA | Executive Director |
| Jack Kostrzewa | CRTPA | Assistant Director |
| Suzanne Lex | CRTPA | Programs Manager |
| Gary Phillips | Halff Associates | Project Manager |
| Tyrone Scorsone | Kittelson Associates | Technical Lead |
| Tim Smith | Halff Associates | Senior Planner |

## 1. Introduction

Greg Burke led the meeting with a discussion of the CMP process update effort.
Gary Phillips provided an overview of the meeting agenda that includes the Final Draft Network, Local Data, Key Issues and Projects in Wakulla County and Next Steps.

## 2. Draft Network

Tyrone Scorsone reviewed the process for developing the draft network.
The project team utilized the 4-county area base map as a starting point. Key inputs to the CMP process include volumes, speed, and crashes. ITS instruments will be key in providing data useful in measuring network performance. One of the key data points is volume. We have roadway volumes for most of the key travel corridors in Wakulla County (Map). We also have probe speed data available on primary corridors in Wakulla County including Highways 319, 61, 30, 369, 267, and 363. This data is obtained from cell phones or in car GPS units.

The study will evaluate safety in addition to roadway volumes. Detailed crash data is available from Signal 4 analytics. The project team will use this data to develop crash rates to identify locations of high concern. US 319 shows up as a corridor of high safety interest.

The project scope also calls for an investigation into functional classification. We used FHWA's functional classification designation to focus the analysis on major collectors and above. However, we are proposing the addition of several roadways that are not major collectors. Roadways to be added to the network include:

- East Ivan Rd. from US 319 to Wakulla Arran Rd.
- Alexander Rd. from Rehwinkel Rd to MLK Jr Blvd.
- Old Shell Point Rd. from Bloxham Cutoff and Wakulla Springs Rd.

In addition, there is a segment of Whidden Lake Rd. that is shown on the network but it's a dirt road and has very little ROW or room for improvements. The County recommends it be removed from the network.

There is no traffic data available for Alexander Rd. Traffic data will be collected by Wakulla County and provided to the study team. The County will look to see if they have a map or location data on where their devices are located and provide to the study team.

Wakulla County has requested that FDOT break up the US 319 widening project into two segments. FDOT has approved the request and there are now two separate projects. That project will be a major improvement to congestion and safety. They have also funded an update to the US 319 project in Leon County. East Ivan to Wakulla Arran. It's up to D3 as to when the projects get funded. ROW is needed first at about 30M.

Wakulla County is looking at ways to get US 319 designated as a SIS facility. CRTPA has a meeting with FDOT to talk about SIS designation in the near future. The lack of SIS designated facilities is one of the big issues in the region as most FDOT capacity funding is used on SIS facilities. Interstate 10 and US 319 North are the only SIS roadways in the CRTPA region.

Wakulla County gets about 1.2M a year out of the road tax for resurfacings, other improvements and new roadways.

The creation of alternative north-south routes to US 319 is the County's primary strategy for supporting that corridor.

## 3. Intersection Safety Projects

The following intersections and locations were identified as either being of concern or having projects already identified for implementation:

- US 98 and Spring Creek was identified as having safety concerns. There are still crashes at that location. FDOT has put in Flashing stop signs and wants to install a signal as last resort. The vertical curve needs to be lowered in order to open the line of sight to the East.
- Woodville Hwy and US 98 also has crashes
- Sopchoppy Highway and US 98 is also experiencing more crashes with the higher traffic volumes from people heading to Franklin Co.
- The light at US 319 and US 98 seems to have fixed most of the issues at that intersection.
- Wakulla Springs Hwy and SR 267 is an intersection of concern. There are two new flashing LED stop signs that were just installed. This location usually sees high speed crashes and is dangerous. This location may be a good candidate for a roundabout.
- MLK Rd. and Spring Creek Hwy is another intersection where the County has installed flashing caution lights and are watching to see when a full traffic signal may be needed.
- MLK Rd. and US 319 is an odd intersection that has accidents due to the shared turn and thru lane on the east side of MLK. A new separate turn lane is needed and currently under design.
- Bloxham and Shell Point Hwy is another big concern area.


## 4. Action Items:

- Consultant team to make edits to draft network for final review.
- Wakulla County to compile local traffic data and provide to consultant team.
- Halff Associates to provide latest update on US 319 and MLK intersection design solution.


## Attendees

| Greg Burke | CRTPA | Planning Manager |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Justin Stiell | Gadsden County | Growth Management Director |
| Agnes Denson | Gadsden County | Contracting Officer |
| Jack Kostrzewa | CRTPA | Assistant Director |
| Suzanne Lex | CRTPA | Programs Manager |
| Gary Phillips | Halff Associates | Project Manager |
| Tyrone Scorsone | Kittelson Associates | Technical Lead |
| Tim Smith | Halff Associates | Senior Planner |

## 1. Introduction

Greg Burke led meeting with discussion of the CMP process update effort.
Gary Phillips provided an overview of the meeting agenda that includes the Final Draft Network, Local Data, Key Issues and Projects in Gadsden County and Next Steps.

## 2. Draft Network

Tyrone Scorsone reviewed the process for developing the draft network.
The project team utilized the 4-county area base map as a starting point. Key inputs to the CMP process include volumes, speed, and crashes. ITS instruments will be key in providing data useful in measuring network performance. One of the key data points is volume.

## 3. Local Data Availability

Any device deployment data in addition to what we've shown available from Gadsden County would also be good to account for. It will help account for gaps in the network and provide better data coverage.

Detailed crash data is available from Signal 4 analytics. The project team will use this data to develop crash rates to identify locations of high concern.

## 4. Projects

There are no immediate projects that county planning staff are aware of. They will check with Gadsden County Public Works to see if they have any intersection or safety projects scheduled.
5. Action Items:

- Consultant team to provide a copy of the draft network to Gadsden County for review.
- Consultant team to provide copy of 2018 CMP Report for their review.
- Gadsden County project staff to meet with public works and provide comments to project team.


## Attendees

| Greg Burke | CRTPA | Planning Manager |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Emily Anderson | City of Monticello | City Clerk |
| Shannon Metty | Jefferson County | Planning Official |
| Greg Slay | CRTPA | Executive Director |
| Jack Kostrzewa | CRTPA | Assistant Director |
| Gary Phillips | Halff Associates | Project Manager |
| Tyrone Scorsone | Kittelson Associates | Technical Lead |
| Tim Smith | Halff Associates | Senior Planner |

## 1. Introduction

Greg Burke led meeting with discussion of the CMP process update effort and reviewed conversation with Shannon from December 2020 when intersection issues were discussed.

Gary Phillips provided an overview of the meeting agenda with discussion on the Draft Network, available Data, Key issues and projects in Jefferson County and next steps.

## 2. Draft Network

Tyrone provided discussion on the draft network and needed information to help identify hot spots and safety needs.

- Volume data
- There is not a lot of speed data coverage in Jefferson County. There was detailed discussion on probe speed data, how it's calculated, analyzed and the value it provides. It relies on triangulation of vehicle probe data, GPS units and cell phones. Once data is compiled is used to identify percentiles over the calendar year and develop travel time reliability metrics. For example, you may find out that a specific trip will take twice as long as normal at a specific frequency over a set period of time.
- We have crash data showing crashes and their severity. Will be used to determine high crash severity network.
- Functional classification is another variable used to determine the study area network. We are focusing on major collectors and above.

All of these data sources have been used to create the draft proposed network. We do have ITS instrument data in other counties but don't know of any in Jefferson County. If the County is collecting any data of their own this would be useful to know and obtain.

## 3. Areas/Issues of Concern

There was question on the proposed toll roads and their impacts on the highway corridors in Jefferson County. FDOT has not moved forward with any more projects related to those toll roads. It is assumed that they are on hold for now or not going to happen at all.

Most of Jefferson County's congestion or traffic is from through traffic on I10, US90, or US27.
There is increasing congestion on US90 at the west side of town from the new Crooked Creek subdivision. Residents have requested reduced speed limits around crooked creek lane.

There are increasing traffic levels on US19 coming in and out of Georgia. GDOT has made recent improvements to US19 on the Georgia side and it appears travelers are using it as an alternative to I75. There may also be a need for traffic calming on US19 due to high speed traffic coming in and out of town. It's a growing commercial area with a lot of driveways.

The intersection of US27 and SR59 (Gamble Rd.) is an area of concern. SR59 is seeing more traffic and a traffic signal was recently installed at the intersection. More out of town through traffic is using SR59 that is impacted by the hill and limited visibility.

There is a new Golf Cart Ordinance that limits golf carts to local streets. This has created some safety concerns as users are driving golf carts on the sidewalks along US 90 .

Commissioner Hall has requested some a safety modification for the roundabout. The concern is for pedestrians crossing the roadway to access the Courthouse. Bulb outs have been installed on US90 and have helped. They have also added 4 more with the repaving of US19 at the intersections of US19 and West Pearl St. and US19 and West Dogwood St. These have helped slow traffic down.

Is there an update on the Waukeenah Hwy and US19 intersection? CRTPA looked at the crash data a month or so ago and there did not seem to be many crashes over a five-year period (3 or so). Signal 4 data was pulled during the meeting and showed 11 crashes since January 2017, most with no injuries. It is believed FHP conducts most if not all of the crash investigations in Jefferson County. Jefferson County staff will follow up to see if the Sheriffs Dept. has any additional crash information.

Truelieve may need a turn lane on US 27. The City may try and require an additional traffic study and turn lane. They may stagger the work shifts. Traffic does back up there on some mornings.

Aucilla Christian Academy off Aucilla Hwy is a location of concern. Rubber speed humps have been installed to slow traffic at the school and have been met with mixed review. They are narrow and tall leading to complaints. There is a need for a better traffic calming strategy there. It may be most effective to approach this as a small local project.

## 4. Action Items:

- Consultant team to provide a copy of the draft network to Jefferson County for review.
- Jefferson County project staff to reach out to Sheriffs Dept to inquire about availability of crash data.


## APPENDIX B

## DETAILED CRASH CAUSATION ANALYSIS

## Congestion Management Plan Crash Causation Analysis
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## Identifying Crash Causes at Intersections

| Location | County | KA <br> Crash <br> Count | Fatality | Serious <br> Injury | Entering <br> traffic <br> volume |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 98 and Woodville Hwy | Wakulla | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4,875 |
| Apalachee Pkwy and WW Kelly Rd | Leon | 2 | 1 | 1 | 12,500 |
| Orange Ave and S. Adams St | Leon | 7 | 4 | 3 | 42,400 |
| W Tennessee St and Stadium Dr | Leon | 6 | 0 | 6 | 45,800 |
| W Tennessee St and Geddie Rd | Leon | 2 | 0 | 2 | 21,900 |
| N Monroe St and Fred George Rd | Leon | 3 | 1 | 2 | 29,350 |
| Capital Cir SE and Woodville Hwy | Leon | 4 | 0 | 4 | 32,350 |
| N Monroe St and John Knox Rd | Leon | 4 | 0 | 4 | 46,150 |
| Old Bainbridge Rd and W Tharpe St | Leon | 3 | 0 | 3 | 37,750 |
| N Monroe St and Lakeshore Dr | Leon | 3 | 0 | 3 | 43,000 |



Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 2 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 3 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85603259 | 88074742 | 88099939 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious injury | Fatality | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 10/30/2017 | 3/23/2019 | 5/12/2019 |
|  | 7:29 AM | 4:00 PM | 2:23 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Bicycle | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dawn | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Cloudy |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling west on US 98, approaching Woodville Hwy. D1 made turn into a driveway west of Woodville Hwy. As D1 turned into the driveway, V1 entered the path of BC1. BC1 struck the right side of V1 | V1 was traveling south on Woodville Hwy, north of US 98. V2 was traveling west on US 98, east of Woodville Hwy. D1 ran the stop sign on the SB approach and entered the intersection. The front of V 2 impacted the left side of V1. | V1 was traveling south on Woodville Hwy, north of US 98. V2 was traveling west on US 98, east of Woodville Hwy. D1 ran the stop sign on the SB approach and entered the intersection. The front of V 2 impacted the left side of V 1 . |
| Note | Bicycle Related Crash (BC1) |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (EB) | Major road (WB) | Minor road (NB) | Minor road (SB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | US 98 | US 98 | Woodville Hwy | Woodville Hwy |
| AADT | 3,100 | 1,250 | 1,700 | 3,100 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph | 45 mph | 45 mph | 45 mph |
| Signal Control | Flashing yellow | Flashing yellow | Stop sign and flashing red | Stop sign and flashing red |
| Exclusive Left-Turn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Exclusive RightTurn Lane | N | Y | N | Y |
| Channelized RightTurn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Lane Width | 13 feet | 13 feet | 13 feet | 13 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Crosswalks | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Median | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N | N | N | N |
| Advanced static warning signs and beacons (990 ft buffer) | N | N | Y | Y |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections
Crash Type Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Angle | Restricted sight distance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | High traffic volume |
|  | High approach speed |
|  | Unexpected crossing traffic |
|  | Drivers running "stop" sign |
|  | Slippery pavement |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Limited sight distance |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Angle | Rossible Contributing Factor(s) |
|  | Drivers running "stop" sign |
|  | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Absence of bicycle lane |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Intersection Control
a. Install larger or enhanced "red" signal indicators.
b. Change intersection to All-Way Stop-Control.
c. Change intersection to roundabout.
d. Conduct Signal Warrant Study to evaluate signalization potential.
2. Intersection Signage
a. Re-evaluate advance warning signs on minor street approaches (to improve visibility).
3. Bicycle Lanes
a. Provide designated bicycle lanes on each intersection approach (currently provided only on the southbound approach).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Lighting could be considered at the intersection to enhance visibility.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> turn | Right <br> angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 87502608 | 87270822 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 9/13/2017 | 4/12/2019 |
|  | 7:15 AM | 11:14 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Left turn | Right angle |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N |
| Bicycle Relate | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling west on Apalachee Parkway, attempting to turn left onto southbound WW Kelley Rd. V2 was traveling east Apalachee Parkway, approaching WW Kelley Rd. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a left turn into the path of V2. V1 failed to yield the right-of-way. | V1 was traveling on south Chaires Cross Rd, approaching Apalachee Parkway. V2 was traveling east on Apalachee Parkway, approaching WW Kelley Rd. <br> As V1 and V2 both arrived at the intersection, V1 ran the flashing red light. The front of V1 impacted the left side of V 2 . |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (EB) | Major road (WB) | Minor road (NB) | Minor road (SB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Apalachee Pkwy | Apalachee Pkwy | WW Kelly Rd | Chaires Cross Rd |
| AADT | 10,500 | 5,500 | 3,100 | 5,900 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph | 55 mph | 35 mph | 35 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | N | N |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | Y | N | Y |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | N | Y |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Permitted | Permitted |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 10 feet | 10 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Median | Y | Y | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| "Prepare to Stop <br> When Flashing" <br> Advance Warning <br> Sign (990 ft buffer) | Y | Y | N | N |
| Advanced static <br> warning signs and <br> beacons (990 ft <br> buffer) | N | N | Y | V |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Right-angle | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Drivers running red light |
| Nighttime | Poor nighttime visibility or lighting |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
|  | Inadequate channelization or delineation |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Left movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Vehicle rollover | Roadside design (e.g., non-traversable side slopes, pavement edge drop off) |
|  | Inadequate shoulder width |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pavement design |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-angle | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Drivers running red light |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
| Nighttime turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Poor nighttime visibility or lighting |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Sight Distance
a. Remove tree debris and relocate "Hunt's Pizza" sign in NE quadrant (sight distance issue).


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> turn | Angle | Rear <br> End | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86962879 | 86959532 | 87842329 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 11/29/2017 | 8/1/2017 | 11/26/2018 |
|  | 6:35 PM | 6:55 PM | 2:15 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Wet |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Cloudy |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | Y | N |
| Narrative | V2 was facing south, stopped in traffic, at the intersection of S Adams St and Orange Ave. V1 was traveling south on S Adams St, approaching Orange Avenue. V1 began to travel into the left turn lane. While merging, the front right of V1 struck the left rear of V2. | V1 was facing north on $S$ Adams St, at Orange Ave. V1 had a green light and was traveling north (in the merge lane) through intersection at Orange Ave. BC1 was traveling east on Orange Ave in the crosswalk. BC1 failed to obey the traffic signal and yield to oncoming traffic. The front of V1 struck the right side of $\mathrm{BC1}$. | V1 was traveling south on S Adams St, south of Orange Ave. V2 was traveling north on S Adams St, south of Orange Ave. V1 was attempting to make a left turn, through stopped northbound traffic, into a private driveway south of Orange Ave. V1 entered the path of V 2 and the front left of V2 impacted the front of V1. |


| Report Number | 89144311 | 90109496 | 90111548 | 90112101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Fatality | Fatality | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 9/24/2019 | 6/26/2020 | 10/17/2020 | 11/13/2020 |
|  | 12:09 AM | 1:56 AM | 8:46 PM | 11:14 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Dark - Lighted | Dark - Lighted | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | Y | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1, a motorcycle, was traveling east on Orange Ave, west of S Adams St at approximately 70 mph. V2 was traveling west on Orange Ave, attempting to make a left turn onto $S$ Adams St. As V2 entered the intersection on a green arrow, V1 ran the red light. The front of V1 impacted the right front of V 2 . D1 suffered fatal injuries. | V1 was traveling south on S Adams St, north of Orange Ave at approximately 50 mph. V2 was traveling west on Orange Ave, east of S Adams St. As V1 and V2 approached the intersection, V1 ran the red light and struck the right side of V2. V2 then struck a utility pole on the SW corner of the intersection. D2 suffered fatal injuries. | V1 was facing north on S Adams St, attempting to make a left turn onto Orange Ave. V2 was traveling south on S Adams St, north of Orange Ave. V1 and V2 both had a green light, V1 did not have a green arrow. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a left turn into the path of V2. The front of V1 impacted the left rear of V2. D2 suffered fatal injuries. | V1 was traveling south on S Adams St, south of Orange Ave. V2 was traveling north on S Adams St, south of Orange Ave. V1 was attempting to make a left turn, through stopped northbound traffic, into the driveway at 2810 S Adams St, south of Orange Ave. V1 entered the path of V 2 and the front of V2 impacted the right side of V 1 . |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (EB) | Major road (WB) | Minor road (NB) | Minor road (SB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Orange Ave | Orange Ave | S. Adam St | S. Adam St |
| AADT | 26,000 | 26,000 | 14,500 | 14,500 |
| Speed Limit | 40 mph | 40 mph | 35 mph | 35 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 12 feet | 12 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of Median | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Pedestrian Signal <br> Heads | Y | Y | Y | Y |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections

| $\frac{\text { Crash Type }}{\text { Right-angle }}$ | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Drivers running red light |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Nighttime | Poor nighttime visibility or lighting |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
|  | Inadequate channelization or delineation |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-angle | Poorsible Contributing Factor(s) |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Drivers running red light |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Driveway Conflicts ( 2810 S Adams Street - Two driveways)
a. Relocate "Do Not Enter" sign to next driveway to the north.
b. Include "Right-Turn Only" signs for vehicles exiting the driveway.
c. Install SB "No Left Turn" signs on the south leg, right shoulder.
2. Access Management
a. Construct new median on the south leg.
b. Redesign northern Post Office driveway to eliminate northbound and eastbound left turning movements.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> turn | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 6 | 2 | 4 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86457788 | 86959331 | 86959611 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 1/25/2017 | 7/23/2017 | 8/3/2017 |
|  | 4:17 PM | 8:47 PM | 9:51 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Wet |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | Y |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was facing east on W Tennessee St, attempting to make a u-turn to travel west on W Tennessee St. V2 was traveling west on W Tennessee St, approaching Stadium Dr at approximately 50 mph . As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a u-turn into the path of V2. The front of V2 struck the right side of V 1 . V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was facing west on W Tennessee St, attempting to make a left turn onto Stadium Dr. V2 was traveling east on W Tennessee St, west of Stadium Dr. V1 and V2 both had yellow lights and attempted to "beat" the red light. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a left turn into the path of V 2 . The front of V2 impacted the right side of V1. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was traveling westbound in the outside lane on W Tennessee St, east of Campus Cir. P1 was walking west in the crosswalk at Campus Cir as V1 attempted to make a right turn onto Campus Circle. As a result, the front bumper of V1 struck P1. |
| Note |  |  | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |


| Report Number | 89146409 | 90109386 | 24809845 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 12/6/2019 | 6/18/2020 | 11/16/2021 |
|  | 11:55 PM | 2:44 PM | 3:30 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was facing east on W Tennessee St, attempting to make a u-turn to travel west on W Tennessee St. V2, a motorcycle, was traveling west on W Tennessee St, east of Stadium Dr. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a u-turn into the path of V 2 . The front of V2 struck the right side of V1. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was facing east on W Tennessee St, attempting to make a u-turn to travel west on W Tennessee St. V2, a motorcycle, was traveling west on W Tennessee St, east of Stadium Dr. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a u-turn into the path of V 2 . The front of V2 struck the right side of V1. V2 then struck a utility pole on the NW corner of the intersection. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was facing east on W Tennessee St, attempting to make a left turn onto Basin St. V2 was traveling west on W Tennessee St, approaching Stadium Dr. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 made a left turn into the path of V2. The left front of V2 struck the right front of V1. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. |
| Note |  |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (EB) | Major road (WB) | Minor road (NB) | Minor road (SB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | W Tennessee St | W Tennessee St | Stadium Dr | Basin St |
| AADT | 38,000 | 38,000 | 15,600 | - |
| Speed Limit | 35 mph | 35 mph | 35 mph | 30 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | Y | N |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected/ <br> Permissive, <br> Flashing Yellow | Protected/ <br> Permissive, <br> Flashing Yellow | Protected | Protected |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 12 feet | 12 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 6 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | N | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of Median | Y | Y | Y | N |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Nighttime | Poor nighttime visibility or lighting |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
|  | Inadequate channelization or delineation |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |  |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |  |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |  |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |  |
| Collisions at driveways | Improperly located driveway |  |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |  |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |  |
|  | Excessive speed |  |
| Motor vehicle- <br> pedestrian | Inadequate signals/signs |  |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |  |
|  | Excessive speed |  |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Signal Phasing
a. Change EB and WB left-turn phases to "Protected Only."

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Consider removing sharrows on W Tennessee Street.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Rear end | Left turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details


Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (NB) | Major road (SB) | Minor road (EB) | Minor road (WB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | W Tennessee St | W Tennessee St | Geddie Rd | Sassy Tree Ln |
| AADT | 19,000 | 19,000 | 5,800 | - |
| Speed Limit | 55 mph | 55 mph | 45 mph | - |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | N | Y | N |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | Y | Y | N |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | Y | N |
| Left Turn Phasing | Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 11 feet | - |
| Number of Lanes | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | Y | Y | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Median | Y | Y | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Advanced static <br> warning signs and <br> beacons (990 ft <br> buffer) | N | N | N | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Driver inattention |
|  | Excessive speed |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Advance Signage
a. Install advance Traffic Signal Ahead Warning signs on the NB, SB, and EB approaches to the intersection.

N Monroe Street and Fred George Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All Crashes | Left turn | Off road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 |  | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86505132 | 85562820 | 87504854 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 3/6/2017 | 3/4/2018 | 12/23/2018 |
|  | 6:02 PM | 1:20 PM | 8:33 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Other Post, Pole, or Support | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling east on Fred George Rd, attempting to make a left turn onto N Monroe St. As V1 approached the intersection, D1 ran the red light. V1 swerved to avoid oncoming traffic, traveled onto the NE curb before striking a concrete utility pole. | V1 was traveling north on N Monroe St, attempting to make a left turn onto Fred George Rd. V2 was traveling south on N Monroe St, north of Fred George Rd. As the traffic light changed to amber, V1 entered the intersection in the path of V2. The front of V1 struck the front left of V2. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was traveling south on N Monroe St, attempting to make a left turn onto Crowder Rd. V2 was traveling north on N Monroe St, south of Fred George Rd. As V2 approached, V1 entered the intersection in the path of V2. The front of V1 struck the front left of V2. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (NB) | Major road (SB) | Minor road (EB) | Minor road (WB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | N Monroe St | N Monroe St | Fred George Rd | Crowder Road |
| AADT | 19,600 | 33,000 | 6,100 | - |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph | 45 mph | 25 mph | 30 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | Y | Y | Y | N |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 12 feet | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Presence of Median | Y | Y | Y | N |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Advanced static <br> warning signs and <br> beacons (990 ft <br> buffer) | N | N | N | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site

The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Provide dotted lane extensions through the intersection for left turns on all approaches.
2. Signal Phasing
a. Change NB and SB left-turn phases to "Protected Only."

Capital Circle SE and Woodville Highway (SR 363)


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left turn | Off road | Rear end |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85465247 | 88092913 | 88111372 | 88203024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 6/28/2017 | 5/18/2019 | 6/15/2019 | 3/14/2020 |
|  | 11:01 AM | 7:35 PM | 2:55 PM | 2:15 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Utility Pole/Light Support | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Cloudy | Clear | Cloudy | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling north on Woodville Hwy, south of Capital Circle SE. As V1 approached the intersection, D1 had a medical emergency and passed out. V1 then travel across the raised median, into the southbound travel lanes, before finally hitting the utility pole on the SW corner of the intersection. | V1 was traveling west on Capital Circle SE, east of Woodville Hwy. V2 and V3 were facing west on Capital Circle SE, waiting on the red light at Woodville Hwy. As V1 approached V2 and V3, D1 failed to stop. As a result, the front of V1 struck the rear of V2 causing the front of V 2 to impact the rear of V3. Prior to the crash, V1 had swerved off the road, as noted by witnesses. | V2 was traveling west on Capital Circle SE, approximately 1 mile west of Woodville Hwy. V1 was facing east on Capital Circle SE, attempting to make a u-turn in the median break. As V2 approached, V1 made a u-turn into the path of V 2 . The front of V2 struck the right side of V1. D1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was traveling south on Woodville Hwy, north of Capital Circle SE, attempting to make a left turn onto Capital Circle SE. V2 was traveling north on Woodville Hwy, south of Capital Circle SE. As V1 and V2 approached the intersection, V1 made a left turn into the path of V1. D1 stated she had a yellow light while D2 stated she had a green light. |
| Note |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (EB) | Major road (WB) | Minor road (NB) | Minor road (SB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | Capital Cir SE | Capital Cir SE | Woodville Hwy | Woodville Hwy |
| AADT | 19,100 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 10,600 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph | 45 mph | 45 mph | 45 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | Y | N | Y | Y |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected | Protected | Protected | Protected |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 11 feet | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of Median | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Advanced static <br> warning signs and <br> beacons (990 ft <br> buffer) | N | N | N | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Left-turn movement | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Driver inattention |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes at this intersection mostly included failure to yield right-of-way.

N Monroe Street and John Knox Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left turn | Rear end |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 4 | 2 | 2 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86956648 | 86961670 | 89142444 | 90116870 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 4/2/2017 | 10/16/2017 | 7/13/2019 | 7/28/2021 |
|  | 1:33 PM | 12:48 AM | 6:15 AM | 10:56 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Dawn | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | Y | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling north on N Monroe St, north of John Knox Rd, attempting to make a left turn into a driveway. V2 was traveling south on N Monroe St, north of John Knox Rd. As V2 approached, V1 made a left turn into the path of V2. As a result, the front of V2 struck the right front of V1. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 and V2 were traveling south on N Monroe St, north of John Knox Rd. V2 was stopped at the red light at John Knox Rd, in front of V1. As V1 approached John Knox Rd, D1 believed the light to be green. V1 continued towards the intersection before D1 realized traffic was stopped. As a result, the front of V1 struck the rear of V2. D1 was suspected of alcohol impairment. | V2 was facing north on N Monroe St, stopped at John Knox Rd. V1, a motorcycle, was facing south on N Monroe St, attempting to make a left turn onto John Knox Rd. When the traffic light turned green, V1 and V2 entered the intersection, with V1 entering the path of V2. The left front of V2 struck the right front of V1. V1 was found to be at fault for failure to yield. | V1 was traveling south on N Monroe St, north of John Knox Rd. V2 was facing south, waiting on the red light, and attempting to make a left turn onto John Knox Rd. As V1 approached V2 from the rear, V1 failed to stop. As a result, the front of V1 struck the rear of V 2 . |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (NB) | Major road (SB) | Minor road (EB) | Minor road (WB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | N Monroe St | N Monroe St | John Knox Rd | John Knox Rd |
| AADT | 31,500 | 37,000 | 8,800 | 15,000 |
| Speed Limit | 35 mph | 35 mph | 30 mph | 40 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | N | N |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected// <br> Permissive |
| Lane Width | 13 feet | 12 feet | 11 feet | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Pedestrian Signal <br> Heads | N | N | N |  |
| Advanced static <br> warning signs and <br> beacons (990 ft <br> buffer) | N |  |  | N |

Step 3: Condition Assessment
The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections
Crash Type Possible Contributing Factor(s)


Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Driver inattention |
|  | Excessive speed |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Roadway Improvements
a. Consider median installation on N Monroe Street (northward) to reduce conflicting movements.
2. Signal Phasing
a. Change NB left-turn phase to "Protected Only."


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> turn | Right <br> angle | Head <br> on |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86957514 | 89143566 | 90116524 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 5/3/2017 | 8/28/2019 | 7/11/2021 |
|  | 11:50 PM | 3:24 PM | 2:15 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Cloudy | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | Y | Y |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicycle Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling south on Old Bainbridge Rd, attempting to make a left turn onto W Tharpe St. V2 was traveling north on Old Bainbridge Rd, south of W Tharpe St. As V1 and V2 approached the intersection, they both entered the intersection. V1 made a left turn into the path of V 2 . As a result, the front of V1 struck the front of V2. Both D1 and D2 stated they had the right-of-way. | V1 was traveling west on W Tharpe St, attempting to make a right turn onto Old Bainbridge Rd from the middle lane. V3 was traveling west on W Tharpe St, attempting to make a right turn onto Old Bainbridge Rd from the turn lane. V2 was facing south on Old Bainbridge Rd, behind one vehicle at the red light. V1 made a wide right turn in front of V3. The left side of V1 struck the left side of V 2 , causing V1 to overturn. V3 was behind V1 as V1 began to overturn and land on the hood of V3. D1 was found to be at fault for careless driving. | V2 was facing east on W Tharpe St, attempting to make a right turn onto Old Bainbridge Rd. V1 was traveling through the intersection. The right front of V1 struck the left front of V2. D1 was found to be at fault for careless driving. Both D1 and D2 were suspected to be impaired by alcohol. |
| Note |  |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (EB) | Major road (WB) | Minor road (NB) | Minor road (SB) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | W Tharpe St | W Tharpe St | Old Bainbridge Rd | Old Bainbridge Rd |
| AADT | 18,500 | 27,500 | 14,000 | 15,500 |
| Speed Limit | 35 mph | 35 mph | 30 mph | 30 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Exclusive RightTurn Lane | N | Y | Y | N |
| Channelized RightTurn Lane | N | N | Y | N |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Protected/ <br> Permissive |
| Lane Width | 12 feet | 12 feet | 11 feet | 12 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Crosswalks | Y | N | N | Y |
| Presence of Median | N | N | N | N |
| Presence of Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Advanced static warning signs and beacons (990 ft buffer) | N | N | N | N |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | Y | Y | Y | Y |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections
Crash Type
Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Right-angle | Poor visibility of signals |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Drivers running red light |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Left-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-angle | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Drivers running red light |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Left-turn movement | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. Signal Phasing
a. Change NB and SB left-turn phases to "Protected Only."
2. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe dotted lane line extensions for left turns through the intersection.
3. Pedestrian Detection
a. Convert pedestrian detectors to "Countdown" and/or "Audible" detection signals.
b. Consider exclusive pedestrian phase (i.e., all traffic approaches stop when pedestrian detectors are activated).


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics conditions and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Pedestrian <br> involved | Bicyclist <br> involved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 87843310 | 90110232 | 90113235 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 1/2/2019 | 8/11/2020 | 1/18/2021 |
|  | 11:49 PM | 5:12 PM | 7:35 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedal cycle | Pedestrian | Pedestrian |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Rain | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | Y | Y |
| Bicycle Related | Y | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling north on N Monroe Street, north of Lakeshore Dr at approximately 60 mph . BC1 was riding a bicycle north in the bicycle lane on N Monroe Street. The front of V1 struck BC1 in the rear. V1 fled the scene V1 continued north bound without stopping. <br> This crash occurred approximately 360 feet south of the intersection. | V1 was traveling north on N Monroe St, south of Lakeshore Dr. P1 entered traffic and run from east to west crossing traffic. P1 entered the path of V 1 . The front of V1 struck P1. | V1 was traveling north on <br> N. Monroe St, south of Lakeshore Dr. P1 was attempting to cross N Monroe St, from east to west, in the crosswalk. As V1 entered the intersection on a green light, the front of V1 collided with P1, who was in the crosswalk using a walker. |
| Note | Bicycle Related Crash (BC1) | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Major road (NB) | Major road (SB) | Minor road (EB) | Minor road (WB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Name | N Monroe St | N Monroe St | Lakeshore Dr | Lakeshore Dr |
| AADT | 39,000 | 37,000 | 6,900 | 3,100 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph | 45 mph | 30 mph | 15 mph |
| Signal Control | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized | Signalized |
| Exclusive Left-Turn <br> Lane | Y | Y | Y | N |
| Exclusive Right- <br> Turn Lane | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Channelized Right- <br> Turn Lane | N | N | Y | Y |
| Left Turn Phasing | Protected | Protected/ <br> Permissive | Permissive | Permissive |
| Lane Width | 11 feet | 11 feet | 11 feet | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Presence of Bike <br> Lanes | Y | Y | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Crosswalks | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Presence of Median | Y | Y | N | N |
| Presence of <br> Lighting | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Pedestrian Signal <br> Heads | Y | Y | Y | Y |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors at Intersections
Crash Type Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes at this intersection involved excessive speeding and pedestrian failure to yield right-of-way.

## Identifying Crash Causes on Urban Segments

| Location | County | KA <br> Crash <br> Count | Fatality | Serious <br> Injury | AADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission Road | Leon | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7,600 |
| Pensacola Street | Leon | 7 | 2 | 5 | 18,800 |
| Jackson Bluff Road | Leon | 3 | 0 | 3 | 9,600 |
| St. Augustine Street | Leon | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7,400 |
| Gaines Street | Leon | 4 | 0 | 4 | 20,400 |
| Railroad Avenue | Leon | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6,300 |
| Duval Street | Leon | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8,500 |
| Ridge Road | Leon | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6,600 |
| Apalachee Parkway | Leon | 13 | 0 | 13 | 31,000 |
| Jefferson Street | Jefferson | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8,000 |

Mission Road between Fred George Road and Moon Lane


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Pedestrian | Rear End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86960853 | 86964487 | 90115036 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Serious Injury | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 9/20/2017 | 2/2/2018 | 4/21/2021 |
|  | 8:46 PM | 8:36 AM | 12:25 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | Y |
| Drug Related | N | N | Y |
| Pedestrian Related | Y | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | P1 entered the southbound lane of Mission Rd at the intersection of Gaffney Loop. P1, wearing all black clothes knelt down in the southbound lane. V1, traveling south on Mission Rd crashed into P1. | V1 was traveling south on Mission Road, approaching the intersection with Gearhart Rd. P1 was standing on the NW corner of the Mission/Gearhart intersection. As V1 entered the intersection, P1 ran, southeast, across the intersection. The front end of V1 impacted the left side of P1. | V1 and V2 were traveling north on Mission Rd. After passing under the l-10 over pass, V1 rear ended V2. D1 stated she knew there was an accident but complained that she was tired and wanted to be transported home. <br> V2 had three occupants, P1 (passenger 1) was unresponsive and pronounced deceased. Both D2 and P2 suffered injuries as well. |
| Note | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 7,600 |
| Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| Length | 1.05 miles |
| Presence of Median | Y |
| Median Width | 14 feet |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | Y |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 2 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $3 / 4$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 15 \mathrm{per} \mathrm{mile}$ |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | N |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | Y |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)
Crash Type
Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Limited sight distance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Rear-end | Pedestrian crossing |
|  | Driver inattention |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Large number of turning vehicles |
|  | Unexpected lane change |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Inadequate gaps in traffic |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Pedestrians on roadway |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe the intersection of Mission Road and Gearhart Road.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Pedestrian | Left <br> Turn | Rear <br> End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 87503946 | 87836268 | 87844317 | 90112172 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Fatality | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 7/25/2018 | 4/17/2018 | 2/9/2018 | 11/17/2020 |
|  | 12:57 PM | 11:13 AM | 6:40 PM | 8:36 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Dusk | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Cloudy | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | Y | N | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 had just turned west onto Pensacola St from 2700 W. Pensacola St. P1 was crossing Pensacola St between intersections, with no mid-block crossing. V1 struck P1. | V1 was turning left from Cactus St to head east on Pensacola St. V2, a moped, was traveling west on Pensacola St. V1 stopped at the stop sign on Cactus St, waiting to turn left. V3, was traveling west on Pensacola St in front of V2. V3 slowed to turn right onto Cactus St. V1 turned left on Pensacola St, in front of V3. V2 impacted the left rear fender of V1 as V2 emerged from behind V3. | V1 was traveling east on Pensacola St at a high rate of speed. P1 attempted to the cross Pensacola St southbound. V1 struck P1. V1 failed to stop and fled the scene. | V1 was traveling west on Pensacola St, approaching White Dr. An unknown vehicle, in front of V1, turned north onto White Dr. As this vehicle turned, V1 accelerated hard approaching the intersection of Mabry St. V2, a motorcycle, was making a northbound left from Mabry St onto westbound Pensacola St. It is believed V2 turned into the outside lane of westbound traffic, in front of V1. V1 impacted the rear of V 2 causing D2 to be thrown onto the windshield of V 1 . V1 fled the scene. |
| Note | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |


| Report Number | 89140308 | 89144413 | 90117050 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 4/17/2019 | 9/27/2019 | 8/5/2021 |
|  | 8:30 PM | 3:16 PM | 5:14 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Pedestrian | Pedestrian |
| Light Condition | Dark - Not Lighted | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Wet |
| Weather | Clear | Cloudy | Cloudy |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | Y | Y | Y |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling westbound on Pensacola St, just east of Cactus St. P1, standing on the north sidewalk, stepped into oncoming traffic to cross Pensacola St to the south. V1 OR an unknown truck, struck P1 causing P1 to be thrown onto the hood and windshield of V 1 . <br> Field Observation revealed this area to be currently lighted. | V1 was traveling east on Pensacola St, just west of Dupree St. P1, who was standing on the south sidewalk, stepped into the eastbound travel lane. P1 impacted the right rear tire of the vehicle being towed by V1. | V1 was traveling westbound on Pensacola St, approaching 2550 W. Pensacola St. P1 was standing on the north sidewalk and attempted to run, southbound, across Pensacola St in front of V1. The front of V1 struck the left side of P1. |
| Note | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 18,800 |
| Speed Limit | $40 / 45 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 0.59 miles |
| Presence of Median | Yes, paved |
| Median Width | 10 feet |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 5 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | Y |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Paved/Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 6 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $4 / 5$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 22$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | Y |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type |  |  |  | Motor vehicle- |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pedestrian |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ Limited sight distance $\quad$ Contributing Factor(s)

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor vehicle- <br> pedestrian | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
| Rear-end | Driver inattention |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Segment Signage
a. Provide advanced "high pedestrian area" signage (Many pedestrians are present).
2. Segment Congestion
a. Install a median along Pensacola Street to reduce conflicting movements between vehicles and pedestrians.
b. Install speed feedback signs along the segment in both the eastbound and westbound directions.
3. Pensacola Street in the area near Cactus Street
a. Relocate black fencing on NE corner of intersection to improve sight distance.
4. Pedestrian Detection
a. Convert pedestrian detectors along the segment to "Audible" detection signals.
b. Provide Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) along the segment.

Jackson Bluff Road between Ausley Road and N Lake Bradford Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> Turn | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86961251 | 87302341 | 87835837 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 10/4/2017 | 6/6/2017 | 4/1/2018 |
|  | 2:45 AM | 11:46 AM | 7:17 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Curb |
| Light Condition | Dark - Not Lighted | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Rain | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | Y | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V2 was traveling southbound on Lipona Rd, through the intersection with Jackson Bluff Rd. V1 was traveling east on Jackson Bluff Rd, when it ran the red light at the intersection with Lipona Rd. The front of V 1 impacted the left front of V2. D1 was believed to be impaired. | V1 was facing north on Airport Dr, stopped at the intersection with Jackson Bluff Rd. As V2, traveling eastbound on Jackson Bluff Rd, approached Airport Dr, V1 attempted to make a left turn in front of V2. The front of V2 impacted the front left fender of V 1. | V1, a motorcycle, was traveling east on Jackson Bluff Rd, just east of Hayden Rd. V1 accelerated causing its front wheel to come off the ground. V1 lost control, went onto the sidewalk, hit an embankment which caused V1 to go airborne for approximately 196 feet. D1 had a suspended license. |
| Note |  |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 9,600 |
| Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Length | 1.05 miles |
| Presence of Median | Yes, paved |
| Median Width | 11 feet |
| Lane Width | 12 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 3 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 2 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $4 / 5$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 67$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | N |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | Y |
| Rail Crossover | N |

Step 3: Condition Assessment
The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crash Type } \\ & \hline \text { Right-angle } \end{aligned}$ | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Drivers running red light |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Right-angle | Drivers running red light |
| Run-off-road | Excessive speed |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Jackson Bluff Road in the area near Airport Drive
a. Trim bushes on NE and SW corners of intersection (sight distance issue).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Install a median along Jackson Bluff Road (similar to the median along Mission Road).

St. Augustine Street between Woodward Way and Copeland Street


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Bicycle | Pedestrian | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 89143716 | 89147722 | 90110369 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 9/3/2018 | 2/3/2020 | 8/19/2020 |
|  | 11:41 AM | 2:37 PM | 8:41 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedalcycle | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | Y | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling east on St. Augustine St, approaching the intersection of Gay St. A bicyclist was traveling south on Gay St., failed to stop at the stop sign, and came into the path of V1. The front of V1 impacted the right side of the bicyclist. | V1 was traveling east on St. Augustine St when P1 walked into the crosswalk, south across St. Augustine St. The front of V1 impacted the right side of P1. D1 stated she was not familiar with the area and that the flashing crosswalk signs "were not flashing". | V1 and V2, a motorcycle, were both traveling east on St. Augustine St. V1 was in the south lane. As they approached Lorene St, V1 attempted to make a left turn from the outside lane onto Lorene St. V1 turned in front of V 2 . The front of V2 impacted the front left fender of V 1 . |
| Note |  | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 7,400 |
| Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Length | 0.44 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 10 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | Y |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 2 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $5 / 6$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 52$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Bicycles on roadway |
| Motor vehicle- <br> pedestrian | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Pedestrian Signage
a. Repair the Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon, on the south shoulder at Gay Street, which is not functioning.

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Sight distance on the southbound approach is limited at the intersection of St. Augustine Street and Gay Street.

Gaines Street between Woodward Avenue and Railroad Avenue


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Pedestrian | Rear <br> End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2020 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 3 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 87839428 | 87844457 | 89145376 | 89148449 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 8/26/2018 | 2/14/2019 | 10/29/2019 | 3/1/2020 |
|  | 12:42 AM | 1:13 AM | 3:43 PM | 1:46 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian |
| Light Condition | Dark - Lighted | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Cloudy | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | Y | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | Y | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | Y | Y | N | Y |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling east on Gaines St., approaching the intersection with Stone Valley Way. As V1 approached, P1, who was standing on the north sidewalk, ran south, into the path of V1. The front of V1 impacted the right side of P1. | V1, a tow truck, was traveling west on Gaines St, approaching the intersection with Gay St. P1 was on the north side walk. After V1 had moved past P1, P1 was seen laying on the ground. It is unknown if V1 impacted P1. It was suspected that P1 had been using both drugs and alcohol. | V1, a moped, was traveling east on Gaines St, just east of the intersection with Gay Street. V2 was also eastbound on Gaines Street. V2 had backed out of a slant parking space and established control of the lane. The front of V1 impacted the rear of V2. V1 was believed to be at fault for traveling at a speed too fast for the present weather and traffic conditions. | V1 was traveling east on Gaines St, approaching Lorene St. V1 attempted to make a left turn onto Lorene St, as he had a green light. P1, who was on an electric scooter was traveling west on the north sidewalk of Gaines St, was crossing Lorene St while he had a "stop, don't walk" sign. The front of V1 impacted the left side of P1. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 20,400 |
| Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Length | 0.496 miles |
| Presence of Median | Y |
| Median Width | $9 / 10$ feet |
| Lane Width |  |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 2 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $5 / 6$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 38$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | N |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Rear-end | Pedestrian crossing |
|  | Driver inattention |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Large number of turning vehicles |
|  | Unexpected lane change |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Inadequate gaps in traffic |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Left- or right-turn movement | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
| Rear-end | Driver inattention |
| Left- or right-turn movement | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment involved alcohol, excessive speed, and failure to obey traffic control devices.

Railroad Avenue between Gaines Street and Gamble Street


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Off <br> Road | Left <br> Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 2 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 24809792 | 86962290 | 90115872 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 11/14/2021 | 11/4/2017 | 6/6/2021 |
|  | 12:24 PM | 10:15 PM | 1:44 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Utility Pole/Light Support | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Other Fixed Object |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | Y | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling north on Wahnish Way, approaching the intersection with Eugenia St. V1 left the roadway to the east, again on the west side, and then a third time to the east again. V1 struck the roundabout sign and the support wire of a utility pole. | V1 was facing east on the drive just south of the Hampton hotel. V2 was traveling south on Railroad Ave, approaching. V1 was turning left onto northbound Railroad Ave. V1's sight distance was obstructed by a crane on the northwest corner of the intersection. V1 turned in front of V2. The front of V2 impacted the left side of V1. D2 was suspected of alcohol use. | P1 was traveling north on Railroad Ave using an electric scooter, approaching the railroad. As P1 approached the railroad, the front wheel of the scooter became lodged on the railroad, throwing P1 from the scooter. |
| Note |  | $\checkmark$ | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 6,300 |
| Speed Limit | 25 mph |
| Length | 0.45 miles |
| Presence of Median | Yes/No |
| Median Width | 12 feet |
| Lane Width | $10 / 11$ feet |
| Number of Lanes | $2 / 3 / 5$ |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | Y |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 2 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $4 / 5 / 6$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 47 \mathrm{per} \mathrm{mile}$ |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | N |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | Y |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Run-off-road | Excessive speed |  |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |  |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe the stop bar and crosswalk on the eastbound approach to Railroad Avenue, south of the Hampton Inn.
2. Pavement/Railroad Condition
a. Repair railroad crossing to eliminate uneven (i.e., rough) road conditions.


## Step 1: Crash Data Review

The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.

## Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Angle | Unknown | Sideswipe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86958219 | 87844508 | 90119185 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 6/3/2017 | 2/16/2019 | 10/28/2021 |
|  | 9:51 AM | 12:51 AM | 10:40 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V2 was traveling east on Call St, approaching the intersection with Duval St. V1 was traveling north on Duval St approaching the intersection with Call St. V1 ran the red light, entered the intersection, and impacted the right side of V 2 . | V2 was traveling eastbound on College Ave, approaching the intersection with Duval St. V1 was traveling northbound on Duval St, approaching the intersection with College Ave. V1 ran the red light and the front of V2 impacted the front left of V 1 . V1 fled from the scene. | V1 and V2 were traveling northbound on Duval St, near the intersection with College Ave when the right rear side of V1 impacted the left front of V2. Multiple conflicting statements were provided. As a result, the crash narrative is inconclusive. |
| Note |  |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 8,500 |
| Speed Limit | 30 mph |
| Length | 0.41 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 3 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | Not Striped as such |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Curb |
| Shoulder Width | 2 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $4 / 5$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 54$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | N |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left- or right-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Rear-end or Sideswipe | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Right-angle | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Drivers running red light |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Left- or right-turn <br> movements | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Rear-end or Sideswipe | Excessive speed |
| Right-angle | Drivers running red light |

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment involved failure to obey traffic control devices and vehicles being operated in a negligent manner.

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Sight distance is limited due to uphill grades on the northbound and eastbound approaches at the intersection of Duval Street and College Avenue.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Pedestrian | Off <br> Road | Angle | Sideswipe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86965112 | 89142147 | 89143967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Fatality | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 2/24/2018 | 6/30/2019 | 9/11/2019 |
|  | 5:34 PM | 9:45 PM | 6:26 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Utility Pole/Light Support | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | Y | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling southbound on Ridge Road. V1 began to slow as he approached a yard with many children playing in the yard. P1, a child, darted into the road as V1 approached. V1 was unable to evade and struck P1 with the right front fender of V 1 . | V1 was traveling eastbound on Ridge Rd at a high rate of speed. V1 left the road for unknown reasons and impacted a tree. | V1 was traveling north on Sunnyside Dr, approaching the intersection of Ridge Rd. V2 was traveling east on Ridge Rd, approaching the intersection with Sunnyside Dr. V1 did not stop at the stop sign located at the intersection and continued into the intersection. The left front of V1 impacted the right front of V2. |
| Note | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |  |


| Report Number | 89144735 | 90112796 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 10/6/2019 | 12/22/2020 |
|  | 8:13 PM | 6:01 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Not Lighted | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | Y |
| Drug Related | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling west on Ridge Rd. P1 was in the westbound lane also traveling west. P1 was wearing all black when V1 impacted P1. D1 stated she did not see P1 until the collision was unavoidable. P1 was found to be at fault. | V1 was traveling eastbound on Ridge Rd at a high rate of speed, near the intersection with State St. V2 was traveling westbound on Ridge Rd, near the intersection with State St. V3 was traveling eastbound, east of the intersection with State St. V1 entered the westbound lane and sideswiped V2. V1 then ran off the road on the south side of Ridge Rd, impacting the mailboxes at 119 and 117 Ridge Rd. V1 then struck a concrete drainpipe just east of 117 Ridge Rd, causing it to impact the rear end of V3. D1 was found to be at fault. |
| Note | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 6,600 |
| Speed Limit | 35 mph |
| Length | 2.08 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | N/A |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn and Curb |
| Shoulder Width | respectively |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $4 / 5 / 6$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 87$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Opposite-direction Sideswipe or Head-on | Inadequate roadway geometry |
|  | Inadequate shoulder |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate signing |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Pedestrians on roadway |
| Run-off-road | Excessive speed |
| Opposite-direction <br> sideswipe | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Ridge Road in the area of Sunnyside Drive (sight distance issue)
a. Trim bushes on SW corner of intersection.
b. Relocate bus stop on SW corner of intersection.
c. Add stop bars on the NB and SB approaches.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> Turn | Right <br> Turn | Bicycle | Angle | Pedestrian | Rear <br> End | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2018 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 13 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 24809635 | 86956413 | 86956667 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 11/8/2021 | 3/25/2017 | 4/3/2017 |
|  | 8:23 AM | 12:23 AM | 1:40 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Cloudy |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | Y |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V2 was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Richardson Rd. V1 was traveling east on Apalachee Pkwy and attempting to make a left turn onto Richardson Rd. V1 turned in front of V2. The front of V2 impacted the right rear of V1. | V2, a motorcycle, was traveling east on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Richview Dr. V1 was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy, attempting to make a left turn on Richview Dr. V1 turned in front of V 2 . The front of V2 impacted the right rear of V 1 . | V1 was exiting the Target located at 2120 Apalachee Pkwy. P1 was walking east on the sidewalk, approaching the driveway. D1 stated he was looking east towards oncoming traffic and did not see P1 using the crosswalk at the driveway. The right front side of V1 struck P1. D1 was found to be at fault. |
| Note |  |  | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |


| Report Number | 87503618 | 87837562 | 87838121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 4/30/2018 | 6/7/2018 | 6/29/2018 |
|  | 12:41 PM | 5:59 PM | 8:29 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedalcycle | Pedalcycle | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | Y | Y | N |
| Narrative | V1 was existing the driveway located at 2511 Apalachee Pkwy, to make a right turn onto Apalachee Pkwy, heading east. A bicyclist (BC1) was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy in the outside eastbound travel lane. The front of V1 impacted the front of BC1, causing the bicyclist to be thrown onto V1. | V1 was traveling north on Paul Russel Rd, at the intersection with Apalachee Pkwy, attempting to make a right turn on red. V2 was traveling eastbound on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Paul Russel Rd. BC1 was traveling west on the southern sidewalk, attempting to cross Paul Russel Rd. As V1 made a right turn, its right front struck BC1. The bicyclist was ejected from BC1 and BC1 landed in the path of V2 and was run over. <br> Fault was not established as BC1 was traveling on the sidewalk and in the wrong direction. V1 failed to yield the right-of-way. | V2 was traveling south on Richardson Rd, approaching the intersection with Apalachee Pkwy where he had a green light. V1 was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Richardson Rd. V1 ran the red light and its front impacted the right side of V2. |
| Note | Bicycle Related Crash (BC1) | Bicycle Related Crash (BC1) |  |


| Report Number | 87838251 | 89140593 | 89141466 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 7/6/2018 | 4/27/2019 | 6/1/2019 |
|  | 4:26 PM | 11:45 AM | 9:33 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V2 was traveling north on Paul Russel Rd, approaching the intersection with Apalachee Pkwy. V1 was traveling east on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Paul Russel Rd. V3 was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Paul Russel Rd. As V2 entered the intersection, V1 ran the right light, and its front impacted the left side of V2. This caused V2 to overturn and impact V3. | V1 was traveling east on Apalachee Pkwy. P1 was on the south sidewalk and attempted to cross Apalachee Pkwy to the north. As P1 entered the road, the front of V1 impacted the left side of P1. D1 had no fault in the crash. | V1, a motorcycle, was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy behind another vehicle, approaching the intersection with Richview Rd. V2 was traveling east on Apalachee Pkwy, attempting to make a uturn at the intersection with Richview Rd. As V2 made a u-turn, V1 changed passed the vehicle in front of them, that was stopping at the right light. V1 moved back into the outside lane and ran the red light at Richview Rd. The front of V1 impacted the right front of V 2 . |


| Report Number | 89141705 | 90113107 | 90113711 | 90114571 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 6/12/2019 | 1/10/2021 | 2/13/2021 | 3/30/2021 |
|  | 7:50 AM | 2:45 AM | 9:12 AM | 6:26 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Utility Pole/Light Support | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Lighted | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Cloudy | Clear | Fog, Smog, Smoke | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V2 and V3 were traveling westbound on Apalachee Pkwy, west of the intersection with Evangeline Way. V2 and V3 were stopping. V1 was traveling west just behind V2 and V3. D1 stated she did not see V2 and V3 stopping and the front of V1 impacted the rear of both V 2 and V3. | V1 was traveling eastbound on Apalachee Pkwy at a high rate of speed $(80 \mathrm{mph})$, west of the intersection with Hendrix Rd. V1 ran off the road, struck a utility pole, a "Papa's Diner" sign, a tree east of Hendrix Rd, and then came to final rest after striking another tree. | V1 was stopped southbound on Victory Garden Dr, attempting to make a right turn onto westbound Apalachee Parkway. V2 was traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy, approaching the intersection with Victory Garden Dr. As V1 made a right turn, it turned into the inside lane, in the line of travel of V 2 . The front of V2 impacted the rear of V1. D1 was found to be at fault. | V1 and V2 were traveling west on Apalachee Pkwy. V2 was in front of V1 and began to stop as they approached traffic. D1 was using her cell phone GPS for directions and did not see V2 stopping. The front of V1 impacted the rear of V2. D1 was found to be at fault. |
| Note |  |  |  |  |

## Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 31,000 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph |
| Length | 1.44 miles |
| Presence of Median | Y |
| Median Width | $16-30$ feet |
| Lane Width | $12 \& 13$ feet |
| Number of Lanes | 4 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | $\sim 2-15$ feet |
| Shoulder Width | $3 / 4$ |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $\sim 60$ per mile |
| Driveways Density | Y |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | N |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | N |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover |  |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left- or right-turn movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Inadequate signal timing |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
|  | Conflict with right-turn-on-red vehicles |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |
| Rear-end | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | $\quad$ Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Left- or right-turn <br> movement | Misjudge speed of on-coming traffic |
|  | Pedestrian or bicycle conflicts |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Motor vehicle-bicycle | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
| Rear-end | Excessive speed |
| Run-off-road | Excessive speed |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Segment Congestion
a. Reduce posted speed limit on Apalachee Parkway.
2. Apalachee Parkway near Victory Garden Drive
a. Remove approximately 6 feet of bushes on NE corner (sight distance issue).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Stop sign location at Evangeline Way should be repositioned.
- Stop sign at the Target driveway was observed to be "loose and leaning."

Jefferson Street between Seminole Avenue and Nacoosa Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Pedestrian | Angle | Rear <br> End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85396015 | 85403870 | 85601390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 3/11/2017 | 2/13/2017 | 2/11/2018 |
|  | 11:35 AM | 7:23 AM | 6:00 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedestrian | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Dusk |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Wet |
| Weather | Cloudy | Clear | Rain |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | Y | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling south on Jefferson St, just south of Seminole Ave. P1 was on the west sidewalk and attempted to cross Jefferson St eastward. P1 stepped into the roadway in front of V 1 . The front of V1 impacted the left side of P1. | V1 was stopped eastbound on Cooper's Pond Rd at the intersection with Jefferson St. V2 and V3 were traveling south on Jefferson St, approaching the intersection with Cooper's Pond Rd. V2 was in front of V3. V1 made a left turn in front of V 2 . The right front of V 2 and the left front of V1 impacted causing V2 to overturn. The passenger of V2 was ejected. As V2 overturned, debris from the bed of V2 was thrown on the roadway. V3 ran over the debris and the passenger from V2. The front of V3 then impacted the read of V 2 . | V1 and V2 were traveling north on Jefferson St in the inside lane. V2 was changing lanes into the northbound left turn lane. V1 was traveling behind V2 and looking at her cell phone and did not see V2 slowing. The front of V1 impacted the rear of V2. |
| Note | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 8,000 |
| Speed Limit | $35 / 45 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 1.11 miles |
| Presence of Median | Y |
| Median Width | 13 feet $\& 44$ feet |
| Lane Width | 12 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 4 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | Y |
| Presence of Lighting | Y |
| Shoulder Type | Paved/Lawn/Curb |
| Shoulder Width | $\sim 2 / 3$ feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $2 / 3 / 4$ |
| Driveways Density | Y |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | N |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover |  |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Angle | Restricted sight distance |
|  | High traffic volume |
|  | High approach speed |
|  | Unexpected crossing traffic |
|  | Drivers running "stop" sign |
|  | Slippery pavement |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
| Angle | Drivers running "stop" sign |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Jefferson Street at Cooper's Pond Road
a. Trim bushes and remove sign on the NW corner of the intersection (to improve sight distance on EB approach).

## Identifying Crash Causes on Rural Segments

| Location | County | KA <br> Crash <br> Count | Fatality | Serious <br> Injury | AADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hardaway Highway | Gadsden | 3 | 2 | 1 | 550 |
| Providence Road | Gadsden | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1,500 |
| Smith Creek Road | Leon | 3 | 1 | 2 | 600 |
| Crawfordville Highway | Wakulla | 3 | 1 | 2 | 15,700 |
| Wakulla Springs Road | Leon | 4 | 0 | 4 | 7,700 |
| Oak Ridge Road | Leon | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3,700 |
| Old Plank Road | Leon | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1,400 |
| Old Lloyd Road | Jefferson | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1,200 |
| Aucilla Highway | Jefferson | 3 | 0 | 3 | 700 |
| Ashville Highway | Jefferson | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1,800 |

Potential Countermeasures for all rural segments:

- Add Paved shoulders/Cold patches to fix rutting on shoulder drop-offs.
- Install Longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings along centerline and outside lane line. With many distractions to drivers, these rumble strips should be considered as a design feature for new or resurfacing projects.
- Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

Hardaway Highway between Lincoln Avenue and Cochran Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> Turn | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 88070185 | 882279319 | 88348763 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Fatality | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 4/21/2019 | 5/57/2020 | 6/15/2020 |
|  | 7:33 PM | 11:45 AM | 8:57 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Tree (standing) | Other, Non-Fixed Object |
| Light Condition | Dusk | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Rain | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | Y | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | Y | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling east on Hardaway Hwy, just east of Woodrow Butler Road. V2, a motorcycle, was traveling west on Hardaway Hwy, just east of Woodrow Butler Road. V1 was making a left turn into the driveway at 2059 Hardaway Hwy. D2, trying to evade, braked causing V2 to rotate clockwise and overturn onto its left side. The right side of V2 impacted the right side of V1. D2 was suspected of alcohol use. D1 was cited for failure to yield. | V1 was traveling east on Hardaway Hwy, just west of Faircloth Rd, at approximately $80 \mathrm{mph} . \mathrm{V} 1$, traversing a right-hand curve, entered the westbound travel lane and passed two vehicles. In doing so, D1 lost control of the vehicle, drove off the north shoulder, traveled through the yard at 3477 Hardaway Hwy, and collided into a tree. D1 tested positive for drug use. Person 2, a passenger, was pronounced deceased on scene. | V1 was traveling north on Hardaway Hwy, south of Max Herrin Rd. D1 steered to the right to avoid an unknown animal in the roadway. In doing so, V1 exited onto the east shoulder for approximately 215 feet before striking a fallen tree, continuing for 117 feet, and striking a group of smaller trees. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 550 |
| Speed Limit | $35 / 45 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 6.31 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 10 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | Not Striped as such |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 9 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $1 / 2$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 9$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fixed Object | Obstruction in or near roadway |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate signs, delineators, guardrail |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Roadside design (e.g., inadequate clear distance) |
|  | Inadequate roadway geometry |
|  | Excessive Speed |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Head-on or sideswipe | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Narrow lanes |

## Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site

There are no apparent trends within the crash data. As a result, no particular contributing factors were identified as specific reasons/causes of these crashes.

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the intersection:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment were alcohol, excessive speed, and animal related.

Providence Road between Greensboro Highway and Hosford Highway


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Left <br> Turn | Pedestrian | Rollover |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 87153302 | 88282019 | 88479426 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 4/29/2018 | 5/8/2020 | 11/6/2021 |
|  | 6:35 PM | 9:17 PM | 9:50 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian | Overturn/Rollover |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling south on Union Chapel Rd, approaching Providence Rd. V2, a motorcycle, was traveling east on Providence Rd, west of Union Chapel Rd, exceeding the posted speed limit. V1 attempted to turn left onto Providence Rd and then turn right onto Noah Ln. V1 turned in front of V2. D2 braked in an attempt to avoid a collision. The front of V2 collided with the right side of V1. D2 was pronounced deceased at the scene. | V1 was traveling east on Providence Rd, west of Union Chapel Rd. Other vehicles were lining each side of the roadway with people standing outside of their vehicles. As V1 approached the area, P1 entered the eastbound travel lane. The front of V1 impacted P1. | V1 was traveling west on Providence Rd, near the intersection of Juniper Creek Rd, at approximately 80 mph . As V1 entered the right-hand curve, the vehicle ran off the road onto the south shoulder. V1 then collided with an arrow sign, began to overturn before striking a tree. Passenger 1 was ejected from V1. |
| Note |  | Pedestrian Related Crash (P1) |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 1500 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph |
| Length | 4.25 Miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of bike lane | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $2 / 3$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 12$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

Step 3: Condition Assessment
The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Rollover | Roadside design (e.g., non-traversable side slopes, pavement edge drop off) |
|  | Inadequate shoulder width |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pavement design |
| Left- or right-turn | Inadequate gaps in traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Vehicle Rollover | Inadequate shoulder width |  |
|  | Excessive speed |  |
| Motor Vehicle- <br> pedestrian |  |  |
| Collisions at driveways | Inadequate lighting |  |
|  | Left-turning vehicles |  |
|  | Excessive speed |  |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the segment:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Restripe segment (Striping currently faded/not visible).
b. Replace reflective markers on segment ( $90 \%$ of markers are currently missing).
2. Speed Limit Signage
a. Install eastbound speed limit signs on the segment.
3. Providence Road and Noah Lane/Union Chapel Road
a. Provide advance intersection warning signs.
b. Provide striping for southbound approach stop bar on Union Chapel Road (Missing).
c. Relocate "Precinct 7" sign (Sight Distance issue).
4. Providence Road near Juniper Creek Road
a. Fix rutting on the inside shoulder of the horizontal curve (Shoulder drop-off).
b. Fix flashing light on horizontal alignment signs.
c. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- There is evidence of other vehicles leaving the road on the outside of the curve near Juniper Creek Road from both east and west directions.

Smith Creek Road between Stoutamire Landing Road and Leon/Wakulla County Line


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 2 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 86504820 | 87126068 | 88205487 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Fatality | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 1/18/2017 | 1/24/2018 | 10/14/2020 |
|  | 7:11 AM | 6:08 PM | 4:24 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Ditch | Other Non-Collision | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Not Lighted | Dusk | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Wet | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Fog, Smog, Smoke | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | Y |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling north on Smith Creek Rd, approximately 1.2 miles north of the Leon/Wakulla County Line. V1 traversed a left-hand curve. The rear tires of V1 lost traction causing V1 to rotate counterclockwise while traveling onto the west shoulder. V1 overturned on the west shoulder and struck a small tree. | V1 was traveling north on Smith Creek Rd, south of the intersection with Log Landing Rd. D1 was distracted by his cell phone. V1 traveling into the southbound travel lane. D1 over corrected causing V1 to travel onto the east shoulder. | V1 was traveling north on Smith Creek Rd, south of the intersection with Stoutamire Landing Rd. BC1 was traveling north on Smith Creek Rd, in front of V1. V1 began to pass BC1 in a double yellow line no passing zone. As V1 traveled around $B C 1, B C 1$ began to make a left turn. The front of V1 impacted the left side of $B C 1$. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 600 |
| Speed Limit | 45 mph |
| Length | 8.29 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of bike lane | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $1 / 2$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 3$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| $\frac{\text { Crash Type }}{\text { Wet Pavement }}$ | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Pavement design (e.g., drainage, permeability) |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Nighttime | Poor nighttime visibility or lighting |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
|  | Inadequate channelization or delineation |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Motor vehiclebicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
There are no apparent trends within the crash data. As a result, no particular contributing factors were identified as specific reasons/causes of these crashes.

Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures
The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the segment:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Replace reflective markers on segment (90\% of markers are currently missing).
2. Smith Creek Road near Generation Gap Trail
a. Fix rutting on shoulder (Shoulder drop-off).
b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

Crawfordville Highway between East Ivan Road and Mike Stewart Drive


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Right <br> Turn | Rear <br> End |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 2 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85430228 | 88070214 | 88273477 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 8/13/2017 | 8/4/2019 | 12/19/2019 |
|  | 11:06 PM | 1:25 PM | 8:30 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Dark - Not Lighted | Daylight | Dark - Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | Y | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling west on Linzy Store Road, just east of Crawfordville Hwy. V2, a motorcycle, was traveling north on Crawfordville Hwy, just south of Linzy Store Rd. V1 failed to stop at the stop sign and made a right-turn onto Crawfordville Hwy in front of V2. The front of V2 impacted the left side of V1. D2 was suspected of alcohol impairment. D2 was pronounced deceased. | V1 and V2 were traveling north on Crawfordville Hwy, approaching the intersection with Mike Stewart Dr. V2 was stopped at the red light in front of V1. As V1 approached, D1 became distracted by his cell phone and failed to see V2 stopped. The front of V1 impacted the rear end of V2. | V1, V2, and V3 were traveling southbound on Crawfordville Hwy, just north of Mike Stewart Drive. V2 and V3 were stopped due to other traffic being stopped. As V1 approached V2 and V3, D1 became distracted by his cell phone and failed to see V2 and V3 stopped. The front of V 1 impacted the rear end of V2, causing the front of V2 to impact the rear of V3. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 15700 |
| Speed Limit | $45 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 0.54 miles |
| Presence of Median | Yes, paved |
| Median Width | 12 feet |
| Lane Width | 12 |
| Number of Lanes | 3 |
| Presence of bike lane | Y |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 9 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $1 / 2$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 3$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Y |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | N |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)
Crash Type
Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Angle | Restricted sight distance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | High traffic volume |
|  | High approach speed |
|  | Unexpected crossing traffic |
|  | Drivers running "stop" sign |
|  | Slippery pavement |
| Rear-end or Sideswipe | Inappropriate approach speeds |
|  | Poor visibility of signals |
|  | Unexpected lane changes on approach |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Unexpected stops on approach |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Excessive speed |

## Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site

There are no apparent trends within the crash data. As a result, no particular contributing factors were identified as specific reasons/causes of these crashes.

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. No potential countermeasures were identified.

Crashes on this segment involved cell phone distraction and failure to obey traffic control device.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Rear <br> End | Head <br> On | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 87503980 | 88027190 | 88178497 | 88301183 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 8/1/2018 | 12/9/2018 | 4/11/2020 | 6/15/2021 |
|  | 6:27 PM | 2:55 PM | 6:02 PM | 1:44 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Other Non-Fixed Object | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Culvert | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Cloudy | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N | Y |
| Narrative | V1 and V2 were traveling north on Wakulla Springs Rd, just south of Glover Rd. V2 was stopped, waiting for a gap in southbound traffic to be able to make a left turn onto Glover Rd. D1 did not realize V2 was stopped. The front of V 1 impacted the rear of V 2 . | V1 was traveling south on Wakulla Springs Rd, south of Forget Me Not Trail. V2 was traveling north on Wakulla Springs Rd, south of Forget Me Not Trail. Road construction was taking place and traffic was being redirected. As such, V1 failed to maintain their lane and the front of V1 impacted the front of V 2 . D1 and D2 are local residents and were familiar with the posted construction zone. | V1 was facing west, making a left turn from the driveway at 8059 Wakulla Springs Rd onto Wakulla Springs Rd. V2 was traveling south on Wakulla Springs Rd. V1 turned in front of V2. D2 attempted to evade, causing V2 to travel onto the west shoulder and strike a culvert. | V1 was traveling south on Wakulla Springs Rd, north of Glover Rd. BC1 was traveling south on Wakulla Springs Rd, north of Glover Rd and in front of V1. V1 left the roadway onto the west shoulder before the front of V1 impacted the rear of BC 1 . V1 fled the scene. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 7700 |
| Speed Limit | $45 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 1.38 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of bike lane | Not marked as such |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Paved |
| Shoulder Width | 4 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $1 / 2 / 3$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 11$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | N |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rear-end | Pedestrian crossing |
|  | Driver inattention |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Large number of turning vehicles |
|  | Unexpected lane change |
|  | Narrow lanes |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Inadequate gaps in traffic |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Head-on or sideswipe | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Narrow lanes |
| Left- or right-turn | Inadequate gaps in traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
| Motor vehiclebicyclist | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rear-end | Driver inattention |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
| Motor vehicle- <br> bicyclist | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the segment:

1. Pavement Markings
a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.

Oak Ridge Road between Wakulla Springs Road and Woodville Highway


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Bicycle | Rollover | Off <br> Road | Pedestrian | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85465213 | 87219234 | 88068970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Fatality | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 4/1/2017 | 10/13/2018 | 12/28/2019 |
|  | 11:00 AM | 7:40 PM | 7:45 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Pedalcycle | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Overturn/Rollover |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Wet |
| Weather | Clear | Cloudy | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | Y | Y |
| Drug Related | N | N | Y |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | Y | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling east on Oak Ridge Rd, west of Old Woodville Rd. BC1 was traveling north on the St. Marks Trail, south of Oak Ridge Rd. As V1 approached the St. Marks Trail crossing, $\mathrm{BC1}$ ran the stop sign and pulled into the path of V 1 . The front of BC1 impacted the right front of V1. <br> Approximately 8 days after the crash, the driver of BC 1 was pronounced deceased. | V1 was traveling west on Oak Ridge Rd, west of Henry Jones Rd. V2 was traveling east on Oak Ridge Rd, west of Henry Jones Rd. As V1 and V2 approached each other, V1 began to rotate counterclockwise. V1 traveled across the centerline and into the eastbound travel lane. This caused the right side of V1 to impact the front of V2. V1 was suspected to be alcohol impaired and was pronounced deceased on scene. | V1 was traveling west on Oak Ridge Rd, east of Winterberry Way at approximately 55 mph . V1 traveled off the roadway onto the unpaved north shoulder, came back onto the roadway, began to rotate counterclockwise and travel onto the south shoulder. V1 struck a dirt embankment, causing it to overturn and land on its roof. D1 was suspected to be impaired by drugs and alcohol. D1 was pronounced deceased 4 days later. |



Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 3700 |
| Speed Limit | $35 / 45 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 3.80 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of bike lane | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $2 / 3$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 20$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)
Crash Type

## Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Limited sight distance |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Inadequate signs |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Bicycles on roadway |
|  | Bicycle path too close to roadway |
|  | Narrow lanes for bicyclists |
| Vehicle Rollover | Roadside design (e.g., non-traversable side slopes, pavement edge drop off) |
|  | Inadequate shoulder width |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pavement design |
| Nighttime | Poor nighttime visibility or lighting |
|  | Poor sign visibility |
|  | Inadequate channelization or delineation |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate sight distance |
| Opposite-direction Sideswipe or Head-on | Inadequate roadway geometry |
|  | Inadequate shoulder |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate signing |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Motor vehicle-bicyclist | Bicycles on roadway |
| Vehicle Rollover | Excessive speed |
|  | Pavement design |
| Nighttime | Excessive speed |
| Head-on | Excessive speed |
| Motor vehicle-pedestrian | Pedestrians on roadway |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the segment:

1. Pavement Markings
b. Restripe the St. Marks Trail Crossing (Crosswalk faded/not visible).
c. Restripe segment (Striping currently faded/not visible).
d. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.
2. Trail Crossing Sign Improvements
a. Improve existing trail crossing signage.
b. Install Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) for trail crossing.
c. Change intersection of Oak Ridge Rd and Old Woodville Rd to All-Way Stop-Controlled.
3. Oak Ridge Road near the curve just west of Henry Jones Road
a. Fix rutting on shoulder (Shoulder drop-off).
b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

Old Plank Road between Tram Road and Natural Bridge Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Off <br> Road | Head <br> On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2018 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 4 | 2 | 2 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 83774078 | 85529206 | 85562800 | 88047520 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Fatality | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Fatality |
| Crash Time | 7/17/2017 | 3/23/2018 | 11/26/2017 | 12/27/2018 |
|  | 5:00 PM | 12:35 AM | 6:20 AM | 7:05 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Tree (standing) | Tree (standing) | Tree (standing) | Motor Vehicle in Transport |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted | Dark - Not Lighted | Dawn |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Cloudy | Cloudy | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | Y | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | Y | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling south on Old Plank Rd, south of Tram Rd at approximately 100 mph. While traversing a left-hand curve, V1 exited the roadway onto the west shoulder where V1 collided with several large trees, causing V1 to become separated in half and catch fire. D1 was suspected to be impaired by drugs and alcohol and was pronounced deceased on scene. | V1 was traveling south on Old Plank Rd, north of Regiment Loop. V1, traversing a righthand curve, ran off the east shoulder. V1 collided with a standing tree. | V1 was traveling north on Old Plank Rd, south of Regiment Loop SW. V1, traversing a lefthand curve, ran off the west shoulder, hitting a sign, a small tree, and finally a large tree after going airborne. | V1 was traveling north on Old Plank Rd, north of Natural Bridge Rd. V2 was traveling south on Old Plank Rd, north of Natural Bridge Rd. As V1 and V2 approached each other, V1 traveled across the centerline and into the southbound travel lane. The front left of V1 impacted the front left of V2. D2 was pronounced deceased on scene. |
| Note |  |  |  |  |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 1400 |
| Speed Limit | 55 mph |
| Length | 6.38 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 20 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of bike lane | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $2 / 3$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 5$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | Y |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Opposite-direction Sideswipe or Headon | Inadequate roadway geometry |
|  | Inadequate shoulder |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate signing |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Head-on | Inadequate shoulder |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes at the segment:

1. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
2. Pavement Markings
a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.

Old Lloyd Road between Washington Street and Rabon Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Sideswipe | Pedestrian | Off <br> Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85401025 | 88249549 | 88307735 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 4/23/2017 | 5/17/2020 | 6/5/2020 |
|  | 8:25 AM | 9:30 PM | 4:40 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian | Culvert |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | Y | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling north on Old Lloyd Rd, near Casa Bianca Rd at approximately 70 mph . V2 was making a left turn from the driveway at 630 Old Lloyd Rd. V1 traveled off the east shoulder, rotated counterclockwise, hit the culvert at Casa Bianca Rd and went airborne. This caused V1 to hit the mailbox at 627 Old Lloyd Rd, the right side of V 2 , and then overturn. | P1, a skateboarder, was traveling north on Old Lloyd Rd, south of Azalea Ave. V1 was traveling north on Old Lloyd Rd, south of Azalea Ave. P1 was skateboarding in the northbound travel lane when V1 approached from behind. P1 was unable to move from the path of V1. The front of V1 struck P1 from behind. V1 attempted to evade, traveling onto the west shoulder, and then beginning to rotate clockwise while traveling onto the east shoulder before entering the northbound travel lane again. V1 then fled the scene. | V1 was traveling north on Old Lloyd Rd, south of Casa Bianca Rd, at approximately 70 mph . D1 was distracted by her cell phone which caused V1 to drift onto the east shoulder. D1 overcorrected, causing V1 to move back across the road onto the west shoulder and begin rotating counterclockwise. V1 hit the culvert at 704 Old Lloyd Rd, went airborne before hitting a tree, overturning, and coming to final rest. D1 was partially ejected while V1 was overturning. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 1200 |
| Speed Limit | 55 mph |
| Length | 4.5 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 11 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of bike lane | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $1 / 2$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 11$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)
Crash Type
Possible Contributing Factor(s)

| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Improperly located driveway |
|  | Right-turning vehicles |
|  | Large volume of through traffic |
|  | Large volume of driveway traffic |
|  | Restricted sight distance |
|  | Excessive speed |
| Motor vehiclepedestrian | Limited sight distance |
|  | Inadequate barrier between pedestrian and vehicle facilities |
|  | Inadequate signals/signs |
|  | Inadequate signal phasing |
|  | Inadequate pavement markings |
|  | Inadequate lighting |
|  | Driver has inadequate warning of mid-block crossings |
|  | Lack of crossing opportunity |
|  | Excessive speed |
|  | Pedestrians on roadway |
|  | Long distance to nearest crosswalk |
|  | Sidewalk too close to travel way |
|  | School crossing area |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Collisions at driveways | Left-turning vehicles |  |
| Motor vehicle- <br> pedestrian | Pedestrians on roadway |  |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
2. Pavement Markings
a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Off <br> Road | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2020 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2021 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 3 | 0 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 88190992 | 88307769 | 88342948 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 6/15/2020 | 10/7/2020 | 1/7/2021 |
|  | 6:20 AM | 6:25 PM | 5:39 AM |
| First Harmful Event | Utility Pole/Light Support | Utility Pole/Light Support | Utility Pole/Light Support |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Clear | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | N | N | N |
| Drug Related | N | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling west on Aucilla Rd, west of Curtis Mill Rd at approximately 65 mph . D1 stated there were several animals in the roadway. D1 braked and steered V1 to the left, crossing the double yellow line and entering the eastbound travel lane. V1 began to rotate counterclockwise before traveling onto the south shoulder before hitting a utility pole and a junction box. V1 continued west onto private property at 1660 Aucilla Rd where V1 hit a palm tree and came to final rest. | V1 was traveling east on Aucilla Rd, east of Turkey Scratch Rd at approximately 70 mph . V1 traversed a lefthand curve when V1 ran off the road onto the south shoulder. V1 hit a utility pole and two trees before traveling back into the eastbound travel lane. After coming to final rest, V1 caught fire. | V1 was traveling east on Aucilla Rd, west of Turkey Scratch Rd at approximately 70 mph . V1 traversed a lefthand curve when V1 ran off the road onto the south shoulder. V1 hit a utility pole and then a tree, which caused V1 to being rotating clockwise before coming to final rest. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 700 |
| Speed Limit | $35 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 8.14 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 21 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $2 / 3$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 6$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | In some locations |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

## Step 3: Condition Assessment

The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Run-off-road | Excessive speed |  |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Speed Limit Signage
a. Install eastbound speed limit sign on the west end of segment, near US 19.
2. Advanced Warning Signs
a. Change horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage to 40 mph instead of 30 mph ( 30 mph is too slow).
3. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
4. Aucilla Highway near Turkey Scratch Road
a. Provide horizontal alignment/speed advisory signage (Not currently provided).
b. Install high visibility horizontal curve advisory features such as reflective pavement markers and chevron alignment signs (including reflective post).

## Additional Safety Notes:

- There is evidence of other vehicles leaving the road on the outside of the curve near Turkey Scratch Road from both east and west directions.

Ashville Highway between St. Margaret's Church Road and Salt Road


Step 1: Crash Data Review
The review describes crash statistics, conditions, and crash details.
Descriptive Crash Statistics

| Year | All <br> Crashes | Off <br> Road | Head <br> On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2019 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 2020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 5 | 4 | 1 |

Crash Details

| Report Number | 85400451 | 85559073 | 87191036 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 3/12/2017 | 9/11/2017 | 3/4/2019 |
|  | 5:15 AM | 8:05 AM | 4:50 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Culvert | Other Fixed Object | Culvert |
| Light Condition | Dark - Not Lighted | Daylight | Daylight |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Wet | Dry |
| Weather | Cloudy | Rain | Clear |
| Alcohol Related | Y | N | N |
| Drug Related | Y | N | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling west on Ashville Hwy, east of John Collins Rd. V1 traversed a right curve when V1 ran off the road onto the south shoulder. V1 hit a culvert and a mailbox at 1778 Ashville Hwy. V1 went airborne and hit a large oak tree approximately 4 feet up the tree. D1 was suspected to be drug and alcohol impaired. | V1 was traveling west on Ashville Hwy during Hurricane Irma. A large tree fell into the roadway in front of V1. V1 was unable to stop and the front of V1 impacted the tree. | V1 was traveling west on Ashville Hwy, near Bassett Dairy Rd when V1 began to drift onto the north shoulder. D1 over corrected causing V1 to begin rotating counterclockwise and to travel onto the south shoulder. D1 overcorrected once again, causing V1 to continue rotating and travel onto the north shoulder. V1 drove into the ditch before hitting a culvert and going airborne. While in the air, V1 hit a guidewire for a utility pole causing V1 to land on its roof partially submerged in a creek. |


| Report Number | 88349031 | 88485390 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Severity | Serious Injury | Serious Injury |
| Crash Time | 6/19/2021 | 6/23/2021 |
|  | 12:47 PM | 9:35 PM |
| First Harmful Event | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Ditch |
| Light Condition | Daylight | Dark - Not Lighted |
| Road Surface Condition | Dry | Dry |
| Weather | Clear | Cloudy |
| Alcohol Related | Y | Y |
| Drug Related | Y | N |
| Pedestrian Related | N | N |
| Bicyclist Related | N | N |
| Narrative | V1 was traveling east on Ashville Hwy, west of Luther Fountain Rd. V2 was traveling west on Ashville Hwy, west of Luther Fountain Rd. As V1 and V2 approached each other, V1 drifted into the westbound travel lane. The front of V1 impacted the front of V 2 . | V1 was traveling east on Ashville Hwy, east of Bassett Dairy Rd at approximately 65 mph . D1 stated there were animals in the road. D1 steered V1 to the left, crossing the westbound travel lane and running off the road onto the north shoulder. V1 entered the ditch and then struck a fence post causing V1 to overturn before coming to final rest on its side. |

Step 2: Traffic and Roadway Characteristics
First-hand site information is gathered through Google Earth Street View. Observations can serve to validate safety concerns identified by a review of crash data or supporting documentation.

Traffic and Roadway Characteristics

| Attributes | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| AADT 2021 | 1800 |
| Speed Limit | $40 / 55 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| Length | 6.40 miles |
| Presence of Median | N |
| Median Width | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Lane Width | 10 feet |
| Number of Lanes | 2 |
| Presence of Bike Lanes | N |
| Presence of Sidewalk | N |
| Presence of Lighting | N |
| Shoulder Type | Lawn |
| Shoulder Width | 5 feet |
| Roadside Hazard Rating | $1 / 2$ |
| Driveways Density | $\sim 11$ per mile |
| Presence of Horizontal Curve | Y |
| Presence of Combination <br> Horizontal Alignment/Advisory <br> Speed Signs (990 ft buffer) | N |
| Presence of Vertical Curve | Not able to be collected |
| Centerline Rumble Strips | N |
| Continuous Shoulder Rumble <br> Strips | N |
| Passing Lanes | Y |
| Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes | N |
| Rail Crossover | N |

Step 3: Condition Assessment
The intent is to assist in identification of a broad range of possible contributing factors in order to minimize the probability that a major contributing factor will be overlooked.

Possible Crash Contributing Factors along Roadway Segments (HSM Exhibit 6-3)

| Crash Type | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Run-off-road | Inadequate lane width |
|  | Slippery pavement |
|  | Inadequate median width |
|  | Inadequate maintenance |
|  | Inadequate roadway shoulders |
|  | Poor delineation |
|  | Poor visibility |
|  | Excessive speed |

Identify Factors Contributing to The Cause of Crashes at the Subject Site
The risk factors below are identified based on the information collected from steps 1 and 2.

| Crash Type |  | Possible Contributing Factor(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Run-off-road | Excessive speed |  |

## Step 4: Select Potential Countermeasures

The following countermeasures were identified as having potential for reducing crashes on the segment:

1. Pavement Condition
a. Fix rutting on shoulder as needed (Shoulder drop-offs).
2. Pavement Markings
a. Install longitudinal rumble strips or profiled pavement markings on centerline and edge of travel lane line.

## Additional Safety Notes:

- Overall pavement condition has degraded (poor to satisfactory).


[^0]:    *Click Location for Detailed Analysis

