

# TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING (TAC) TUESDAY, MAY 4, 2021 AT 9:00 AM

CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS, 2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR CITY HALL 300 SOUTH ADAMS STREET TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS
- 2. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON THE AGENDA
- 3. CONSENT AGENDA
  - A. Minutes of the October 6, November 3, 2020 and February 2, 2021 meeting
- 4. CONSENT ITEMS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION

#### 5. Presentation/Discussion/Action

The public is welcome to comment on any discussion item after a motion has been made and seconded. Each member of the public is provided three (3) minutes to address the CRTPA.

#### A. Technical Advisory Committee Election of Chair and Vice-Chair

Annually, the TAC elects a new Chair and Vice Chair to serve for the subsequent year. Current leaders may be re-elected and the current Chair and Vice Chair are Mr. Ryan Guffey and Ms. Andrea Rosser, respectively.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Elect a Chair and Vice Chair to serve in 2021.

#### B. StarMetro Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTSAP)

This item provides a discussion on the recent development of StarMetro's PTASP that is a federal requirement of StarMetro. Relatedly, the CRTPA is required to either support StarMetro's safety targets or develop transit safety targets of its own.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Recommend the CRTPA adopt a resolution supporting the transit safety targets as contained within the StarMetro Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.

#### C. Draft Transportation Alternatives (TA) Project Priority List

This item provides the draft Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 – FY 2027 TA Project Priority List scheduled for adoption at the May 18 CRTPA meeting.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Recommend the CRTPA adopt the FY 2023 – FY 2027 Transportation Alternatives Project Priority List.

#### 6. INFORMATION

#### A. Future Meeting Dates

#### 7. ITEMS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS OR STAFF

This portion of the agenda is provided to allow members an opportunity to discuss and request action on items and issues relevant to the CRTPA, as appropriate.

#### 8. ADJOURNMENT



## **TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)**

MEETING OF TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2020 (9:00 AM - 11:00 AM)

#### NOTE:

Due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, this meeting was conducted virtually.

## **Meeting Minutes**

Members Present: Eric Hogue, Tallahassee Airport; Allen Secreast, City of Tallahassee Traffic Management; Charles Wu, Leon County Public Works, Transportation; Beshoy Elnemr; City of Tallahassee Growth Management; Diane Quigley, Gadsden County Planning & Community Development; Shannon Metty, Jefferson County Planning; Ryan Guffey, Leon County Development Support and Environmental Management; Cherie Bryant; Department of PLACE; Andrea Rosser, StarMetro; Pat Maurer, Ride on Commuter Services; Kwentin Eastberg, Apalachee Regional Planning Council; Mike Alfano, Blueprint IA.

<u>Staff Present:</u> Greg Slay, Executive Director, CRTPA; Jack Kostrzewa, CRTPA; Greg Burke, CRTPA; Suzanne Lex, CRTPA; Wayne Bryan, City of Tallahassee Traffic Management; Chris Muehlemann, Leon County; Kate Widness; Kimley Horn and Associates; Jon Swell, Kimley Horn and Associates

#### 1. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS

## 2. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON THE AGENDA None

#### 3. Consent Agenda

A. Minutes of the September 1, 2020 TAC Meeting

Committee Action: Mr. Secreast made a motion to approve the Minutes of the September 1, 2020, TAC Meeting with the modification to the minutes to reflect Allen Seacrest as present at the meeting. The motion was seconded by Ms. Rosser and the motion was unanimously passed.

B. Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Amendment

Ms. Lex briefly stated this amendment would align the funding that was moved from the current year funds from Congestion Management into next year's budget.

Committee Action: Ms. Quigley made a motion to approve the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Amendment. Ms. Rosser seconded the motion and the motion was unanimously passed.

#### 4. ITEMS CONSENT ITEMS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION

#### 5. Presentation/Discussion/Action

The public is welcome to comment on any discussion item after a motion has been made and seconded. Each member of the public is provided three (3) minutes to address the committee.

#### A. Thomasville Road Multi-Use Trail Feasibility Study

A feasibility study related to a Thomasville Road was discussed.

Mr. Kostrzewa introduced the Thomasville Road Multi-use Path Feasibility Study, noting at the beginning of the year staff began the Thomasville Multi-use Path Feasibility Study, which came from the recently completed Tallahassee Leon County Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan. Mr. Kostrzewa stated this corridor would provide a connection between Midtown Area and Market District. The next phase would be the Public Involvement phase which will start after the presentation to the Board on October 19, 2020.

Jon Sewell, Kimley Horn and Associates provided background information on the feasibility study for constructing a multiuse path along the Thomasville Road corridor. Mr. Sewell stated this project was one of the key projects identified within the Tallahassee Leon County Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan. Mr. Swell noted this was the Feasibility Study, studying the feasibility of a multi-use path along the Thomasville Road Corridor.

#### **Project Intent**

Mr. Sewell stated this project was a pilot project from the TLC Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan 2019 Update. Mr. Sewell stated this project was a technical review of a 12' wide asphalt multi-use path along the Thomasville Road corridor and this would be an important bicycle network connection. This project would connect two distinct areas in Tallahassee "Midtown" and "Market Street". The determination was made to recommend the project for a feasibility study.

#### Goals

The goals of the projects include <u>Safety</u>-providing a safe connections between the destinations along Thomasville Road; <u>Accessibility</u>-Create a facility that is accessible to a variety of user types and be appropriate for at least three of the four cycle comfort levels; <u>Network Connectivity</u>-Grow network connectivity by providing opportunities to access destinations along and beyond Thomasville Road; <u>Positive User Experience</u>-Ensure positive user experience by incorporating natural features, creative design and ADA compliance; <u>Equitable</u>-Make the facility available to people with disabilities, lower incomes, aging populations; Multimodal-Accommodate a variety of moves on the facility, and <u>Health and Recreation-Provide</u> opportunities for extended trips to recreate and exercise.

#### Project Approach

This project will have a two phased approach. Part one, Betton Road to Metropolitan Boulevard and Part two, Metropolitan Boulevard to Maclay Boulevard.

#### **Completed Activities**

Mr. Sewell discussed the completed activities including site reconnaissance; existing conditions assessment; opportunities and challenges; developed guiding principles of design; meetings with Bicycle-Pedestrian Master Plan working group. The Thomasville Road Multi-use Path project would provide north/south connectivity between Northeast Tallahassee and the Market District, Midtown, Downtown (Via Neighborhood Network), FAMU Way and Cascades Park and the St. Marks Trail.

#### **Next Steps**

Mr. Sewell stated the next steps would be the public engagement phase starting October 2020. Public Involvement would include stakeholder meetings, coordination with local neighborhood associations, organize engagement opportunities with general public.

#### **Committee Action: No Action Required**

#### B. US 90 Multi-Use Trail Feasibility Study

A discussion related to the development of the US 90 Multi-Use Trail Feasibility Study was provided.

Mr. Burke provided background information on the US 90 Multi-Use Trail Feasibility Study. He noted this project began earlier this year. He stated the team has met with Jefferson County and the City of Monticello regarding the study. This project would connect Tallahassee to Monticello and this project was currently on the SUNTrails system.

Jon Sewell, Kimley Horn and Associates, provided background information on the feasibility study for constructing a shared-use path along the US 90 Highway corridor.

#### Project Purpose and Background

This project would connect the City of Tallahassee to the City of Monticello via a 10-12 ft paved shared use path. The project limits are Pedrick Road (west limit) to existing Monticello Bike Trail (east limit). This project will consist of two phases with Phase 1 being the feasibility study, which began October 2019 and Phase 2 the public engagement portion, anticipated to begin Fall 2020.

#### **Project Goals**

Mr. Sewell stated the goals of the project include providing a safe, continuous multimodal facility between Tallahassee and Monticello, expanding upon the growing SUNTrails Regional Trails Network, providing a new alternative transportation opportunities for

residents, businesses and visitors along the US 90 Corridor and Spur economic development and activity.

#### Stakeholder Engagement

Staff met with stakeholders from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), Hilltop Country Store Owners, property owners, City of Monticello and Jefferson County Board of County Commissioners.

#### **Existing Conditions**

Along this corridor roadway speeds vary from 35-55 mph. On the portion from Pedrick Road to East of Summit Lake Drive, the roadway is 4-Lane bidirectional with medians. From Summit Lake Drive to Monticello Courthouse, the roadway is 2-Lane bidirectional with paved shoulders. Also noted, on street parking east of entering the Monticello City Limit. There are sidewalks from Pedrick to I-10 Interchange, sidewalks begin again at Crooked Creek Lane in Monticello. Also noted, designated on-street bicycle lane from Pedrick Road to east of Summit Lake Drive.

#### Opportunities Along the Corridor

Existing and current conditions that would add value to a trail and would be beneficial to trail user, including shade, aesthetic, and an existing bicycle route.

#### Challenges Along the Corridor

Elements that would make trail construction difficult or would limit feasibility of constructing the trail including right-of -way, crepe myrtles and trail crossings in some areas. Areas where challenges exist include I-10 Interchange, East of Hawk's Landing Drive, East of Baum Road, US 90 near Jefferson Road intersection, Hilltop County Store (different options being reviewed), Lake Miccosukee (Boardwalk and bridge) and downtown Monticello (Limited Right-of-way). Mr. Swell presented opportunities to address the challenges.

Opportunity 1 would include crossings at Hawks Landing and Baum Road. The pros and cons of opportunity 1: limited number of crossings on US 90 to two and no right-of -way acquisition not required.

Opportunity 2 would eliminate the need for crossings, but right-of-way is limited along the corridor. The pros and cons of opportunity 2: include no crossings, no right-of-way likely, utilizes low-volume roads to route the trail away from US 90.

Opportunity 3 would include limited number of crossing to two and no right-of-way required.

#### What's Next

The next steps include finalize the feasibility report with alternatives, public outreach for additional input and feedback on alternatives and project recommendations.

**Committee Action: No Action Required** 

## **COMMITTEE INFORMATION**

#### A. Future Meeting Dates

Next meeting items include Chair/Vice Chair selection for 2021 as well as 2021 Calendar

#### 6. ITEMS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS OR STAFF

This portion of the agenda is provided to allow members and staff an opportunity to discuss items and issues relevant to the CRTPA.

## 7. ADJOURNMENT

Committee Action: The meeting was adjourned at 9:52 am.



## **TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)**

MEETING OF TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2020 (9:00 AM - 11:00 AM)

CITY OF TALLAHASSEE COMMISSION CHAMBERS 300 S. ADAMS STREET TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301

#### **MINUTES**

<u>Members Present:</u> Chris Muehlemann, Leon County Public Works, Transportation; Diane Quigley, Gadsden County Planning and Community Development; Cherie Bryant, PLACE; Pat Mauer, Ride on Commuter Services; Kwentin Eastberg, ARPC; Wayne Bryan, Traffic Management Center; Meghan Doherty, Blueprint IA (Virtual); Allen Secreast, Traffic Management Center (Virtual)

<u>Staff Present:</u> Greg Slay, CRTPA; Jack Kostrzewa, CRTPA; Greg Burke, CRTPA; Suzanne Lex, CRTPA; Yulonda Mitchell, CRTPA; Allison Fluitt, Kimley Horn and Associates;

The meeting was called to order at 9:10 am by Cherie Bryant, acting as Chair, without a quorum present.

- 1. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS
- 2. Public Comment on Items Not Appearing on the Agenda
- 3. Consent Agenda
  - A. Minutes of the October 6, 2020 Meeting
  - B. TAC 2021 Calendar

Committee Action: Since there was not a quorum present, the consent agenda (Minutes and 2021 Calendar) will be approved at the next meeting.

4. Consent Items Pulled for Discussion

#### 5. Presentation/Discussion/Action

#### A. Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan Adoption

This item seeks adoption of the CRTPA's Long Range Transportation Plan, "Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan".

Allison Fluitt, Kimley Horn and Associates presented information on the Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan for Adoption.

#### **Pubic Workshop Process**

Ms. Fluitt stated there were several public engagement opportunities with the meetings held virtually in October 2020. At each meeting, a meeting materials packet was placed on the CRTPA's website. The packet included 20 frequent questions that are asked during workshops with examples including: CRTPA role, project implementation, maintenance and construction methods, prioritization and specific project questions. All presentations were recorded and are available on the CRTPA website. Additionally, there was an online comment form on the CRTPA's website for citizens to submit comments on the Regional Mobility Plan.

#### Revised Cost Feasible Plan-September

Ms. Fluitt stated there was only one revision since September 2020. The revision added US 90 (Mahan Drive) at Capital Circle NE, Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) Study Phase only, horizon year 2026-2030.

#### **Roadway Component**

Ms. Fluitt stated projects that are listed as a part of the roadway component are a blend of capital projects roadway projects. There are existing, committed, cost feasible and unfunded roadway needs. The committed projects include Bannerman Road (Thomasville Road to Bull Headley Road), Capital Circle SW (Orange Avenue to Springhill Road and Springhill to Crawfordville Road), Crawfordville Road (Leon County Line to Bloxham Cutoff and Bloxham Cutoff to E. Ivan Road), Orange Avenue (S. Lake Bradford Road to FSU Nursery Road), Welaunee Boulevard (Fleischmann Road to Roberts Road), Welaunee Extension (Shamrock Street to Welaunee Boulevard). These projects will be funded by a mixture of Blueprint and FDOT funds. These projects will be completed in the next five years.

#### Cost Feasible Plan Project Sheets

Ms. Fluitt stated these are projects beyond the Committed Projects list timeframe. This list has remained consistent throughout this process, except for the addition (discussed above) of Mahan Drive/Capital Circle NE PD&E Study. She noted there are always attempts to address multimodal opportunities and incorporate them as available along the roadway. Also noted was that there are funding set asides for unidentified projects and that such funds could be used for smaller scale projects to allow the maximum flexibility. Ms. Fluitt also discussed the unfunded needs list and noted this list shows projects that are not able to be funded within the available revenue.

#### **RMP Executive Summary**

The Regional Mobility Plan Executive Summary summarizes the components, for example: Cost Feasible Plan, Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects, Intersections, ITS and Transit Projects and the regional performance measures. Mrs. Fluitt stated the plan was currently in draft format and will be reviewed by the staff soon.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Adopt the Long Range Transportation Plan, "Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan"

Committee Action: Although there was not a quorum present, the consensus of the group was to recommend adoption of the Long Range Transportation Plan, "Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan".

#### B. Election of Year 2021 Chair and Vice Chair

Annually, the TAC elects a new Chair and Vice Chair to serve for the subsequent year. Current leaders may be re-elected and the current Chair and Vice Chair are Mr. Ryan Guffey and Ms. Andrea Rosser, respectively.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Elect a Chair and Vice Chair to serve in 2021.

Committee Action: Since there was not a quorum present, the consensus was to conduct election of 2021 Chair and Vice Chair at the next meeting.

#### 6. Information

- A. Future Meeting Dates
- 7. ITEMS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS OR STAFF

#### 8. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 9:39 am



# TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING (TAC) TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2021 AT 9:00 AM

CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS, 2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR CITY HALL 300 SOUTH ADAMS STREET TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301

#### **Meeting Minutes**

#### **Members Present:**

Allen Secreast, City Traffic Engineering; Charles Wu, Public Works; Dianne Quigley, Gadsden County Planning; Kwentin Eastberg, Apalachee Regional Planning Council; Cherie Bryant, PLACE; Pat Maurer, Rideon (Virtually); Megan Doherty, Blueprint (Virtually)

#### Staff:

Greg Slay, CRTPA; Jack Kostrzewa, CRTPA; Greg Burke, CRTPA; Yulonda Mitchell, CRTPA; Bryant Paulk, Florida Department of Transportation

The meeting was called to order at 9:09 am with Cherie Bryant serving as Chair. There was not a quorum present, therefore no action was taken.

#### 1. **AGENDA MODIFICIATIONS**

## 2. PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT APPEARING ON THE AGENDA

No Public Comment.

#### 3. CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Minutes of the October 6 and November 3, 2020 meetings
- B. 2021 TAC Calendar
- C. Connections 2045 Regional Mobility Plan

Committee Action: A quorum was not present and therefore no action was taken

#### 4. CONSENT ITEMS PULLED FOR DISCUSSION

#### 5. Presentation/Discussion/Action

#### A. Technical Advisory Committee Election of Chair and Vice-Chair

Annually, the TAC elects a new Chair and Vice Chair to serve for the subsequent year. Current leaders may be re-elected and the current Chair and Vice Chair are Mr. Ryan Guffey and Ms. Andrea Rosser, respectively.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Elect a Chair and Vice Chair to serve in 2021.

Committee Action: A quorum was not present and therefore no action was taken. The election of Chair and Vice-Chair will be placed on the agenda of the next Technical Advisory Committee.

#### B. CRTPA Safety Measures Adoption

This item seeks adoption of the 2021 CRTPA Safety Performance Targets for safety performance measures for all public roads that the CRTPA is required annually address.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Recommend CRTPA board adopt the recommended Safety Targets for 2021.

Mr. Burke provided background information on Transportation Performance Management Safety requirements that requires the annual adoption of performance targets related to safety. Specifically, the CRTPA is required to adopt targets for the following safety performance measures: the number of fatalities; rate of fatalities per 100 Million Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT); number of serious injuries; rate of serious injuries per 100 Million VMT; and the number of non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries. The CRTPA has the option to adopt FDOT targets or the CRTPA could adopt targets for the region. In 2018, the CRTPA began to adopt its own Safety Targets.

Committee Action: A quorum was not present and therefore no action was taken.

#### C. Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 – FY 2026 Draft Tentative Work Program

This item provides an update on the recently developed Florida Department of Transportation Tentative Work Program.

Mr. Burke summarized the Fiscal Year 2022- FY 2026 Tentative Work Program. He outlined the projects in each county for the region. Mr. Paulk briefly discussed the current funding shortfall for the Department of Transportation and the effects to the District and scheduled projects.

Committee Action: A quorum was not present and therefore no action was taken.

**D.** Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 – FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment This item seeks committee approval to amend the FY 2021 – 2025 TIP related to a sidewalk and a bike trail project.

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Recommend the CRTPA board approve the TIP amendment.

Mr. Burke discussed the Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 – FY 2025 Transportation Improvement Program Amendment. He stated the amendment would add two projects. Specifically, the first project is the addition of construction funding for a sidewalk project in Quincy on Adams Street. The second project extends the limits of the Monticello Bike Trail (.5 mile to the north) to be consistent with the Monticello Bike Trail Extension Feasibility Study that was approved by the CRTPA in September 2019.

Committee Action: A quorum was not present and therefore no action was taken.

#### 6. <u>INFORMATION</u>

A. Future Meeting Dates

#### 7. <u>ITEMS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS OR STAFF</u>

Committee discussed quorum requirements. Mr. Slay explained there had to be a physical quorum present to approve agenda items.

#### 8. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

The meeting was adjourned at 9:52 A.M.



#### STARMETRO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLAN

Type of Item: Presentation/Discussion/Action

#### **S**TATEMENT OF **I**SSUE

This item seeks a recommendation that the CRTPA adopt StarMetro's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP). The PTSAP (*Attachment 1*) is a federal requirement of certain operators of public transportation systems to ensure that public transportation systems are safe nationwide and contain safety targets. Relatedly, the CRTPA is required to either adopt its own transit safety targets or support the transit safety targets of the local transit provider contained within the PTSAP. Through adoption of the StarMetro PTSAP, the CRTPA will reflect its support of the transit safety targets contained within the PTSAP.

#### **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Option 1: Recommend the CRTPA adopt a resolution supporting the transit safety targets as contained within the StarMetro Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.

#### **BACKGROUND**

Pursuant to the FHWA, Transportation Performance Management is defined as "a strategic approach that uses system information to make investment and policy decisions to achieve national performance goals. Transportation Performance Management:

- Is systematically applied, a regular ongoing process
- Provides key information to help decision makers to understand the consequences of investment decisions across transportation assets or modes
- Improving communications between decision makers, stakeholders and the traveling public
- Ensuring targets and measures are developed in cooperative partnerships and based on data and objective information"

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21, adopted July 6, 2012) requires performance measures be addressed in seven (7) areas: **safety**, pavement condition, highway performance, bridge condition, freight movement, traffic congestion, and on-road mobile sources.

#### **Public Transit Agency Requirements**

On July 19, 2018, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) published the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) Final Rule (49 C.F.R. Part 673) requiring operators of public transportation systems that are recipients or sub recipients of FTA 5310 and/or 5311 funds to develop safety plans that include the processes and procedures necessary for implementing Safety Management Systems (SMS). Procedures related to SMS include the designation of accountable persons for the development, institution, and enforcement of these policies.

The PTASP must include performance targets for the performance measures established by FTA in the National Public Transportation Safety Plan, (published on January 28, 2017). The transit safety performance measures are:

- Total number of reportable fatalities and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- Total number of reportable injuries and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- Total number of reportable safety events and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.
- System reliability mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode.

Within the CRTPA, the requirement to develop a PTASP applies to the City of Tallahassee's public transit agency, StarMetro. To that end, in January 2021, StarMetro developed its PTSAP to meet the requirements of FTA. The StarMetro's PTSAP reflects the following transit safety targets:

## Safety Performance Targets StarMetro

Mode of Transit Service	Fatalities (Total)	Fatalities (Rate)	Injuries (Total)	Injuries (Rate) per 100,000 miles	Safety Events (Total)	Safety Events (per 100,000 miles)	System Reliability (VRM*/ Failures)
Fixed Route (MB)	0	0	5	0.2	7	0.28	9,500
ADA/ Paratransit	0	0	2	0.1	1	0.1	68,456

<sup>\*</sup>VRM - vehicle revenue miles

A fact sheet developed by the Florida Department of Transportation discussing such requirements of public transportation agency's and metropolitan planning organizations is provided as **Attachment 2**.

#### **CRTPA Requirements**

Relatedly, for metropolitan planning organizations such as the CRTPA, such agencies have the option to establish their own transit safety targets OR agree to support the transit safety targets of the public transit agency.

The CRTPA staff is recommending that the agency support StarMetro's transit safety targets through adoption of a resolution noting the agency's support of the StarMetro PTASP.

#### **A**TTACHMENT

Attachment 1: StarMetro Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTSAP)

Attachment 2: FDOT Fact Sheet



## Contents

TRANSIT AGENCY INFORMATION	5
TRANSIT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	5
HISTORY AND OVERVIEW  TRANSIT SERVICES PROVIDED  CONTRACT AND COORDINATED TRANSPORTATION	6
PLAN DEVELOPMENT, APPROVAL, AND UPDATES	
SIGNATURE PAGERECORDS OF REVISION	
MASTER LIST OF UPDATES	
CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE	9
LIST OF ACRONYMS	
DEFINITIONS	11
SAFETY PERFORMANCE TARGETS	15
1. SAFETY MANAGEMENT POLICY	15
1.1 SAFETY MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT 1.2 SAFETY MANAGEMENT POLICY COMMUNICATION 1.3 SMS AUTHORITIES, ACCOUNTABILITIES, AND RESPONSIBILITIES 1.3.1 Accountable Executive 1.3.2 SMS Manager. 1.3.3 Leadership Team 1.3.4 Key Staff and Activities 1.3.5 Contractor SMS Key Staff Roles and Responsibilities 1.3.6 EMPLOYEE SAFETY REPORTING PROGRAM 2.1 NON-PUNITIVE SAFETY HAZARD & NEAR MISS REPORTING TO SENIOR MA 2.2 EXAMPLES OF INFORMATION TYPICALLY REPORTED INCLUDES. 3. SAFETY RISK MANAGEMENT 3.1 SAFETY HAZARD IDENTIFICATION 3.1.1 Reporting and Observed Safety Hazard Process 3.2 SAFETY RISK ASSESSMENT 3.3 SAFETY RISK MITIGATION	16 17 17 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
3.4 CONTRACTED SERVICE PROVIDERS 4. SAFETY ASSURANCE	
4.1 SAFETY ASSURANCE PROCESS	
4.2 SAFETY PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND MEASUREMENTS	27
<ul><li>4.3 Investigations of Safety Events to Identify Causal Factors</li><li>5. SAFETY PROMOTION</li></ul>	
5.1 TRAINING AND COMPETENCIES	
5.1.1 Training	30

6. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	34
6.1 Supporting Documentation	34
7. SECURITY PROGRAM PLAN (SPP)	34
7.1 THE SPP ADDRESSES THE FOLLOWING HAZARD AND SECURITY ELEMENTS AND	D REQUIREMENTS34
8. EMERGENCY RESPONSE	35
8.1 EMERGENCY PLANNING	35
8.1.1 Emergency Objectives	35
8.2 CONCEPT OF OPERATION	36
9. OPERATOR SELECTION, QUALIFICATION, TRAINING	37
9.1 OPERATOR SCREENING	37
9.1.1 Medical Exams for Bus Transit System Drivers	38
9.2 Initial Driver Training and Testing	39
9.2.1 On-Going and Refresher Training and Testing	40
9.2.2 Remedial Training and Testing	40
9.2.3 NIMS Training	
9.3 OPERATING AND DRIVING REQUIREMENTS	
9.3.1 Operator Drive and Work Hours	
10. PRE-TRIP / POST TRIP	44
11. MAINTENANCE PLAN	45
11.1 Preventive Maintenance	45
11.2 Bus Safety Inspections and Safety/Security Inspections and Review	s46
APPENDIX A - NEAR MISS REPORTING APPENDIX B - HAZARD REPORT FO	RM47
APPENDIX A – NEAR MISS REPORTING	48
APPENDIX C- CITY OF TALLAHASSEE ACCIDENT/INCIDENT REPORTING FO	RM50
APPENDIX E - SAFETY RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION REGISTER	52
APPENDIX F- HAZARD ANALYSIS REGISTER	53

Page intentionally left blank

#### TRANSIT AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency Name	Address	Name and Title of Accountable Executive	Name of SMS Executive	List All FTA Funding (e.g., 5307, 5310, 5311)	Mode of Service Covered by This Plan
City of Tallahassee - StarMetro	555 S. Appleyard Drive Tallahassee, Florida 32304	Angela Baldwin, Chief Transit Officer	Rosemary Bosby, Operations and Compliance Manager	5307, 5310, 5311, 5339	Fixed Route and Paratransit

StarMetro does not provide transit services on behalf of another transit agency or entity. StarMetro is the designated Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) with four contracted service providers: Big Bend Transit, Sessaly Rose Transit, Yellow Cab of Tallahassee, and Tomahawk Transportation.

#### TRANSIT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

#### History and Overview

The City of Tallahassee has operated StarMetro, its public transit system, since December 1973 when the city purchased the transit system from a private owner. StarMetro provides fixed route, flex route, and paratransit services. StarMetro also contracts with Florida State University (FSU) and Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University (FAMU) for a U-Pass program where students, faculty, and staff ride the fixed route system using their school issued identification cards. In addition, StarMetro has a contract with Tallahassee Community College (TCC) to offer a reduced semester pass to their students and a pilot program, (STAR), with Leon County Schools to allow K-12 students to ride fare free using their school issued IDs.

Dial-A-Ride, StarMetro's complementary Paratransit service, commenced in October 1983, when a private carrier discontinued service leaving many senior and persons with disabilities without transportation. This program, which provides transportation to seniors and persons with disabilities, was originally implemented in response to Federal Section Code 504 regulations, which prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities. The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) is the current legislation under which this program is mandated. StarMetro's Complementary Paratransit Plan describing the City's efforts to comply with the ADA was submitted and approved by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

StarMetro was designated as the Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) for Leon County, Florida, by the Florida Commission for Transportation Disadvantaged on July 1, 2002. The CTC is responsible for coordinating all transportation services for the transportation-disadvantaged population of Leon County. Transportation services are coordinated for complementary Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Florida Agency for Persons with Disabilities, and the Florida Commission for Transportation Disadvantaged.

The Tallahassee City Commission provides policy and directive to the City Manager for the management of the City, while the day-to-day activities of StarMetro resides with the Chief Transit Officer Reporting to the Chief Transit Officer are the Superintendent of Transit Operations, Transit Planning Manager, Project Manager, Special Transportation Manager, Operations and Compliance Manager (SMS Manager), and the Transit Finance Administrator.

#### Transit Services Provided

StarMetro operates fixed route, flex route, and paratransit transportation services.

Fixed and university route regular weekday service begins at 5:08 a.m. and continues to 7:00 p.m., Saturday service is provided from 6:38 a.m. to 7:34 p.m. Sunday service is provided from 10:34 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and night and university service continues from 7:30 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. The Seminole Express (university routes) are provided through an annual agreement with FSU. This service consists of 18 buses operating approximately 196 hours per school day and is fare free to all citizens utilizing these university sponsored routes.

StarMetro operates two FLEX routes (Lake Jackson and Southside). The FLEX routes are a "call ahead" curb-to-curb service which serve a dedicated area, is available to the public, and has no eligibility requirements. You can be picked up and dropped off at any location within the FLEX area.

Dial-A-Ride, StarMetro's paratransit service, operates the same days and hours as the fixed route service; customers must request transportation no later than 5pm the day prior to the trip date.

Administrative offices are closed in observance of the following holidays; however, reduced services are provided as indicated below:

New Year's Day

Martin Luther King Day

Memorial Day

Emancipation Day

Independence Day

Labor Day

Sunday Service

Regular Service

Sunday Service

Sunday Service

Sunday Service

Veterans Day Saturday Service

Thanksgiving Day No Service

Day after Thanksgiving Saturday Service

Christmas Day No Service

## Contract and Coordinated Transportation

Four (4) vendors, Big Bend Transit, Sessaly Rose Transit, Yellow Cab of Tallahassee, and Tomahawk Transportation provide contracted transportation services on behalf of StarMetro. In accordance with Rule Chapter 14-90, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), they are required to comply with all applicable elements of StarMetro's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) or develop their own PTASP that complies with all applicable elements.

## PLAN DEVELOPMENT, APPROVAL, AND UPDATES

## Signature Page

Electronic verification of approvals is maintained within Operations and Compliance Division.

Name of Person Who Drafted This Plan	Rosemary Bosby, Operations and Compliance Manager and SMS Manager		
Signature by the Accountable Executive	Signature of Accountable Executive	Date of Signature	
	Angela Baldwin,  Chief Transit Officer	01/22/2021	
Approval by the Board of Directors or an Equivalent Authority	Raoul Lavin (Jan 22, 2021 20:41 EST) Raoul Lavin, Assistant City Manager	01/22/2021	

#### Records of Revision

#### **MANUAL HOLDER: ELECTRONICALLY**

StarMetro's PTASP will be reviewed and updated by the SMS coordinator by August 1st of each year and forwarded to the Chief Transit Officer. The Chief Transit Officer will review and approve any changes, signing the new PTASP, then forward to the City Manager or designee for review and approval.

Revision Number	Dated	Date Filed	Filed By
Original	08/01/2020		Rosemary Bosby

## Master List of Updates

The below noted updates are incorporated into this manual:

Section	<b>Update Details</b>
Original	Not Applicable – Original

## **CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE**

## STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

for

PUBLIC-SECTOR BUS TRANSIT SYSTEMS (Certifying compliance with F.S. 341.061 & RULE14-90 F.A.C.)

to

Florida Department of Transportation

#### This Certifies year 2020.

DATE: January 13, 2021

TRANSIT SYSTEM: City of Tallahassee - StarMetro

ADDRESS: 555 South Appleyard Drive, Tallahassee, Florida, 32304

In accordance with Florida Statue 341.061, the Bus Transit System named above and Private Contract Bus Transit System(s) (listed below), hereby certifies to the following:

- The adoption of a System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) & Security Program Plan (SPP) pursuant to Florida Department of Transportation safety standards set forth in Rule Chapter 14-90, Florida Administrative Code.
- Compliance with adopted safety standards in the SSPP & SPP.
- Performance of annual safety inspections on all operational buses in accordance with Rule 14-90.009, FAC.

Signature: Ingele Bildwn	•
Name: Angela Baldwin  (Type or Print)	Title: Chief Transit Officer
Name and address of entity (ies) which has (have	e) performed safety inspections:
Name/Company: City of Tallahassee – StarMetro	
Address: 555 South Appleyard Drive, Tallahasse	e. Florida, 32304

<u>Provider</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Contact</u>
Big Bend Transit	2201 Eisenhower Street Tallahassee, Florida 32310	(850) 574-6064	Shawn Mitchell
Sessaly Rose Transit	Post Office Box 4081 Tallahassee, Florida 32315	(850) 222-8232	Kessla Stanley

List of Acronyms
The following acronyms apply to all related information in this manual.

Acronym	Word or Phrase	
ACC/INC	Accident/Incident	
ADA	American's with Disability Act of 1990, as amended	
ASP	Agency Safety Plan (or PTASP)	
ALARP	As Low as Reasonably Practicable	
CAP	Corrective Action Plan	
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	
CSSO	Chief Safety & Security Officer	
EPRP	Emergency Preparedness Response Plan	
ESRP	Employee Safety Reporting Program	
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation	
FHR	Final Hazard Rating	
FTA	Federal Transportation Administration	
IHR	Initial Hazard Rating	
NTD	Nation Transit Database	
NTSB	National Transportation Safety Board	
ОНА	Operational Hazard Analysis	
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration	
PAR	Preventive Action Request	
PHA	Preliminary Hazard Analysis	
PTASP	Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan	
SMP	Safety Management Policy	
SMS	Safety Management System	
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure	
SRM	Safety Risk Management	
SSO	State Safety Oversight	
SSP	System Security Plan SSPP:	
SSPP	System Safety Program Plan (replaced by PTASP)	
SSRC	Safety and Security Management Review Committee	
TAM	Transit Asset Management	
TSA	Transportation Safety Administration	
TVA	Threat and Vulnerability Assessment	
VRM	Vehicle Revenue Miles	

#### **Definitions**

The following definitions apply to all related information in this manual.

**Accident** - an event that involves any of the following: loss of life, serious injury to a person, collision involving a transit vehicle, and/or an evacuation for life safety reasons.

**Accident/incident/occurrence report** - a report filed regarding an event.

**Accountable Executive** - a single, identifiable person who has ultimate responsibility for carrying out the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) of a public transportation agency; responsibility for carrying out the agency's Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan; and has control or direction over the human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain both the agency's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5329(d), and the agency's Transit Asset Management Plan, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5326.

**As low as reasonably practicable (ALARP)** - a condition where a risk/hazard has been mitigated to its lowest manageable level.

**Consequence** - An effect of a hazard involving injury, illness, death, or damage to StarMetro property or the environment.

Event - any accident, incident, or occurrence.

**Hazard** - any real or potential condition that can cause injury, illness, or death; damage to or loss of the facilities, equipment, rolling stock, or infrastructure of a public transportation system; or damage to the environment.

**Hazard report** - a report filed regarding a hazard identified in the workplace.

**Near miss** - a narrowly avoided collision, hazard, or other accident.

**Near miss report** – a report filed from a narrowly avoided collision, hazard, or other accident.

**Incident** - an event that involves any of the following: personal injury that is not serious, one or more injuries requiring medical transport, and/or damage to the facilities, equipment, or infrastructure that disrupts the operations of the agency.

Investigation - the process of determining the causal and contributing factors of an accident,

incident, or hazard, for the purpose of preventing recurrence and mitigating risk.

**Occurrence** - an event without any personal injury in which any damage to facilities, equipment, or infrastructure does not disrupt operations.

**Performance measure** - a quantifiable indicator of performance or condition that is used to establish targets and to assess meeting established targets.

**Performance target** - a quantifiable level of performance or condition, expressed as a value for the measure, to be achieved within a time period.

**Physical property damage** - Damage sustained to a building or permanently fixed or mounted items on the ground or real estate.

**Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan or Agency Safety Plan (PTASP or ASP)** - the documented comprehensive Agency Safety Plan for a transit agency that is required by 49 U.S.C. 5329 and Part 673.

**Risk** - the composite of predicted severity and likelihood of the potential effect of a hazard.

**Risk mitigation** - a method or methods to eliminate or reduce the effects of hazards.

**Root cause** - a fundamental, underlying, system-related reason why an accident, incident, or event occurred that identifies one or more correctable system failures.

**Safety** - the condition of being protected from or unlikely to cause danger, risk, damage, or injury.

**Safety Assurance** - processes within a transit agency's Safety Management System that function to ensure the implementation and effectiveness of safety risk mitigation, and to ensure that the transit agency meets or exceeds its safety objectives through the collection, analysis, and assessment of information.

**Safety Management Policy (SMP)** - a transit agency's documented commitment to safety, which defines the transit agency's safety objectives and the accountabilities and responsibilities of its employees regarding safety.

**Safety Management System (SMS)** - the formal, top-down, organization-wide approach to managing safety risk and assuring the effectiveness of a transit agency's safety risk mitigation. SMS includes systematic procedures, practices, and policies for managing risks and hazards.

**Safety performance target** - a performance target related to safety management activities.

**Safety Promotion** - a combination of training and communication of safety information to support the SMS as applied to the transit agency's public transportation system.

**Safety risk assessment** - the formal activity whereby a transit agency determines Safety Risk Management priorities by establishing the significance or value of its safety risks.

**Safety Risk Management (SRM)** - a process within a transit agency's Agency Safety Plan for identifying hazards and analyzing, assessing, and mitigating safety risk.

**Serious injury** - any injury which: (1) requires hospitalization for more than 48-hours, commencing within 7-days from the date when the injury was received; (2) results in a fracture of any bone (except simple fractures of fingers, toes, or noses); (3) causes severe hemorrhages, nerve, muscle, or tendon damage; (4) involves any internal organ; or (5) involves second- or third-degree burns, or any burns affecting more than 5 percent of the body surface.

**Transit agency** - an operator of a public transportation system.

**Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan** - the strategic and systematic practice of procuring, operating, inspecting, maintaining, rehabilitating, and replacing transit capital assets to manage their performance, risks, and costs over their life cycles, for the purpose of providing safe, cost effective, and reliable public transportation, as required by 49 U.S.C. 5326 and 49 CFR Part 625.

**Training** - the action of teaching a person a skill or type of behavior.

#### SAFETY PERFORMANCE TARGETS

	Safety Performance Targets (SPTs)						
Specify per	Specify performance targets based on the safety performance measures established under the						
		National 1	Public Trai	nsportation	Safety Pla	n.	
Mode of Transit service	Fatalities (Total)	Fatalities (Rate)	Injuries (Total)	Injuries (Rate) per 100,000 miles	Safety Events (Total)	Safety Events (per 100,000 miles)	System Reliability (VRM/ Failures)
Fixed Route (MB)	0	0	5	0.2	7	0.28	9,500
ADA/ Paratransit	0	0	2	0.1	1	0.1	68,456

## **Safety Performance Target Coordination**

StarMetro's Chief Transit Officer provides a copy of our ASP, including safety performance targets, to the Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency (CRTPA) and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) before August of each year, after its formal adoption by the City Manager.

StarMetro personnel are available to coordinate with FDOT and CRTPA in the selection of

safety performance targets upon request.

Targets	State Entity Name	Date Targets Transmitted
Transmitted To the State	Florida Department of Transportation	1/27/2021
Targets	Metropolitan Planning Organization	Date Targets Transmitted
Transmitted	Name	
To the	Capital Region Transportation Planning	1/27/2021
MPO	Agency	

#### 1. SAFETY MANAGEMENT POLICY

#### 1.1 Safety Management Policy Statement

The management of safety is one of our core business functions. StarMetro is committed to developing, implementing, maintaining, and improving processes to ensure that our transit service activities take place under a balanced allocation of organizational resources.

All levels of management and all employees are accountable for the delivery of the highest level of safety performance, starting with the Accountable Executive.

#### StarMetro commits to:

- Support the management of safety through the provision of appropriate resources. This will result in an organizational culture that fosters safety practices, encourages effective employee safety reporting and communication, and actively manages safety with the same attention to results as that of the other management systems of the organization.
- Integrate the management of safety into the primary responsibility of all managers and employees.
- Clearly define accountability and responsibility for all staff, managers, and employees, for delivery of the organization's safety performance and the performance of our Safety Management System.
- Establish and operate hazard and risk identification assessment activities, including an employee reporting program in order to mitigate or eliminate the safety risks resulting from our operations to an acceptable level within our SMS.
- Ensure that no action will be taken against any employee who discloses a safety concern through the employee safety reporting program, unless disclosure indicates, beyond any reasonable doubt, an illegal act, gross negligence, or a deliberate or willful disregard of regulation or procedures.
- Establish and measure our safety performance against realistic and data driven performance indicators and targets.
- Continually improve our safety performance through management processes that ensure appropriate safety management action is taken and is effective.
- Enhance the safety culture of the organization.

Angela Baldwin, Angela Baldwin	01/22/2021
Chief Transit Officer and Accountable Executive	Date

## 1.2 Safety Management Policy Communication

The SMS Manager and division team members introduced SMS principles to our management team at a Leadership Team Meeting on April 27, 2020. StarMetro has developed and implemented the Safety Management System as the method of managing safety risk. A copy of the Safety Management Policy (SMP) was approved by the Accountable Executive and distributed to all managers listed below. A copy of StarMetro's SMP will be distributed to contracted service providers during their annual review process. Additional copies will be placed on the operations and maintenance bulletin boards. The Management Team is committed to ensuring compliance with the above Safety Policy and have reviewed and endorsed StarMetro's PTASP.

Rosemary, Bosby	01/22/2021
Rosemary Bosby	Date
Operations & Compliance and SMS Manager	
Cassandra Carter Cassandra Carter (Jan 22, 2021 15:57 EST)	01/22/2021
Cassandra Carter	Date
Customer Operations Manager	
allison floming	01/22/2021
Allie Merzer Fleming	Date
Project Manager	
William Hearndon	01/22/2021
William Hearndon	Date
Special Transportation Manager	
Walter Kirkland Walter Kirkland (Jan 22, 2021 16:30 EST)	01/22/2021
Walter Kirkland	Date
Fleet Service Manager	
Jason Lawrence	01/22/2021
Jason Lawrence	Date
Transportation Finance Administrator	
Lawrence Ransom (Jan 22, 2021 16:39 EST)	01/22/2021
Lawrence Ransom	Date
Superintendent of Operations	
Andrea Rosser	01/22/2021
Andrea Rosser	Date
Transit Planning Manager	

#### 1.3 SMS Authorities, Accountabilities, and Responsibilities

StarMetro establishes the necessary authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities for the management of safety among the following individuals for both StarMetro and awarded Contracted Service Providers as they relate to the development and management of SMS. Each functional area provides distinct roles and carries out specific safety management responsibilities to ensure the protection of passengers, employees, the community served, and StarMetro's property.

#### 1.3.1 Accountable Executive

The Chief Transit Officer serves as Accountable Executive with the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities under this plan:

- Controls and directs human and capital resources needed to develop and maintain the ASP and SMS.
- Designates an adequately trained SMS Manager as a direct report,
- Ensures that StarMetro's SMS is effectively implemented,
- Ensures action is taken to address substandard performance in StarMetro's SMS,
- Assumes ultimate responsibility for carrying out StarMetro's PTASP and SMS,
- Maintains responsibility for carrying out the agency's TAM.

## 1.3.2 SMS Manager

The Accountable Executive designates the SMS Manager. The SMS Manager has the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities under this plan:

- Develops StarMetro's PTASP and SMS policies and procedures,
- Ensures and oversees day-to-day implementation and operation of StarMetro's SMS,
- Manages StarMetro's Employee Safety Reporting Program (ESRP),
- Chairs StarMetro's Safety Committee and:
  - o Coordinates activities of the committee,
  - o Reviews and maintains the Safety Risk Registry and Safety Event Log for trends, occurrences, incidents, and accidents, and
  - o Prepares agenda and minutes for meetings
- Reports to the Accountable Executive on SMS progress and status during Leadership Team Meetings,
- Identifies substandard performance in StarMetro's SMS and develops action plans for approval by the Accountable Executive,
- Ensures StarMetro's policies are consistent with StarMetro's safety objectives,
- Provides Safety Risk Management (SRM) expertise and support for other StarMetro personnel who conduct and oversee Safety Assurance activities

#### 1.3.3 Leadership Team

StarMetro Leadership Team also has authorities and responsibilities for day-to-day SMS implementation and operation of StarMetro's SMS. StarMetro Leadership Team include:

- Customer Service Manager
- Project Manager
- Special Transportation Manager
- Operations and Compliance Manager
- Superintendent of Operations
- Fleet Service Manager
- Planning Manager
- Transportation Finance Administrator
- Operations and Dispatch Supervisors

StarMetro's Leadership Team has the following authorities, accountabilities, and responsibilities:

- Participate as members of StarMetro's Safety Committee. A manager or designee from each division must be represented on the committee. Operations Superintendent and supervisors may alternate 1-year terms,
- Complete training on SMS Awareness and StarMetro's ASP elements,
- Oversee day-to-day operations of the SMS in their divisions,
- Modify policies in their divisions consistent with implementation of the SMS, as necessary,
- Provide subject matter expertise to support implementation of the SMS as requested by the Accountable Executive or the SMS manager, including SRM activities, investigation of safety events, development of safety risk mitigations, and monitoring of mitigation effectiveness.

## 1.3.4 Key Staff and Activities

Employees at all levels are expected to conduct work in the safest manner possible in accordance with approved site procedures and policies that enhances employee health and safety. Active employee engagement in the promotion and safe reporting of hazards is paramount to the SMS framework and the success of StarMetro's Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) at both StarMetro and contracted service provider levels.

## 1.3.5 Contractor SMS Key Staff Roles and Responsibilities

The General Managers of StarMetro's contracted providers have been designated as the Site SMS Executives and are responsible for providing strategic direction, leadership, and ensuring compliance of all contracted employees in accordance with all rules and regulations outlined in StarMetro's PTASP. The General Managers are responsible for ensuring accountability for SMS performance, and meeting safety performance objectives outlined in StarMetro's PTASP and ensuring that appropriate

allocation of resources are available (i.e. funding, staffing, training materials, etc.). The Site SMS Executive has key responsibility to include but not limited to the following:

- Implementation of the SMS within Operations and Maintenance at the site level,
- Ensure that all employees will be adequately trained in safety performance and awareness fostering a culture where Safety is priority,
- Monitoring the safety performance of the site and activities through data collection and analysis,
- Ensure that operational and maintenance changes are evaluated, coordinated, and documented for compliance with the risk management and safety assurance processes adopted within the PTASP,
- Ensure that the employee safety reporting program component is implemented without reprisal.

StarMetro utilizes the Safety Committee, Employee Solutions Committee (ESC), new hire training, weekly "At a Glance" newsletter, and quarterly All-Hands Staff Meetings, to support its SMS and safety programs.

The ESC provides employees the opportunity to discuss and present any safety concerns. Safety is a permanent agenda item. Safety issues are discussed and documented. The Leadership team reviews minutes of the ESC for resolutions.

Safety is a permanent agenda item at the quarterly StarMetro staff all hands meetings. Any hazard reports and mitigations will be shared for open discussion, feedback, and hazard self-reporting. Information discussed in these meetings will be documented in the meeting minutes.

Safety hazards reported will be jointly evaluated by the Safety Committee and the SMS Manager during bi-monthly meetings. The Safety Committee members include representatives from:

- o SMS manager
- Dispatch
- Fixed route operator and supervisor
- o Paratransit operator
- Risk Management
- Maintenance
- o Planning
- Communications
- City Safety office

These representatives meet bi-monthly to review issues and make recommendations to improve safety.

#### 2. EMPLOYEE SAFETY REPORTING PROGRAM

## 2.1 Non-Punitive Safety Hazard & Near Miss Reporting to Senior Management

StarMetro is committed to the safest operation possible. Therefore, we must have an uninhibited reporting program of all safety hazards, near misses, concerns, and/or suggestions that, in any way, affect the safety of our operation. We urge every employee to use this program to help provide the highest level of safety. We use this program as an opportunity for our employees and customers to achieve StarMetro's strategic plan objectives: "Enhancing Safe Experiences and Enhancing Transit Amenities", which support and align with the City of Tallahassee's Strategic Plan objective "Ensuring Public Transit is Accessible, Efficient and Equitable."

StarMetro's Employee Safety Reporting Program provides an opportunity for employees who identify safety concerns in their day-to-day duties to report them to senior management in good faith without fear of retribution. StarMetro provides several methods for employees to report any safety conditions:

- Operator Incident Report forms submitted to the Safety and Training Division, supervisor, or comment box
- All Hands Meetings
- Employee Solutions Committee meetings or members
- Comment box located in the driver's dayroom (can be anonymously submitted)
- Electronically via StarMetro's SharePoint website: https://citytlh.sharepoint.com/teams/StarMetro/sitepages/ouremployees.aspx
- Digitally The City of Tallahassee's mobile app (can be anonymously submitted)
- Via e-mail: rosemary.bosby@talgov.com and john.phillips@talgov.com
- Directly to dispatcher, supervisor, manager, or SMS Manager

## 2.2 Examples of information typically reported includes:

- Insufficient route timing
- Bus stop and bus stop sign placement
- Safety concerns in the operating environment (e.g. for example road conditions or the condition of facilities or vehicles)
- Policies and procedures not working as intended (e.g. operator break times not conducive for the route)
- Information that management should consider (e.g. near miss events)

Daily, at the beginning of their shift and at the end of their shift, the SMS Manager or Transit Trainer checks the comment box and dedicated email address, and documents identified safety conditions in the Safety Risk Register.

Reports received will be viewed by the SMS Manager utilizing the following process:

- Ensure the hazard and the consequences are appropriately identified and recorded on the Safety Risk Register or Safety Event Log,
- Hazard is resolved with the support of the Safety Committee, utilizing StarMetro's SRM process,
- Any deficiencies and non-compliance with rules or procedures are managed through StarMetro's Safety Assurance process,
- Actions taken should be updated on the Safety Risk Register or Safety Event Log to be discussed at the next All Hands Staff Meeting, Safety Committee Meeting, "At a Glance" newsletter, or displayed on StarMetro's safety messaging monitors.

If the employee's name was provided, a follow-up response shall be completed directly with the employee if actions were taken or after mitigations are implemented.

StarMetro will not initiate disciplinary proceedings against an employee who discloses a safety hazard or perceived hazard, safety concern, and/or suggestion involving safety. However, the non-reprisal policy does not apply to:

- Defined accidents/incidents,
- Willful violations of law, StarMetro policy, or any event where there was anintentional disregard for safety,
- Any event or condition that involves criminal activity, substance abuse, acontrolled substance, alcohol, or intentional falsification.

In such cases, StarMetro reserves the right to take disciplinary action, as appropriate.

# 3. SAFETY RISK MANAGEMENT

The Safety Risk Management (SRM) process is a formalized, proactive approach of hazard identification and safety risk analysis and assessment. StarMetro's SRM process applies to all elements of StarMetro's system and contracted service providers for operations and maintenance; facilities and vehicles; and personnel recruitment, training, and supervision.

It is a process whereby hazards and their consequences are identified, assessed for potential safety risk, and mitigated to an acceptable level to StarMetro's leadership. The implementation of safety risk mitigation does not necessarily mean the safety concern will be eliminated. StarMetro's SRM process allows us to carefully examine what could cause harm and determine whether effective precautions to minimize the harm, have been taken or if further mitigation is necessary. The SRM process and results are documented in our Safety Risk Register and referenced materials. Annually or upon request, StarMetro requires contracted service providers to establish policies and procedures in accordance with StarMetro's or their ASP for safety hazard identification.

# 3.1 Safety Hazard Identification

The safety identification process allows StarMetro and contracted service providers to identify hazards and potential consequences in operation and maintenance services by utilizing tracking logs and other internal and external sources. StarMetro collects this information through proactive activities, such as using an employee safety reporting program or monitoring service operations, and reactive measures, such as investigating past events that may or may not have resulted in injuries or damage. By analyzing this collected safety data, StarMetro can determine its highest safety concerns and how it will prioritize the investment of resources to address them. Major sources of information about hazards include:

- ESRP
- Review of vehicle/security camera footage
- Review of monthly performance data and safety performance targets
- Observations from supervisors
- DigiTally Reports
- Operator Inspection Cards
- Training Sessions
- Safety Committee, Drivers, and All-Hands meetings
- Review of audits and inspections of vehicles and facilities
- Review of training assessments
- Investigation into safety events, incidents, and occurrences

- Verbal or written reports to dispatch, supervisor, or safety officer
- Random compliance checks
- Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)
- Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency (CRTPA)
- Federal Transit Administration (FTA)
- Other oversight authorities

# 3.1.1 Reporting and Observed Safety Hazard Process

The SMS Manager may receive reports of identified safety hazards or concerns from employees through the ESRP and from StarMetro's management, supervisory personnel, and customers. Procedures for reporting hazards are reviewed during all new hire/refresher training sessions and in Safety Committee meetings.

These reports will be reviewed by the SMS Manager and documented in the Safety Risk Registry for further analyses of hazards, consequences, to collect additional information, and identify which hazards should be prioritized for safety risk assessments. In following up on identified hazards, StarMetro's SMS Manager or division manager assigned to investigate the hazard may:

- Reach out to the reporting party to gather all known information about the reported hazard
- Conduct a walkthrough of the affected area, assessing the possible hazardous condition, generating visual documentation (e.g., photographs and/or video), and taking any necessary measurements
- Conduct interviews with employees in the area to gather potentially relevant information
- Review any documentation associated with the hazard (e.g., records, reports, procedures, inspections, technical documents, etc.)
- Contact other departments that may have association with, or technical knowledge relevant to, the reported hazard
- Review any past reported hazards of a similar nature
- Evaluate tasks and/or processes associated with the reported hazard

StarMetro's SMS Manager will then prepare an agenda to discuss identified hazards and consequences with the Safety Committee during bi-monthly meetings. This agenda may include additional background on the hazards and consequences, such as the results of trend analyses, vehicle camera footage, vendor documentation, reports and observations, or information supplied by FTA, FDOT, or other oversight authorities. Any identified hazard that poses a real and

immediate threat to life, property, or the environment must immediately be brought to the attention of the Accountable Executive and addressed through the SRM process (with or without the full Safety Committee) for safety risk assessment and mitigation. This means that the SMS Manager believes immediate intervention is necessary to preserve life, prevent property destruction, or avoid harm to the environment that would constitute a violation of Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) standards. Otherwise, the Safety Committee will prioritize the hazard for further SRM activity.

# 3.2 Safety Risk Assessment

StarMetro assesses safety risks associated with identified safety hazards using its safety risk assessment process. This includes an assessment of the likelihood and severity of the consequences of hazards, including existing mitigations, and prioritizing hazards based on safety risk. The SMS Manager and Safety Committee assess prioritized hazards using StarMetro's Safety Risk Matrix below.

		Risk Severity						
Risk Probability		Catastrophic A	Critical B	Marginal C	Negligible D			
Frequent	1	1A	1B	1C	1D			
Probable	2	2A	2B	2C	2D			
Occasional	3	3A	3B	3C	3D			
Remote	4	4A	4B	4C	4D			
Improbable	5	5A	5B	5C	5D			

Probability of Occurrence of the Consequence									
Qualitative Definition	Meaning								
Frequent	Likely to occur frequently (> 10-1)	1							
Probable	Likely to occur several times (< $10^{-1}$ but > $10^{-3}$ )	2							
Occasional	Likely to occur sometime (< $10^{-3}$ but > $10^{-6}$ )	3							
Remote	Very unlikely to occur (< $10^{-6}$ but > $10^{-8}$ )	4							
Improbable	Almost inconceivable that the event will occur (< $10^{-8}$ )	5							

This matrix expresses assessed risk as a combination of one severity category and one probability level referred to as a hazard rating. For example, a risk may be assessed as "1A" or the combination of a Catastrophic (1) severity category and a frequent (A) probability level.

# Safety Risk Evaluation



**High Risk** - Hazards ratings will be considered unacceptable and require action from StarMetro to mitigate the safety risk.

Serious/Medium Risk - hazard ratings will be considered undesirable and require StarMetro's Safety Committee to make a decision regarding their acceptability

Low Risk - hazard ratings may be accepted by the Chief Safety Officer without additional

The Safety and Risk matrix is combined with the Safety Risk Evaluation above and categorizes the risks into levels of High, Medium, or Low, based on their hazard rating and allows for hazards to be prioritized for resolution, mitigation, or elimination by the SMS Manager and the Safety Committee to the lowest acceptable level possible.

The Safety and Risk assessment package is distributed at least one week in advance of the Safety Committee meeting. During the meeting, the SMS Manager reviews the hazard and its consequence(s) and reviews available information distributed in the Safety Risk Assessment Package. Support from members of the Safety Committee may be requested to obtain additional information to support the safety risk assessment. Once sufficient information has been obtained, the SMS Manager will facilitate completion of relevant sections of the Safety Risk Register using the ratings obtained from the matrix with the Safety Committee. The process and results will be documented and maintained on file with the Safety Committee agendas, Safety Risk Packages, additional information collected, and the completed Safety Risk Register for a period of three years from the date of generation.

# 3.3 Safety Risk Mitigation

Implementation of safety risk mitigations does not necessarily mean the safety concern will be solved. StarMetro's Accountable Executive and SMS Manager review current methods of safety risk mitigation and establish methods and procedures to mitigate or eliminate safety risks associated with others based on recommendations from the Safety Committee. StarMetro can reduce safety risks by reducing the likelihood and/or severity of potential consequences of hazards.

Prioritization of safety risk mitigation is based on the results of safety risk assessments. StarMetro's SMS Manager tracks and updates safety risk mitigation information in the Safety Risk Register and makes the Register available to the Leadership Team at bi-weekly meetings, to the Safety Committee during bi-monthly meetings and to StarMetro staff upon request. In the Safety Risk Register, StarMetro's SMS Manager will also document any specific measures or activities, such as reviews, observations, or audits, that will be conducted to monitor the effectiveness of the mitigation once implemented.

### 3.4 Contracted Service Providers

Safety Risk Management, hazard identification, monitoring, and mitigation or elimination is vital to an effective system safety program. StarMetro conducts annual contract and safety inspections of all contracted service providers to ensure compliance with StarMetro's SRM and Chapter 14-90, F.A.C., regarding safety hazard identification activities. Documentation of the inspections are recorded and maintained for a period of no less than 5 years after the end of the contract. Any contractor that does not maintain an ASP, is subject to the guidelines established in StarMetro's PTASP. Any corrective actions needed will be documented and reviewed with the contractors within 14 business days of their inspection.

# 4. SAFETY ASSURANCE

# 4.1 Safety Assurance Process

StarMetro uses this process to evaluate and ensure the safety of transit operations, including evaluations, audits, inspections, and data tracking and analysis. The process identifies new hazards or changes to the risk environment of the transit operations and maintenance divisions which must be analyzed for safety risk using the SRM processes. It also identifies the difference between "where we are" and "where we thought we were."

StarMetro uses safety data tracking and analysis to proactively look for negative trends or safety issues and hazards that could eventually lead to incidents and accidents. If issues or hazards are identified, StarMetro will implement a response to resolve the issue or hazard. In most cases, the resolution or corrective action constitutes a change to a process or equipment, which requires the use of the SRM process to meet an acceptable level of risk (ALARP). This is an example of creating a closed-loop process for managing safety.

Through our Safety Assurance process, StarMetro:

- Evaluates our compliance with operations and maintenance procedures to determine whether existing policies and procedures are effective to control our safety risk,
- Assesses the effectiveness of safety risk mitigations to ensure they are appropriate and implemented as intended,
- Investigates safety events to identify causal factors,
- Analyzes information for the safety reporting program,
- Assesses the effectiveness of training curriculum

# 4.2 Safety Performance Monitoring and Measurements

StarMetro actively monitors the entire transit system for compliance with operations and maintenance procedures through activities including:

- Internal/external safety audits
- Random inspections
- Random review of camera footage of driver, passenger, and security incidents
- Safety surveys
- ESRP
- Accident/incident investigations
- Investigation of safety occurrences
- Near miss reporting

- Internal/external audits
- Vehicle inspections and regular preventative maintenance

Results and mitigations will be monitored by the SMS Manager to:

- Compare against recent performance trends quarterly and annually,
- Determine if corrective action plans are needed,
- Enter any identified non-compliant or ineffective activities, including mitigations, into the SRM process for reevaluation by the Safety Committee,
- Determine proper implementation, effectiveness, appropriateness, and if the risk mitigation is working as anticipated,
- Maintain the list of safety risk mitigation in the Safety Risk Register.

The SMS Manager establishes mechanisms for monitoring safety risk mitigations as part of the mitigation implementation process and assigns monitoring activities to the appropriate personnel based on their respective divisions. These monitoring mechanisms may include tracking a specific metric on daily, weekly, or monthly logs or reports; conducting job performance observations such as ride along; or other activities. The SMS Manager will endeavor to make use of existing StarMetro processes and activities before assigning new information collection activities.

StarMetro's SMS Manager and Safety Committee will review the performance of individual safety risk mitigations during bi-monthly Safety Committee meetings, based on the reporting schedule determined for each mitigation, and determine if a specific safety risk mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended. If the mitigation is not implemented or performing as intended, the Safety Committee will propose a corrective action plan to modify the mitigation or take other action to manage the safety risk. The SMS Manager will approve or modify this proposed corrective action plan and oversee its execution.

The mechanism for monitoring safety risk mitigations varies depending on the mitigation.

StarMetro's SMS Manager and the Safety Committee also monitor StarMetro's operations on a large scale to identify mitigations that may be ineffective, inappropriate, or not implemented as intended by:

- Reviewing results from accident, incident, and occurrence investigations,
- Monitoring employee safety reporting,
- Reviewing results of internal safety audits and inspections,
- Analyzing operational and safety data to identify emerging safety concerns.

The SMS Manager works with the Safety Committee and Accountable Executive to carry out and document all monitoring activities.

# 4.3 Investigations of Safety Events to Identify Causal Factors

StarMetro maintains documented procedures for conducting safety investigations of events (accidents, incident, and occurrences, as defined by FTA) to find causal and contributing factors and review the existing mitigations in place at the time of the event (see City of Tallahassee Accident/Incident Report Form). These procedures also reflect all traffic safety reporting and investigation requirements in accordance with Florida Department of Motor Vehicles and Rule Chapter 14-90, F.A.C.

The City's Risk Management Office and StarMetro's Safety and Training Division maintains all documentation of StarMetro's investigation policies, processes, forms, supervisor checklists, activities, and results.

Each investigation requiring a final report must include:

- The description of the event and investigation activities,
- Any identified factors causing the event,
- Any identified corrective actions required,
- Was the Accident preventable or non-preventable.

The SMS Manager or Superintendent of Transit Operations will monitor incident/accident or safety event reporting methods and track the implementation of each corrective action in accordance with Rule Chapter 14-90, F.A.C.

The SMS Manager and Safety Committee review safety data captured in employee safety reports, safety meeting minutes, customer concerns, and other safety communication channels during bi-monthly meetings. When necessary, the SMS Manager and Safety Committee ensure that the concerns are investigated or analyzed through StarMetro's SRM process.

# 5. SAFETY PROMOTION

StarMetro's safety promotion involves effectively communicating and disseminating safety information to enhance the safety culture and strengthen integrating SMS into all operations and maintenance activities within the organization. Safety promotion includes safety culture, reporting systems, recommendations based on safety metrics, and safety training.

# 5.1 Training and Competencies

StarMetro's comprehensive safety training program applies to all StarMetro and contracted service provider employees directly responsible for safety, including:

- Coach Operators
- Paratransit Operators
- Dispatchers
- Maintenance Technicians
- Maintenance team
- Administrative staff
- Planning staff
- Call Center staff
- Communications/Special Projects staff
- Transit Trainers
- Supervisors
- Leadership Team
- SMS Manager
- Accountable Executive

# 5.1.1 Training

StarMetro dedicates resources for a comprehensive safety training program, as well as training on SMS roles and responsibilities. Appropriate safety training is provided for each employee commensurate with their position.

Initial safety training includes:

- StarMetro's Safety Policy
- The SMS Safety Culture Policy
- The SMS manual
- The importance of conformance with SMS
- Individual roles and responsibilities specific to the SMS (safety accountabilities)

- General hazard reporting requirements of the SMS
- General risk assessment procedure of the SMS
- General accident/incident or near-miss reporting and investigation requirements
- General responsibilities with respect to the SMS emergency preparedness and response plan

StarMetro's SMS training acknowledges different levels of responsibility and risk to ensure there is an appropriate awareness among employees and managers as to the responsibilities of their role. Manager and supervisors arrange appropriate safety training for each position including both technical and non-technical training, on-the-job training, and refresher training. In accordance with the above policy objectives, StarMetro will provide SMS training as follows:

- Senior Leaders/Accountable Managers/Senior Managers: Awareness of SMS roles and responsibilities, Safety Policy, Safety Culture Policy, SMS requirements, related DOT/FTA regulations, management commitment and responsibilities, and safety performance monitoring responsibilities,
- **Managers and Supervisors:** SMS policy, SMS processes management, management commitment and responsibilities, hazard identification and risk management, and safety performance monitoring responsibilities,
- Frontline personnel: SMS overview, Safety Policy, Safety Culture Policy, safety reporting, hazard identification and risk assessment procedure, and accident/incident investigation processes,
- Contracted Service Provider personnel: SMS overview provided during new hire training and safety meetings.

SMS Function/Role	Required Training
StarMetro Leadership Team	SMS Orientation
	SMS Awareness (online course)
	Safety Assurance (E-learning)
Chief Transit Officer & Key	SMS Orientation
Personnel	SMS Awareness (online course)
	Safety Assurance (E-learning)
	SMS principles for transit (recommended)
SMS Manager	SMS Orientation
	Effective Event Investigation
	SMS Awareness (online course)
	Safety Assurance (E-learning)
	SMS principles for transit
Safety Committee Member	SMS Orientation
	Introduction to StarMetro's ESRP
411 D	
All Personnel	SMS Orientation
	Introduction to StarMetro's ESRP

StarMetro employee training is documented and maintained by the Safety and Training Division and stored electronically on the City of Tallahassee's OnBase server for a period up to four years after employment separation in accordance with Chapter 14-90 F.A.C. StarMetro also requires contracted service providers to document and maintain employee training files which are reviewed during the annual audit for compliance. The files shall consist of all complete and comprehensive training, and employee qualification records.

# 5.1.2 Competencies

Frontline employees and management competence within the SMS operations will be assured through continuous communication and involvement in the SMS as follows:

### Employees shall be:

- Involved in the review of hazard and risk assessments, accident/incident investigation findings and department or process-specific SMS standard operating process development where appropriate,
- Consulted where there are workplace changes that occur as a result of SMS-related activities,
- Represented in SMS matters at their location,
- Informed who their site Safety Committee representatives are,
- Informed of significant issues arising from the operation of the SMS at their site; including lessons learned from hazards, near-miss reports and accident/incident investigation findings.

# Employee involvement shall be accomplished by:

- Submission of hazard reports
- Involvement in risk assessment results and post-event investigation findings implementation
- Participation in site safety performance monitoring
- Participation in SMS assessments
- Involvement in site Safety Committee

# Managers shall:

- Be involved in the review of hazard and risk assessments, accident/incident investigation findings and department or process-specific SMS standard operating process development where appropriate,
- Coordinate workplace changes that need to occur as a result of SMS-related activities,
- Lead resolution of SMS matters at their site/division.
- Direct their site Safety Committee representatives in ad hoc and regular safety performance reviews,
- Coordinate resolution of significant issues arising from the operation of the SMS at their location, including lessons learned from hazards, near-miss reports, and implementation of accident/incident investigation findings,
- Lead monthly site/division safety performance monitoring activities.

# 6. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

# **6.1** Supporting Documentation

Includes documentation under Chapter 14-90, F.A.C., requirements used to implement and carry out the Agency Safety Plan that are not included elsewhere in this plan.

# 7. SECURITY PROGRAM PLAN (SPP)

In accordance with Rule 14-90, F.A.C., StarMetro has adopted, and implemented a Security Program Plan (SPP), which covers the security portion of the system safety program. The SPP contains information about prevention, mitigation, preparedness, response, recovery, and associated organizational responsibilities.

# 7.1 The SPP addresses the following hazard and security elements and requirements:

- Security policies, goals, and objectives
- Organization, roles, and responsibilities
- Emergency management processes and procedures for mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery
- Procedures for investigation of events described under subsection 14-90.004(5), F.A.C.
- Procedures for the establishment of interfaces with emergency response organizations
- Procedures for interagency coordination with local law enforcement jurisdictions
- Requirements for contracted service providers
- Procedures for SPP maintenance and distribution

The SPP has been adopted separately from the SMS. Bus transit systems are prohibited by Section 119.071(3) (2), Florida Statutes, from publicly disclosing the SPP under any circumstance. The document is maintained in a secure location by the management and access to the document is restricted to select agency personnel and appropriate FDOT personnel exercising oversight in this area. On-site access to the SPP is granted to regulatory authorities (FDOT, FTA, etc.) on as-needed basis.

Select portions of the SPP may be shared with employees depending on their job responsibilities.

# 8. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

StarMetro works with Leon County Department of Emergency Management, the City of Tallahassee Division of Emergency Management, first responders, and other agencies and organizations that play a key role in an emergency.

The Chief Transit Officer, Superintendent of Operations, Planning Manager, Operations and Compliance Manager, Maintenance Manager, Project Manager, Transportation Finance Administrator and Special Transportation Manager serve as points of contact for StarMetro Operations emergency response and regulatory agencies.

# 8.1 Emergency Planning

To support improved emergency and incident preparedness and response, StarMetro will participate in a minimum of one exercise or drill each year with local public safety organizations. Interagency training stresses collaborative activities performed by transportation employees in concert with local law enforcement, fire, emergency medical services, and other local agencies to support capabilities to accomplish group tasks.

Emergency Response Plans will be reviewed annually and after the occurrence of significant events. Certain practice drills are to be carried out every two years for emergency scenarios.

Emergency responses or drills are coordinated by the SMS Manager and the results are recorded and shared in the interest of continuous improvement.

Potential changes to the response plan may be identified by the following methods:

- Review of accidents, incidents, and near-misses
- Risk assessment processes
- Appropriation request sign off process
- Internal evaluation
- External evaluation
- Assessments or audits

# 8.1.1 Emergency Objectives

The combined efforts of City, County, and other agencies work to ensure that as a community, these objectives are satisfied in a hazardous environment:

1. Ensure continuity of governance: Demonstrate to the public the continued

- functioning of critical government leadership elements, including succession to key offices; organizational communications; leadership and management opportunities
- 2. Coordinate with critical partners: Maintain communications and interactions with critical partners and organizations, including the Federal government, State government, other local governments, private sector and non-profit organizations
- 3. Maintain civil order and public safety
  - a. Protect people and property and the rule of law
  - b. Ensure basic civil rights, prevent crime and protect critical infrastructure
- 4. Provide emergency services Provide critical emergency services including: Emergency Management, Sheriff, Police, Fire, EMS, and public safety communication services
- 5. Maintain critical public infrastructure Maintain critical public infrastructure, including but not limited to water plants and lines; sanitary sewer systems; flood/storm water management; roads, transit and airport; emergency transportation; public safety buildings; and data centers
- 6. Provide basic essential services Ensure provision of basic essential services, including but not limited to: healthcare, water and sewer service, voice and data communications, IT services, transportation services, sanitation services, environmental protection, code enforcement/inspections, emergency housing, human services, and critical internal support functions

# 8.2 Concept of Operation

Refer to StarMetro's Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP)

# 9. OPERATOR SELECTION, QUALIFICATION, TRAINING

# 9.1 Operator Screening

StarMetro's management is responsible for ensuring all new drivers successfully complete an employment screening process that includes:

- Completing an employment application
- Criminal background check and driving record check (local law enforcement and FDLE) including but not limited to:
  - History of driving records
  - Social security number validations
  - o Criminal history check
  - o Employment reference checks
- Verification and documentation of a valid driver's license
- Valid Commercial Driver's License (CDL), Class "B" or higher, with Air Brakes and a
  "P" Endorsement or a valid Commercial Learners Permit (CLP) Permit. The applicant is
  required to obtain a valid Commercial Learners Permit (CLP) permit within 14 business
  days of employment with the City of Tallahassee and obtain the required Commercial
  driver license prior to the independent operation of a commercial vehicle in revenue
  service.
- Successful completion of required orientation/training and demonstration of adequate skills and capabilities to safely operate each type of bus or vehicle operated by the transit system. The Operator's Training Record is filled out and signed by the operator and certified Transit Trainer.
- Signed acknowledgment of receipt and compliance with the following written operational and safety procedures *before* driving on a street or highway unsupervised:
  - Communication and handling of unsafe conditions, security threats, and emergencies
  - o Familiarization in operation of safety and emergency equipment, wheelchair lift/ramp equipment, and restraining devices
  - o Application in compliance with all federal and state laws, rules and regulations
  - o Wireless Communication Policy- cellular and electronic device
  - o Drug Free Workplace Policy
- Successful completion of pre-employment physical including an eye examination and drug screening test, utilizing required FDOT medical examination form (FDOT Form 725-030-11, Revised 07/2005) to be provided by StarMetro personnel. The medical and eye examination must indicate that the driver is in such physical condition that compliance with all laws governing standards for driver physical capabilities have been met.
- Signed acknowledgment of receipt and agreement to comply with SMS.
- Drivers are required to write and submit a daily bus inspection report pursuant to Rule

- 14-90.006, F.A.C.
- Personnel licensed and authorized by StarMetro to drive, move, or road test a bus in order to perform repairs or maintenance services when it has been determined that such temporary operation does not create unsafe operating conditions or create a hazard to public safety are not bound to the following two provisions:
  - Training and testing to demonstrate and ensure adequate skills and capabilities to safely operate each type of bus or bus combination before driving on a street or highway unsupervised.
  - o Bus transit systems shall provide written operational and safety procedures to all bus drivers before driving on streets or highways unsupervised.
- Signed acknowledgement of receipt and compliance with written operational and safety procedures prior to drivers operating and vehicle without supervision.

# 9.1.1 Medical Exams for Bus Transit System Drivers

StarMetro drivers are required to comply with the medical examination requirement detailed in accordance with Rule Chapter 14-90.0041, F.A.C.

- After selection and completion of the pre-employment physical, each driver shall be required to have a physical examination every two years, **within** a 24-month period. Operators will not be allowed to operate a bus without having this examination on file.
- All medical physicals must be documented utilizing the correct FDOT medical form #725-030-11, Revised 07/2005 or equivalent
- A return to duty examination is required for any driver prior to returning to duty after having been off duty for 30 days or more due to an illness, medical condition, or injury
- Medical examinations must be performed by a Doctor of Medicine or Osteopathy, Physician Assistant, or Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioner licensed or certified by the State of Florida. If medical examinations are performed by a Physician Assistant or Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioner, they must be performed under the supervision of review of a Doctor of Medicine or Osteopathy
- An ophthalmologist or optometrist licensed by the State of Florida may perform as much of the examination as pertains to visual acuity
- Completed and signed medical examination certificate for each bus driver, dated within the past 24 months, will be maintained on file for a minimum of four years from the date of the examination

Noncompliance with any regulatory or agency specific requirement may result in an employee administrative action up to and including termination of employment. It is the policy of StarMetro to screen applicants to eliminate those that pose a safety or security threat to the agency or who would not be capable of carrying out agency safety and security policies.

All employees and drivers of StarMetro are required to complete all training and testing

requirements to demonstrate and ensure adequate skills and capabilities to safely operate each type of bus or bus combination before driving on a street or highway unsupervised. A Certified Trainer is responsible for conducting and documenting all training and testing activities utilizing a certification process. Noncompliance with any regulatory or agency specific guideline or requirement may result in suspension or termination of employment. This section of the SMS discusses the training and testing programs to be administered by Human Resources or the Training Department.

# 9.2 Initial Driver Training and Testing

StarMetro has developed and maintains an Operator Training Program that may be used for new hire training/testing of employees. The Operator Training manual provides extensive coverage of all training areas noted in this section.

Upon hire and prior to being placed into road service, all drivers are required to complete training and testing in the following areas:

- 1. Bus transit system safety and operational policies and procedures
- 2. Operational bus and equipment inspections
- 3. Bus equipment familiarization
- 4. Radio procedures
- 5. Basic operations and maneuvering
- 6. Boarding and alighting passengers
- 7. Operation of wheelchair lift and other special equipment
- 8. Defensive driving
- 9. Passenger assistance and securement
- 10. Handling of emergencies and security threats
- 11. Security and threat awareness
- 12. Driving conditions
- 13. Wireless Communication Policy- cellular and electronic device
- 14. Drug Free Workplace Policy
- 15. Blood borne pathogens and other occupational exposure to health hazards

In addition, new drivers are required to successfully undergo a road test with an experienced driver. A new-hire check-off list must be completed to ensure the employee has received all required Rule 14-90, F.A.C. (and those identified in this SMS) training and information before unsupervised driving.

After successful completion of each training and testing module, StarMetro is required to document the satisfactory completion of each employee's training and maintain the training records. Certificates of completion issued are to be maintained in the driver files for a minimum of four years.

All newly hired employees are also provided instructional training in the following areas:

- General rules: General rules of the agency including employee code of conduct
- Customer service: Covers expectations of employees when working with the public

- including instruction on how and to whom to report security incidents, and types of individuals or situations to be aware of and report
- Fare handling: Covers fare collection procedures and provides instruction in dealing with fare disputes, conflict resolution, and notification of security personnel
- Radio procedures: Provides instruction on radio procedure for both routine and emergency radio traffic. Includes instruction on reporting crimes, suspicious acts, and potentially hazardous situations.
- City of Tallahassee mandatory courses

# 9.2.1 On-Going and Refresher Training and Testing

On-going/refresher training and testing sessions will be conducted a minimum of every year. The drivers are required to attend training and testing in all areas specified by Rule 14-90, F.A.C., at least once every two years. All training and testing activities are to be recorded and retained for a minimum of 4 years.

# 9.2.2 Remedial Training and Testing

StarMetro requires remedial training for drivers who have been involved in a preventable accident or incident or have developed unsafe driving behavior or other driving problems. Other causes for remedial training may include persistent customer complaints, supervisor recommendations, or a result of ongoing evaluations. Depending on the circumstances, trained personnel will determine the appropriate remedial training and testing, the results of which will also be documented and retained in files.

# 9.2.3 NIMS Training

The National Incident Management System (NIMS) provides a consistent nationwide template to allow all government, private-sector, and nongovernmental organizations to work together during domestic incidents (<a href="http://www.fema.gov/emergency/nims/">http://www.fema.gov/emergency/nims/</a>). The NIMS system requires that transit agencies comply with several specific activities to ensure personnel who will be conducting activities in response to emergencies use the standard Incident Command System (ICS).

StarMetro's SPP requires that some management staff take available NIMS training to understand this requirement and to coordinate regularly with outside organizations to prepare for coordinated responses to incidents.

# 9.3 Operating and Driving Requirements

StarMetro is responsible for overall compliance with all operating and driving requirements.

It is the responsibility of StarMetro and employees who perform driving and/or operational duties to ensure strict adherence to the following requirements:

Under no circumstances is an employee allowed to operate a vehicle without having the

appropriate and valid driver's license in his or her possession.

- Employees are not permitted to drive a bus when his or her driver license has been suspended, cancelled, or revoked. An employee who receives a notice that his or her license to operate a motor vehicle has been suspended, cancelled, or revoked is required to notify his or her supervisor of the contents of the notice immediately, if possible. If not possible, they are to contact their supervisor no later than the end of the business day following the day he or she received the notice. Violation of this policy may result in disciplinary actions including termination of employment.
- The City's Safety Department will annually check Motor Vehicle Records (MVR) of drivers for the purpose of investigating information on license suspensions, revocations, accidents, traffic violations, unpaid summons, etc. StarMetro may also check driver license status of each driver utilizing the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles website https://www6.hsmv.state.fl.us/DLCheck/main.jsp.
- Buses must always be operated in compliance with applicable traffic regulations, ordinances, and laws of the jurisdiction in which they are being operated.
- A bus with any passenger doors in the open position will not be operated withpassengers aboard. The doors will not be opened until the bus is stopped. A bus with any inoperable passenger door will not be operated with passengers aboard, except to move a bus to a safe location.
- Drivers will ensure that during darkness, interior lighting and lighting in stepwells on buses shall be sufficient for passengers to enter and exit safely. Adherence to pre-trip inspection requirements help ensure the ability of this requirement to be met.
- Passengers will not be permitted in the stepwells of any bus while the bus is in motion, or to occupy an area forward of the standee line.
- Passengers will not be permitted to stand in buses not designed and constructed for the purpose of accommodating standees.
- Buses will not be fueled in a closed building. No bus shall be fueled when passengers are on board.
- Drivers are required to be properly secured with a restraining belt while the bus is in motion.
- Buses will not be left unattended with passengers aboard for longer than 15 minutes. The parking or holding brake device will be properly set at any time the bus is left unattended.
- Buses will not be left unattended in an unsafe condition with passengers aboard at any time.

- Drivers are prohibited from leaving keys in the vehicle for any reason at any time the bus is left unattended, except during summer weather when customers are left on board while the driver exits to locate or deliver another customer.
- Transit vehicles will not be used at any time for uses other than those that are authorized and permitted according to state and federal program requirements.

# 9.3.1 Operator Drive and Work Hours

To ensure compliance with Rule Chapter 14-90, F.A.C., all operator's drive and work hours are monitored by the scheduling supervisor and operations supervisor as they approve their direct reports time utilizing the Kronos time keeping system. A daily operations log is kept, recording all schedule changes which includes any extra assigned work. Noncompliance with these requirements may result in disciplinary actions, including termination of employment.

- Rule 14-90, F.A.C. defines "On Duty" and "Off Duty" status of drivers as follows:
  - O "On Duty" means the status of the driver from the time he or she begins work, or is required to be in readiness to work, until the time the driver is relieved from work and all responsibility for performing work. "On Duty" includes all time spent by the driver as follows:
    - a) Waiting to be dispatched at bus transit system terminals, facilities, or other private or public property, unless the driver has been completely relieved from duty by the bus transit system.
    - b) Inspecting, servicing, or conditioning any vehicle.
    - c) Driving.
    - d) Remaining in readiness to operate a vehicle (stand-by).
    - e) Repairing, obtaining assistance, or remaining in attendance in or about a disabled vehicle.
  - o "Off-Duty" means any time the driver is not on duty, required to be in readiness to work, or under any responsibility to perform work. Such time shall not be counted towards the maximum allowed on-duty hours within a 24-hour period.
- Drivers are not permitted to drive more than 12 hours in a 24-hour period or drive after having been on duty for 16 hours in a 24-hour period. A driver is not permitted to drive until the requirement of a minimum eight consecutive hours of off-duty time has been fulfilled. A driver's work period begins from the time he or she first reports for duty to his or her employer. A driver is permitted to exceed his or her regulated hours in order to reach a regularly established relief or dispatch point, provided the additional drivingtime does not exceed one hour.
- Drivers are not permitted to be on duty more than 72 hours in any period of seven consecutive days; however, any 24 consecutive hours of off duty time shall constitute the end of any such period of seven consecutive days. A driver who has reached the maximum 72 hours of on duty time during the seven consecutive days is required to have

a minimum of 24 consecutive hours of off duty time prior to returning to on duty status.

- A driver is permitted to drive for more than the regulated hours for the safety and protection of the public when conditions such as adverse weather, disaster, security threat, a road or traffic condition, medical emergency, or an accident occur.
- Drivers are not permitted to drive a bus when his or her ability is impaired, or likely tobe impaired, by fatigue, illness, or other causes, likely to create an unsafe condition.
- Drivers will not report for duty or operate any vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or any other substance, legal or illegal, that may impair driving ability. All employees are required to comply with agency's Substance Abuse Policy.
- Drivers are required to conduct daily vehicle inspections and reporting of all defects and deficiencies likely to affect safe operation or cause mechanical malfunctions.
- Drivers are required to immediately report any defect or deficiency that may affect safe operations or cause mechanical malfunctions. Any defect or deficiency found shall be properly documented on a Daily Vehicle Inspection (DVI) form and should be submitted to the Maintenance Department.
- The maintenance department will review daily inspection reports and document corrective actions taken as a result of any deficiencies identified by dailyinspections.

# 10. PRE-TRIP / POST TRIP

StarMetro operators are required to perform daily vehicle inspections prior to operating the assigned vehicle, during routes, and after all route schedules are completed. The pre-trip inspection includes an inspection of the following parts and devices to ascertain that they are in safe condition and in good working order:

- Service brakes
- Parking brakes
- Tires and wheels
- Steering
- Horn
- Lighting devices
- Windshield wipers
- Rear vision mirrors
- Passenger doors
- Exhaust system
- Equipment for transporting wheelchairs
- Safety, security, and emergency equipment
- Working speedometer

Any defect or deficiency found shall be properly documented on a Daily Vehicle Inspection (DVI) form and should be submitted to the Maintenance Department.

The process and forms to be utilized for daily vehicle inspections is included in StarMetro's preventative maintenance guidelines. The daily vehicle inspection forms must be complete with the operator's signature and a check in each box to document that the items are "OK" or a defect is noted in the comments section. If the operator finds any mechanical or other problems that could compromise the safety of the vehicle at any point, the operator will immediately inform the Maintenance Department and the vehicle will not be scheduled for service until repaired. Failure to report deficiencies by operators may result in an administrative action taken against the employee.

The Maintenance Department will review the daily inspections and document the corrective actions taken as a result of any deficiencies identified by the operator. Daily inspection records will be retained for a minimum of two weeks at the facility. Once defects are noted they will be prioritized and sorted into categories for repairs. Once a defect is noted on the inspection form and repaired, the documentation will be attached to the work/repair order and filed in the maintenance files.

# 11. MAINTENANCE PLAN

StarMetro/Fleet ensures that the maintenance programs are consistent with Chapter 14-90.004(3)(h), F.A.C. StarMetro's vehicle maintenance plans ensure that all operational buses, all parts and accessories on such buses, including those specified in Section 14-90.007, F.A.C., and any additional parts and accessories which may affect safety of operation (e.g., frame and frame assemblies, suspension systems, axles, wheels, rims, and steering systems), are regularly and systematically inspected, maintained, and lubricated to standards that meet or exceed the bus manufacturer's recommendations and requirements.

StarMetro/Fleet is responsible for ensuring that the maintenance plan is implemented and that all vehicles operated are maintained according to the agency's Preventative Maintenance Guidelines.

# 11.1 Preventive Maintenance

A preventative maintenance schedule is implemented to inspect for safety hazards and to maintain vehicles in a manner conforming to safety regulations. StarMetro/Fleet will perform scheduled preventive maintenance on all vehicles at every 6,000-mile interval following the sequence "A"-"B"-"A"-"C", according to the agency's maintenance plan. As preventative maintenance inspections are scheduled by projected mileage, the agency will allow  $\pm 500$ -mile deviations in mileage interval, so long as the actual mileage interval meets the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule. Inspection "A" will be performed every 6,000 miles, inspection "B" will be performed every 12,000 miles, and inspection "C" will be performed every 24,000 miles on each vehicle. Safety inspections are part of the maintenance inspections and will be performed at least once every year with inspection type "C" on each vehicle. When a vehicle is due for an inspection, it will be taken out of service until the inspection is completed. This allows a series of repairs to be carried out while minimizing costs and optimizing the number of operational vehicles. If a vehicle is "deadlined" for an extended period due to unavoidable circumstances, preventative maintenance will be suspended until the vehicle can be returned to service. However, the annual inspection will be conducted on all vehicles regardless of "dead-lined/not dead-lined" status and/or mileage accrued.

Each vehicle will have a written record documenting preventive maintenance, regular maintenance, inspections, lubrication and repairs performed. Such records will be maintained for at least four years and include, at a minimum, the following information:

- Identification of the bus, the make, model, and license number or other means of positive identification and ownership
- Date, mileage, description, and each type of inspection, maintenance, lubrication, or repair performed
- If not owned by StarMetro/Fleet, the name of any person or company furnishing a bus
- The name and address of any entity or contractor performing an inspection, maintenance, lubrication, or repair

For tracking purposes, a maintenance log will be kept containing vehicle ID, make and type of vehicle, year, model, special equipment, inspections, maintenance and lubrication intervals, and date or mileage when services are due.

# 11.2 Bus Safety Inspections and Safety/Security Inspections and Reviews

StarMetro is responsible for the annual inspection of vehicles in accordance with Chapter 14.90.009. Inspections are completed by maintenance personnel or an ASE Master Certified Mechanic who is knowledgeable of and has mastered the methods, procedures, tools, and equipment used when performing an inspection. In addition, the inspectors used by subcontractors have had at least one year of training and/or experience as a mechanic or inspector in a vehicle maintenance program. Each year, StarMetro conducts an annual review of each contracted service provider to ensure complete inspections have been performed and that vehicle inspection reports have been properly documented and maintained.

StarMetro maintains records of these inspections, including reports and any corresponding corrective actions assigned to their contractors.

StarMetro will work closely with regulatory agencies (FDOT, FTA, etc.) when external audit notifications are received and allocate resources, as necessary, to facilitate the audits.

# **Appendix**

- **Appendix A Near Miss Reporting**
- **Appendix B Hazard Report Form**
- **Appendix C City of Tallahassee Accident/Incident Report Form**
- **Appendix D Non-Conformance Corrective Action Form**
- **Appendix E Safety Risk Assessment and Mitigation Register**
- **Appendix F Hazard Analysis Register**

# Appendix A – Near Miss Reporting















# Appendix B – Hazard Reporting Form

# Safety Suggestion/Hazard Report



그 마른 아들은 사람들은 아니라 아들이 얼마를 살아 있다. 그리고 하는 아들이 아들이 아들이 되었다고 있는데 아들이 아들이 아들이 아들이 아들이다.		reporting. Your Supervisor will forward it t	0
the Safety Committee. The Safety Comm			
feedback as soon as possible. Please be	as specific as possib	ole when describing the safety concern and	t
making recommendations.			
Name:	Da	ate:	
Name:			
D	D:	<b>T</b>	
Bus, route or Facility:	Direction:	Time:	
		2 2 2 2 2	_
Safety Question, Suggestion, or Haz	ard: (Be as specif	fic as possible)	
V 280-01 M V UNIX 120	7.5 T ER 30		
<u>Proposed Solution:</u> (Be as specific as pos	ssible)		
Response:			
			_
	120	S 2 2 22 E	
Would you like a response? Yes:		Supervisors Initials:	
	sponse Date:		
Suggestion forwarded to:		Forward Date:	
Person or Committee responding:		Response Date:	
Reviewed by Safety:	Date Reviewed	Date Closed:	

# **Appendix C- City of Tallahassee Accident/Incident Reporting Form**

Please submit the fo		CIDENT	OF TALLAHAS FIELD REPORT attachment) to HR S	ring	FORM	ору уои	r dept. s	SA - 731 revised 8/2006 safety specialist.
1. DEPARTMENT/DIVISION	T-CENTER TO THE PERSON		2. COST CENT					
3. EMPLOYEE(S) INVOLVED Emp#: Name:	D:		4. DAY/DATE/	TIME	OCCURRED	: Wed., 3-	-9-2011;	11:10 a.m.
Emp#: Name:			5. DATE & TIM	IE RE	PORTED:	N	March 9,	2011; 11:26 a.m.
3. LOCATION OF ACCIDENT	I/INCIDENT:		7. PROPERTY  ☑ 1. CITY □				☐ 4. PR	IVATE 5. OTHER
WITNESS(ES)/INJURED PER	SON(S)/OTHER(S)	INVOLVE	D			M	EDICAL	INFORMATION
A. Name		9 Role: -			iver/Pedestri	an/ 11		ovided: - select -
Address			? -select - applicable):		r? - select - ment: Patron	12	Specify:	ays Lost:
Work Phone: Home Pl	ione:	Zampa (ar	причасти.	Com		1.0		Return Date:
inter information on additional pers	ons involved in spaces i	below		_				
PROPERTY DAMAGE								
3. Quantity 14. Descripti	ion/Location of Item	(s) Damage	d:			15. City	Unit#	16. Estimated Damage
B.								
NCIDENT/INJURY/DAMAG								
<ol><li>Injury/Damage caused by: Details:</li></ol>	Misc - Other		18. Type of injury: (	OTHER	Details:			
VIDENTIA OF THE PROPERTY.								
0 Was incident preventable	? - select -	W-UP	21 Was required s	safety	equipment u	ısed? - se	elect - C	Comments:
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra	? - select -	W-UP - select -	Date:/	/	equipment u	ised? - se	elect - C	Comments:
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 <u>Unsafe Employee Acts:</u>	? - select - ining received? - Made safety d	- select -	Date:/ 24 Unsafe Conditi	/	Class:_	er locatio		Improper
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts:	? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable	- select - levices	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or	ons:	Class:_ Improp	er locatio	n of	Improper decontamination/
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed	? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable  Failed to "safe	- select - levices ety check"	Date:/ 24 Unsafe Conditi	ons:	Class: Improposwitches Lack of	er locatio	n of	Improper decontamination/ cleaning
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load	? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable	- select - levices ety check"	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi Blocked or improperly mark	ons:	Class: Improp switches Lack of wash sta	er locatio s shower/e	n of eye	Improper decontamination/
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled	? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur	- select - levices ety check" chicle ting re	Date: /  24 <u>Unsafe Conditi</u> Blocked or improperly markexits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, december 1)	ions: ked	Class: Improp switches Lack of wash sta	er locatio s shower/e	n of eye Data	☐Improper decontamination/ cleaning ☐ Inadequate or untested processes/
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions	? - select - ining received?  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pro	- select - levices ety check" chicle ting re operly	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mariexits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)	/ ions: ked bing lirty	Class: Improp switches Lack of wash sta Materia Sheets n	er locations s'shower/entions ll Safety I not availal	n of eye Data ble	☐Improper decontamination/ cleaning ☐ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority,	er - select - ining received?  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pr	- select - levices ety check" shicle ing re operly k path	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mari exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, d work areas)  Congested work	/ ions: ked bing lirty	Class: Improp switches Lack of wash sta Materia Sheets n Lack of machine	er locations shower/entions I Safety I not availal proper	n of eye Data ble	Improper decontamination/ cleaning Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures Lack of first aid
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions	e? - select - ining received?  Made safety d inoperable  Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pr Failed to chect Disregarded tr	- select - levices ety check" thicle ting re operly dk path	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mariexits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)	/ ions: ked bing lirty	Class:  Impropswitches  Lack of wash sta  Materia Sheets n  Lack of machine tools/PF	er locations strong language shower/entions al Safety I approper available proper ss/ equipm	n of eye Data ble nent/	☐ Improper decontamination/ cleaning ☐ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures ☐ Lack of first aid training/ supplies
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification	er - select - ining received?  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pr	- select - levices ety check" thicle ting re operly k path raffic	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of workstruction of workstruct	/ ions: ked bing lirty	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state  Materia Sheets in  Lack of machine tools/PF  Defective machine	er locations s'shower/e titions ll Safety I not availal proper es/ equipm E ve or inopes/equipm	n of eye  Data ble nent/	Improper decontamination/ cleaning Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures Lack of first aid
O Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention	ming received?  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pr Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions	- select - levices ety check" chicle ting re operly k path raffic r:) sly for	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas) Congested work storage area Poor design/construction of varea	/ ions: ked bing lirty /	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state  Materia Sheets in  Lack of machine tools/PF  Defective machine tools/PF	er locations sissements shower/entions Il Safety I lot availal proper ss/ equipm E ve or inops/se/equipm E	n of  Data ble  nent/ perable pent/	□Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training
O Was incident preventable  Was applicable safety tra  Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job	ming received?  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secure equipment pr Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions Failed to follo	- select - levices ety check" chicle ting re operly dk path raffic raffic right sly for	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of varea  Poor/improper	/ ions: ked bing lirty /	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state  Materia Sheets in Lack of machine tools/PF  Defectifications tools/PF  Inadeque	er locations sissements shower/entions Il Safety I lot availal proper ss/ equipm E ve or inops/se/equipm E	n of  Data ble  nent/ perable pent/	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue
20 Was incident preventable 22 Was applicable safety tra 32 Unsafe Employee Acts: 33 Carried or Pushed 24 excessive load 35 Took unsafe position/ 36 posture 47 Pushed instead of pulled 48 Disregarded instructions 49 Operated w/o authority, 40 licensure or certification 40 Performed task without 41 knowledge 42 Horseplay or inattention 43 to job 45 Failed to use tools/	e? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pr Failed to chect Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove carelest conditions Failed to follo established pr	- select - levices ety check" chicle ing re operly dk path raffic ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of area  Poor/improper ventilation	ons: ked bing lirty	Class: Improps switches Lack of wash sta Materia Sheets in Lack of machine tools/PF Defectif machine tools/PF Inadeque guards	er locations states and states are locations al Safety I loot availal proper ss/ equipm to look and the location are location and the location are l	n of  eye  Data ble  nent/ perable ent/ oment	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue or other
20 Was incident preventable 22 Was applicable safety tra 23 Unsafe Employee Acts:  Carried or Pushed excessive load  Took unsafe position/ posture  Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly	e? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secure equipment pro Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions Failed to follo established pr procedure (sp	- select - levices  ety check" thicle ting re operly k path raffic sly for  w other rocess/ pecify:	Date: /  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of varea  Poor/improper	ons: ked bing lirty	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state tools/PF  Defection  Inadeque guards  Defection	er locations states and states are locations al Safety I loot availal proper set equipm by the location of the	n of  eye  Data ble  nent/ perable ent/ oment	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue
O Was incident preventable  2 Was applicable safety tra  3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly	e? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pr Failed to chect Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove carelest conditions Failed to follo established pr	- select - levices  ety check" thicle ting re operly k path raffic sly for  w other rocess/ pecify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of area  Poor/ improper ventilation  Improper lightin  Defective or slippery floors	/ ions: ked bing lirty /	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state tools/PF  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Unsafe scaffold	er locations I shower/entions Il Safety I not availal proper es/ equipm E ve or inopes/equipm E uate equipm ve vehicle ladders/	n of  Data ble  ment/ perable sent/ oment	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue or other impairment
O Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly Failed to use proper/required PPE	or select - ining received?  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secure equipment pro Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions Failed to follo established pr procedure (sp	- select - levices  ety check" thicle ting re operly k path raffic sly for  w other rocess/ pecify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, d work areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/ construction of area  Poor/ improper ventilation  Improper lighti  Defective or slippery floors  Improper storag	/ ions: ked bing lirty /	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state tools/PF  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF	er locations I shower/entions Il Safety I not availal proper es/ equipm E ve or inopes/equipm E uate equipm ve vehicle ladders/ s r handlin,	n of  eye  Data ble  ment/ perable ent/ oment  e	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue or other impairment
0 Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly Failed to use proper/required PPE	e? - select - ining received? -  Made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secure equipment pro Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions Failed to follo established pr procedure (sp	- select - levices  ety check" thicle ting re operly k path raffic sly for  w other rocess/ pecify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of area  Poor/ improper ventilation  Improper lightin  Defective or slippery floors	/ ions: ked bing lirty /	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state tools/PF  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection tools/PF	er location  s shower/ettions Il Safety I not availal proper ess/ equipm E eve or inopes/equipm E hate equipm ve vehicle ladders/ s r handling of materia	n of  eye  Data ble  ment/ perable ent/ oment  e	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue or other impairment
O Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly Failed to use proper/required PPE Worked while impaired	made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pn Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions Failed to follo established pr procedure (sp  OTHER (spec	- select - levices ety check" chicle ting re operly k path raffic r:) sly for ow other rocess/ pecify: tify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of area  Poor/ improper ventilation  Improper lightin  Defective or slippery floors  Improper storage flammables	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state of machine tools/PF  Defectification of the switch of t	er locations state equipment s	n of  eye  Data ble  nent/ perable tent/ oment  e	Improper decontamination/ cleaning   Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures   Lack of first aid training/ supplies   Lack of appropriate technical training   Employee fatigue or other impairment Other:
Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly Failed to use proper/required PPE Worked while impaired	made safety d inoperable Failed to "safe equipment/ve before operat Failed to secur equipment pn Failed to check Disregarded tr rules (specify Drove careless conditions Failed to follo established pr procedure (sp  OTHER (spec	- select - levices ety check" chicle ting re operly k path raffic r:) sly for ow other rocess/ pecify: tify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, d work areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/ construction of area  Poor/ improper ventilation  Improper lighti  Defective or slippery floors  Improper storag	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	Class:  Improps switches  Lack of wash state of machine tools/PF  Defectification of the switch of t	er locations state equipment s	n of  eye  Data ble  nent/ perable tent/ oment  e	Improper decontamination/ cleaning   Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures   Lack of first aid training/ supplies   Lack of appropriate technical training   Employee fatigue or other impairment   Other:
20 Was incident preventable 22 Was applicable safety tra 32 Unsafe Employee Acts:  Carried or Pushed excessive load  Took unsafe position/ posture  Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly Failed to use proper/required PPE Worked while impaired	Select -	- select - levices  ety check" chicle ting re operly de path raffic re sly for ow other rocess/ pecify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mariexits Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, d work areas) Congested work storage area Poor design/ construction of v area Poor/ improper ventilation Improper lightin Defective or slippery floors Improper storag flammables	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	Class:  Imprope switches  Lack of wash state tools/PF  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection Unsafe scaffold  Imprope storage industriates  Supervisor  Corrected to the scaffold tools tools to the scaffold to the	er locations I safety I oot availal proper ss/ equipm E ove or inog ss/equipm E ove vehicle ladders/ s r handlin of material waste y Responsinsafe con	n of  eye  Data ble  nent/ perable tent/ oment  e  g/ als/ nse-To nditions	□ Improper decontamination/ cleaning □ Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures □ Lack of first aid training/ supplies □ Lack of appropriate technical training □ Employee fatigue or other impairment  Other: □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ NONE  unsafe conditions: (specify actions taken:
O Was incident preventable 2 Was applicable safety tra 3 Unsafe Employee Acts: Carried or Pushed excessive load Took unsafe position/ posture Pushed instead of pulled Disregarded instructions Operated w/o authority, licensure or certification Performed task without knowledge Horseplay or inattention to job Failed to use tools/ equipment properly Failed to use proper/required PPE Worked while impaired	Select -	- select - levices ety check" chicle ing re operly dk path raffic ':) sly for ow other rocess/ pecify: cify:	Date:/  24 Unsafe Conditi  Blocked or improperly mark exits  Poor housekeep (spills, clutter, dwork areas)  Congested work storage area  Poor design/construction of area  Poor/ improper ventilation  Improper lightin  Defective or slippery floors  Improper storage flammables	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	Class:  Imprope switches  Lack of wash state tools/PF  Defection tools/PF  Inadeque guards  Defection Unsafe scaffold  Imprope storage industriates  Supervisor  Corrected to the scaffold tools tools to the scaffold to the	er locations I safety I oot availal proper ss/ equipm E ove or inog ss/equipm E ove vehicle ladders/ s r handlin of material waste y Responsinsafe con	n of  eye  Data ble  nent/ perable tent/ oment  e  g/ als/ nse-To nditions	Improper decontamination/ cleaning   Inadequate or untested processes/ procedures   Lack of first aid training/ supplies   Lack of appropriate technical training   Employee fatigue or other impairment Other:

# **Appendix D – Non-Conformance Corrective Action Plan**

Non-Conformance Correct	ive Action Plan Starmetro
Non- Conformance Report#	Originator:
Corrective Action Plan#	_
Preventive Action Plan#	
Comment of the state of the sta	
Current or potential Category:  o StarMetro Performance Goal	
o Quality	
o Environmental Management	
o Safety Management System	
Quality Performance Management	
o Process/Procedure	
The issue was identified by:	
o Supervisor	
o Safety and Training	
o Safety Committee	
<ul> <li>Management Team</li> </ul>	
o Other	
Assigned to:	Date assigned:
Signature:	Proposed Completion Date:
Corrective/Preventive Action Plan:	
(Required for safety hazard)	
CAP Approved by: Does corrective action r	educe or eliminate exposure to hazard or correct the issue?
Print Name	Signature Date

# **Appendix E - Safety Risk Assessment and Mitigation Register**

# Identification

StarMetr	o Safety Risk A	Assessment Re	gister					
	Hazard Hazard Type Identification			Identification  Identification Analysis Source Date		Worst Possible, Worst Credible, or Most Common	Existing Mitigation(s)	
EXAMPLE	Buses misaligned over pits.	Technical - Maintenance	Date	Employee safety reporting	Date	Potential Consequence(s)  Bus falling into pit resulting in worker fatality.	Training in aligning the bus properly overpit.     SOP and rule book provided with training.	
EXAMPLE	Near side bus stop in Blue Route at the intersection of First and Main.	Technical - Operational	Date	Inspection report	Date	Car turning right in front and colliding with the bus as the bus pulls out of the stop.	Operatortraining.     SOP and rule book provided withtraining.	

# Risk Assessment Rating and Mitigation

Initial Safety Risk Rating		Further Mitigation Action	Revised Saf	ety Risk Index	Mitigation Owner and Implementation Date			
Severity of Consequences	Likelihood of Consequences	Safety RiskIndex	Further Mitigation Action	Revised Safety Risk Index	Revised Safety Risk Index Date	Department Responsiblefor Mitigation	Estimated Implementation Date	Contact Person
1 (Catastrophic)	C (Occasional)	1C (Unacceptable under the existing circumstances)	Revise SOPand Rulebook to require a second worker towatch and signal for bus placement.     Establish speed restrictions moving in and out of shop.     Stripe lines around pits with high	1D (Acceptable based upon mitigations)	Date	Bus Vehicle Maintenance	Date	Name
2 (Critical)	B (Probable)	2B (Unacceptable under the existing circumstances)	Issue alert bulletin to Blue Line operators.     Install an alerting signal at the bus stop.	2C (Acceptable based upon mitigations)	Date	Operations	Date	Name
	B (Probable)	3B (Acceptable based upon mitigations)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

1	Safety Risk Mitigation Regi	ster							
2	Potential Consequence(s)	ential Consequence(s) Safety Performance Monitoring				and Mitigation(s) to be mplemented	Mitigation Monitoring Activities and Responsible Department		
3	Worst Possible, Worst Credible, or Most Common Potential Consequence(s)	Safety Performance Indicator (SPI)	SPI Value	Safety Performance Target	Timeframe	Mitigations	Monitoring Means	Department Responsible for Monitoring Mitigation Effectiveness	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Bus falling into pit resulting in worker fatality.	Bus alignment over pit.	misalignmen	Reduce the number events of bus misalignment over pit per [time unit] by [%] by [date].	180 days  180 days  180 days  180 days	Revised SOP and Rulebook to require a second worker to watch and signal for bus     Establish speed restrictions moving in and out of shop.     Stripe lines around pits     Revise SOPs to ensure pit is not occupied during bus     Instant Dos tire guides on.	Employee safety reporting.     Inspections.     Workplace observations.     Employee safety reporting.     Inspections.     Workplace observations.     Inspections.     Workplace observations.     Employee safety reporting.     Workplace observations.     Inspections.	Safety Department	
14 15 16	Car turning right in front and colliding with the bus as the bus pulls out of the stop.	Bus pulling of the stop while a car turns in front.	[Number] events of bus pulling of the stop	Reduce the number events of bus pulling of the stop while a car	120 days	I. Issue alert bulletin to Blue Line operators.     Install an alerting signal at the bus stop.		Safety Department Safety Department Safety Department Safety Department Safety Department	
10	Inspection panels in XYZ model buses difficult to access by maintenance personnel.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

# **Appendix F- Hazard Analysis Register**

Α	В	D	F	Н	J	L	N	P	R	T
	Identified		Risk befo	Risk before Mitigation M		Risk Elimination or	Risk After Mitigation Measures		easures	
#	Hazard	Hazard Description	Severity	Likelihood	Risk Hazard Index		Severity	Likelihood	Risk Hazard Index	Verification
EXAMPLE	have a blind spot in the right front	Cannot see vehicles and pedestrians near the right front corner of the vehicle causing near misses and or possible vehicle and pedestrian strikes.	П	А	High	Order a different model of cutaway going forward.     Attach blind spot mirrors and/or body cameras to the vehicle to increase visibility and reduce the likelihood of an accident.     Provide training to all drivers on proper blind spot avoidance and awareness techniques.	П	C		Instances of near miss, pedestrian strikes, and vehicle strikes in small cutaways are zero for the last year.
1					#N/A				#N/A	
2					#N/A				#N/A	
3					#N/A				#N/A	
4					#N/A				#N/A	
5					#N/A				#N/A	
6					#N/A				#N/A	
7					#N/A				#N/A	
8					#N/A				#N/A	
9					#N/A				#N/A	
10					#N/A				#N/A	
11					#N/A				#N/A	
12					#N/A				#N/A	
13					#N/A				#N/A	
14					#N/A				#N/A	
15					#N/A				#N/A	
16					#N/A				#N/A	

# PUBLIC TRANSIT Safety Performance



# **MAP-21** Performance Management

March 2021

# **OVERVIEW**

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) has established requirements through 49 CFR 673 for Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans (PTASP) and related performance measures as authorized by the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP–21). This rule requires certain operators of public transportation systems that receive federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53 to develop and implement PTASP based on a Safety Management Systems (SMS) approach. Development and implementation of agency safety plans will help ensure that public transportation systems are safe nationwide. This fact sheet summarizes the requirements of this rule and the responsibilities of Florida's transit providers and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in implementing the rule.

# PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY PLANS (PTASP)

# Federal Rule Applicability

RECIPIENTS AND SUB-RECIPIENTS OF FTA 5307 FUNDS

FTA is deferring applicability for operators that only receive 5310 and/or 5311 funds.

SMALL PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROVIDERS (5307S) Agencies: a) without rail; and b) with fewer than 101 revenue vehicles in operation during peak service may complete their own plan or have their plan drafted or certified by their state DOT.

# PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SAFETY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### **FATALITIES**

Total number of reportable fatalities and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.



## **INJURIES**

Total number of reportable injuries and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.

### SAFETY EVENTS

Total number of reportable events and rate per total vehicle revenue miles by mode.



### SYSTEM RELIABILITY

Mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode.

# **TIMELINE**

### BY JULY 20, 2021

Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan that meets federal requirements and must have established transit safety targets. After this date, transit providers must update transit safety targets annually.

### AFTER JULY 20, 2021

Update or amendments to the LRTP and TIP after this date must be developed according to the Transit Safety Rule.

WITHIN 180 DAYS AFTER DATE OF TRANSIT PROVIDER ACTION Safety targets must be established by MPOs.

# WITH TIP OR LRTP UPDATE

MPOs may choose to update targets for thei planning area.

Transit Providers

MPOs

2021 2022 2023 2024

# PTASP CERTIFICATION AND REVIEW

# RELATIONSHIP OF PTASP TO FLORIDA REQUIREMENTS

Florida requires each Section 5307 and/or 5311 transit provider to have an adopted System Safety Program Plan (SSPP) (Chapter 14-90, Florida Administrative Code). The FTA PTASP rule and Florida's SSPP requirements are similar, but have some differences. Because Section 5307 providers in Florida must already have a SSPP, FDOT recommends that transit agencies revise their existing SSPPs to be compliant with the new FTA PTASP requirements.

FDOT has issued guidance to providers to assist them with revising existing SSPPs to be compliant with the FTA requirements.

While the PTASP rule requires transit providers to establish safety performance targets, the SSPP does not.

# PTASP RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER FEDERALLY REQUIRED PLANS AND PRODUCTS



# **REQUIREMENTS**

# COORDINATION WITH METROPOLITAN, STATEWIDE, AND NON-METROPOLITAN PLANNING PROCESSES

- » Public transit providers will coordinate with FDOT and affected MPOs in the selection of transit safety performance targets.
- » Providers will give written notice to the MPO(s) and FDOT when the provider establishes transit safety targets. This notice will provide the established targets and the date of establishment.
- » MPOs that establish their own transit safety targets will coordinate with the public transit provider(s) and FDOT in the selection of transit safety performance targets. The MPOs will give written notice to the public transit providers and FDOT when the MPO establishes its own transit safety targets.
- » MPOs that agree to support a public transit provider's safety targets will provide FDOT and the public transit providers documentation that the MPO agrees to do so.

- » Public transit providers that annually draft and certify a PTASP must make the PTASP and underlying safety performance data available to FDOT and the MPOs to aid in the planning process.
- » Public transit providers will update the PTASP and establish transit safety targets annually. MPOs are not required to establish transit safety targets annually. Instead, subsequent MPO transit safety targets must be established when the MPO updates the TIP or LRTP.
- » If two or more providers operate in an MPO planning area and establish different safety targets for a measure, the MPO may establish a single target for the MPO planning area or establish a set of targets for the MPO planning area that reflect the differing transit provider targets.

# FOR MORE INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT



# CRTPA FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2023 – FY 2027 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Type of Item: Presentation/Discussion/Action

# **S**TATEMENT OF ISSUE

Staff is seeking approval of the CRTPA FY 2023 – FY 2027 CRTPA Transportation Alternatives (TA) Project Priority Project List (PPL), provided as **Attachment 1**.

# **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Option 1: Recommend the CRTPA adopt the CRTPA FY 2023 – FY 2027 Transportation Alternatives Project Priority List.

### BACKGROUND

The CRTPA annually adopts priority project lists that identify the ranked order of projects for which the agency is seeking transportation funding. Once adopted, the lists are provided to the Florida Department of Transportation as that agency develops the Annual Work Program. One of these lists, the Transportation Alternatives PPL, is proposed for adoption at today's meeting and contains projects that were solicited and reviewed as part of the CRTPA Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP).

The CRTPA TAP was established in 2013 subsequent to the creation of the Transportation Alternatives Program associated with the July 6, 2012 signing of P.L. 112-141, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) by President Obama.

Transportation Alternatives are federally funded programs and projects, including on- and off-road pedestrian and bicycle facilities, infrastructure projects for improving non-driver access to public transportation and enhanced mobility, community improvement activities, and environmental mitigation; recreational trail program projects; safe routes to school projects; and projects for the planning, design or construction of boulevards and other roadways largely in the right-of-way of former Interstate System routes or other divided highways.

Fifty percent (50%) of the TA funding received by each state is to be distributed by population. For those Metropolitan Planning Organizations with a population greater than 200,000 (which includes the CRTPA), the agency must run the competitive grant process, necessitating the creation of the CRTPA TAP.

The CRTPA receives approximately \$310,000 annually in TA funding explicitly dedicated to the CRTPA region. In addition, other TA funding is available for placement on TA projects within the CRTPA region at the discretion of the Florida Department of Transportation.

Consistent with CRTPA Board direction, solicitation of new TA projects occurs biennially. As a result, this year's Draft TA PPL reflects those projects that were submitted associated with the 2020 TAP cycle (i.e. projects that were first placed on last year's FY 2022 – FY 2026 TA PPL) and, as such, does not reflect new projects.

### The Latest

The Draft FY 2023 – FY 2027 Transportation Alternatives PPL contains projects that were included in last year's TA PPL with the exception of the following two (2) changes from last year's adopted TA PPL:

- Project Removal: <u>US 90 Sidewalk Project (Chalk Street to Strong Road, sponsor: City of Quincy)</u> Last year's number one ranked project removed from list due to receiving full funding in the most recent FDOT Work Program (Construction funding in FYs 24 &25).
- Project Removal: N. Gadsden Street Sidewalk Enhancement Project (Sixth Avenue to Eighth Avenue, sponsor: Leon County)- Last year's number three ranked project has been removed to the project's anticipated construction beginning in late 2021 per information provided by the project sponsor.

As a result, the Draft FY 2023 – FY 2027 TA PPL has been revised to reflect removal of the above two projects from the list with the other projects moving up on the list in the same priority order as reflected previously.

<u>Note</u>: More information on each project may be found on the CRTPA's TA webpage (<u>Link to CRTPA TA Information Page</u>) with the applications located at the bottom of the page.

### **CRTPA Transportation Alternatives Program Process**

Guiding the CRTPA TAP is the CRTPA TAP Subcommittee. The subcommittee, comprised of 6 members (3 from the CRTPA's Citizen's Multimodal Advisory Committee (CMAC) and 3 from the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)), most recently reviewed and recommended a ranking of the TA applications that were received by the CRTPA by March 6, 2020.

## **Project Ranking Process**

The received applications on the draft priority project list were reviewed and ranked based upon the adopted CRTPA's TAP Evaluation Criteria (approved at the January 21, 2020 CRTPA meeting), as follows:

### ADOPTED CRITERIA

	CRTPA TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION CRITERIA*	MAXIMUM POINTS
1	SAFETY (Describe how the project will impove public safety for all transportation users)	20
2	CONNECTIVITY (Describe how the project facilitates or improves multimodal linkages)	20
3	ACCESSIBILITY (Describe how the project contributes to enhanced mobility options for transp. disadvantaged)	20
4	PUBLIC BENEFIT (Describe how the project improves the public travel experience and travel options)	20
5	PROJECT CONSTRUCTABILITY (Describe the project's constructibility related to environmental considerations, etc.)	10
6	REGIONAL PLAN (Describe how the project relates to the adopted plans of the region)	5
7	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	5
,	COMMONITY SOLVEN	3
	Maximum Total Points	100

# **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT**

A virtual public meeting to present the DRAFT FY 2023 – FY 2027 TA Project Priority List was conducted on April 28.

# **NEXT STEPS**

Subsequent to adoption of the CRTPA FY 2023 – FY 2027 TA PPL, the list will be provided to the FDOT for consideration in the development of the FY 2023 – FY 2027 Tentative Work Program, scheduled to be presented to the CRTPA Board in late 2021.

After the release of the Draft State Work Program, CRTPA staff will initiate development of the CRTPA FY 2023 – FY 2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) incorporating the transportation projects in the CRTPA region that have received state and federal funding.

### **ATTACHMENT**

Attachment 1: DRAFT FY 2023 – FY 2027 TA Project Priority List

# Capital Region Transportation Planning Agency

# **DRAFT Transportation Alternatives Project Priority List**

Fiscal Year (FY) 2023 - FY 2027

Priority	Project Name	Limits	Funding Sought	Phase
1 1	Spring Creek Highway Paved Off Road Bike Path Sponsor: Wakulla County	Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Road to CR 61 (Shadeville Highway) (1.77 miles)	\$733,716	Seeking Design, Construction & CEI
1 2	Dr. MLK, Jr. Memorial Road Paved Off Road Bike Path Sponsor: Wakulla County	US 319 to CR 365 (Spring Creek Highway) (4.17 miles)	\$1,500,237	Seeking Design, Construction & CEI
3	<u></u>	(St Hebron Road: Pt. Milligan Road to Fontana Trail) (Fontana Trail: Fontana Trail to St. Hebron Park) (2.16 miles)	\$3, 130,726	Seeking Design, Construction & CEI

**NOTE**: The following fully funded project is not yet constructed and is retained on the list until all programmed funding is within the first three (3) years of the Transportation Improvement Program:

Project Name	Limits	Years Funded & Phase	Amount
US 90 Sidewalk Project (WPI #4484511)	Chalk Street to Strong Road (1.06 miles)	FY 24 - Construction	\$476,000
Sponsor: City of Quincy		FY 25 - Construction	\$480,000



# COMMITTEE AGENDA 6 A

# **2021 FUTURE COMMITTEE MEETINGS CALENDAR**

2021 Meeting		
Dates	TAC Time	CMAC Time
June 1	9 AM – 11 AM	11:30 AM -1:30 PM
September 7	9 AM – 11 AM	11:30 AM -1:30 PM
October 5	9 AM – 11 AM	11:30 AM -1:30 PM
November 2	9 AM – 11 AM	11:30 AM -1:30 PM
December 7	9 AM – 11 AM	11:30 AM -1:30 PM